Increased Physical Activity Is Associated With Enhanced Development of Peak Bone Mass in Men: A Five-Year Longitudinal Study
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ABSTRACT
Data supporting physical activity guidelines to optimize bone development in men is sparse. Peak bone mass is believed to be important for the risk of osteoporosis later in life. The objective of this study was to determine if an increased amount of physical activity over a 5-year period was associated with increased bone mineral content (BMC), areal (aBMD) and volumetric (vBMD) bone mineral density, and a favorable development of cortical bone size in young adult men. The original 1068 young men, initially enrolled in the Gothenburg Osteoporosis and Obesity Determinants (GOOD) study, were invited to participate in the longitudinal study, and a total of 833 men (78%), 24.1 ± 0.6 years of age, were included in the 5-year follow-up. A standardized self-administered questionnaire was used to collect information about patterns of physical activity at both the baseline and 5-year follow-up visits. BMC and aBMD were measured using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry, whereas vBMD and bone geometry were measured by peripheral quantitative computed tomography. Increased physical activity between the baseline and follow-up visits was associated with a favorable development in BMC of the total body, and aBMD of the lumbar spine and total hip (p < 0.001), as well as with development of a larger cortex (cortical cross sectional area), and a denser trabecular bone of the tibia (p < 0.001). In conclusion, increased physical activity was related to an advantageous development of aBMD, trabecular vBMD and cortical bone size, indicating that exercise is important in optimizing peak bone mass in young men. © 2012 American Society for Bone and Mineral Research.
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Introduction

Peak bone mass is believed to be achieved before the end of the third decade in life, depending on bone site, and low peak bone mass has been considered as a risk factor for developing osteoporosis later in life.1–3 Genetic factors are the strongest determinants of bone mass,3 but exercise with loading of the bone also has a major impact on bone mass4–6 as well as on bone strength.7,8 Previous intervention studies in children, adolescents, and young adults have reported that physical activity interventions result in increased bone mineral content (BMC), areal bone mineral density (BMD), and cortical bone size.9,10 The skeleton in older persons seems to be less adaptive to physical activity induced mechanical loading applied to it.10,11 Due to methodological difficulties, no physical activity intervention studies over several years investigating the development of peak bone mass have yet been performed.

A few small longitudinal studies12,13 have found that a maintained high level of physical activity was associated with preserved areal bone mineral density (aBMD) in both young adult men12 and women.13,14 However, neither of these studies were able, due to limited statistical power, to investigate whether changed physical activity was related to altered aBMD. To our knowledge, there are no population-based longitudinal studies that have investigated the association between increased level of physical activity and bone development in young adult men.

In the large majority of previous studies investigating the association between exercise and bone mass, bone properties have been measured using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA). Because the DXA technique cannot distinguish whether changes in aBMD are due to bone volumetric BMD or in bone geometrical parameters,15 data regarding the role of physical activity on bone structural parameters is scarce.
We have previously reported, in a cross-sectional analysis in
the Gothenburg Osteoporosis and Obesity Determinants
(GOOD) study, that physical activity was associated with aBMD
and cortical bone size in 18- to 20-year-old Swedish men, and
that the boys who began their physical activity before puberty
had higher adult aBMD and cortical bone size than boys who
started training later.\(^{16}\)

The aim of this large 5-year longitudinal study of young adult
men was to determine whether an increased amount of physical
activity in young adulthood was associated with a favorable
development in aBMD and volumetric BMD (vBMD), and in
cortical bone geometry.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Subjects**

The study was conducted on participants of the GOOD study, a
longitudinal population-based study with the aim to determine
both environmental and genetic factors involved in the
regulation of bone mass.\(^{17-19}\) Details of the cohort have previously
been described.\(^{17-19}\) Briefly, we contacted the original 1068
study subjects and invited them to participate in this 5-year
follow-up study. A total of 833 men (78%), 24.1 ± 0.6 years of age
(mean ± SD), were included in the present study, with an
average time of 61.2 ± 2.3 months between the baseline and
follow-up visits.\(^{19}\) The original GOOD cohort was found
representative of the general young male population in
Gothenburg,\(^{17,18}\) and the cohort of the present study was
found to be representative of the initially included population.\(^{19}\)
There were no significant differences between the included and
not included subjects in age, height, weight, or amount of
present physical activity.\(^{19}\) The study was approved by the
regional ethical review board at the University of Gothenburg.
Written and oral informed consent was obtained from all study
participants.

**Physical activity**

At both the first study visit (baseline) and the 5-year follow-up
visit, a standardized self-administered questionnaire, based on a
validated physical activity questionnaire to measure the
relationship between mechanical strain and bone mass\(^{20}\) with
amendments, was used to collect information about patterns of
present physical activity at both visits. Information on type as
well as of time (h/week) spent on physical activities in relation to
sports was collected. At the baseline visit 529 subjects were
physically active and 304 did not participate in any physical
activity in relation to sports (sedentary). Thus, in the present study, we
divided men into groups based on high (H, defined as ≥4 h/week)
and low (L, defined as <4 h/week and sedentary) amount of
physical activity.\(^{17}\) Recorded changes in amount of physical
activity between the baseline and follow-up visit were then used
to divide the subjects into four groups as follows: consistently
high (HH, \(n = 146\)), consistently low (LL, \(n = 405\)), changed from
high to low (HL, \(n = 213\)), and changed from low to high (LH,
\(n = 69\)). The following types of physical activity were the most
common among subjects who were active in sports at the
baseline and/or at the follow-up visits (each subject could have
participated in several types of sports): strength training, soccer,
running/jogging, martial arts, floor ball, handball, bicycling/
spinning, tennis, ice hockey, badminton, and swimming/diving.

Covariates at both the baseline and follow-up visit

Height and weight were measured using standardized equip-
ment. The coefficient of variation (CV) values were <1% for these
measurements. A standardized self-administered questionnaire
was used to collect information about calcium intake and
smoking (yes/no). Calcium intake (mg/day) was estimated from
dairy product intake.

**aBMD**

We assessed BMC (g) of the total body and aBMD (g/cm\(^2\)) of the
lumbar spine (L1–L4), total hip, and nondominant radius using a
DXA device (Lunar Prodigy DXA; GE Lunar, Madison, WI, USA).
The CV for the aBMD measurements ranged from 0.5% to 3%,
depending on application. Five subjects could not undergo total
body, lumbar spine, or total hip scan due to weight limits of the
Lunar Prodigy DXA.\(^{19}\)

Cortical bone geometry and volumetric BMD

We used a peripheral quantitative computed tomography
(pQCT) device (XCT-2000; Stratec Medizintechnik, Pforzheim,
Germany) to scan the distal leg (tibia) and the distal arm (radius) of the nondominant leg and arm, respectively. A 2-mm-thick single tomographic slice was scanned with a voxel size of 0.50 mm. The cortical vBMD (not including the bone marrow; mg/cm³), cortical cross-sectional area (cortical CSA, mm²), endosteal and periosteal circumference (EC and PC, mm), total cross-sectional area (total CSA, mm²), and bone strength strain index with respect to torsion (polar SSI, mm²) were measured using a scan through the diaphysis (at 25% of the bone length in the proximal direction of the distal end of the bone) of the radius and tibia. Trabecular vBMD (mg/cm³) was measured using a scan through the metaphysis (at 4% of the bone length) of these bones. Tibia length was measured from the medial malleolus to the medial condyle of the tibia, and length of the forearm was defined as the distance from the olecranon to the ulna styloid process. The CVs were <1% for all pQCT measurements. Due to movement artifacts, bone metal being present or an incorrectly positioned measuring field, two tibia and four radius scans at the follow-up visit were excluded.

Statistical analysis

All data was analyzed using SPSS software, version 17.0 for Windows (IBM SPSS, Armonk, NY, USA). We used a linear regression model, using continuous variables, with changes in bone parameters as dependent variables and baseline physical activity (h/week) or osteogenic index as well as change in physical activity (h/week) or osteogenic index (between the baseline and follow-up visit) as independent variables, to investigate the association between altered physical activity and changes in bone parameter. For each of the bone variables, which reflected the change, a multiple regression analysis was performed. The change in the bone variable was the dependent variable and the regression model comprised the baseline training (h/week), interaction between baseline physical activity and change of physical activity (Δ physical activity), and, finally, three variables belonging to spline functions of the change of physical activity. The spline functions were linear below the 25 percentile point, quadratic in each of the two intervals from the 25 percentile to the median and from the median to the 75 percentile point, and linear thereafter.

We calculated absolute changes in unadjusted bone parameters as well as amount of physical activity between the baseline and follow-up visits within each group (HH, HL, LH, and LL) using a paired-samples t test. Characteristics between subjects divided according to changes in amount of physical activity between baseline and follow-up visit (HH, HL, LH, and LL) were calculated using ANOVA followed by least significant difference post hoc test for continuous variables and χ² test for categorical variables.

To illustrate differences in 5-year change of bone parameters between subjects divided according to changes in the amount of physical activity between baseline and follow-up visits (HH, HL, LH, and LL), delta values for each group at each bone site were calculated. We calculated delta values for each bone parameter as percent differences between baseline and follow-up visits, adjusted for follow-up time. Differences between subjects divided according to changes of physical activity between baseline and follow-up visit (HH, HL, LH, and LL) were calculated on delta values using ANOVA followed by least significant difference post hoc test.

Results

Increased physical activity and bone development

The independent predictive role of change in the amount of physical activity (between the baseline and follow-up visits) as well as baseline physical activity on the change in bone parameters was evaluated using linear regression (Tables 1 and 2). Both increased amount of physical activity between the baseline and follow-up visits, and a high amount of physical activity at the baseline visit, were independently associated with increased BMC of the total body, aBMD and area of the lumbar spine, femoral neck area, as well as of cortical bone size (cross sectional area and periosteal circumference), and bone strength (polar SSI) of the tibia (Table 1). For each hour of increased physical activity, aBMD of the lumbar spine and BMC of the total body increased by 0.005 g/cm² and 5.4 g, respectively, while cortical CSA and total CSA of the tibia increased by 0.36 mm² and 0.49 mm², respectively, between the baseline and follow-up visits (Table 1). These increases occurred from a higher level in men with a high level of baseline physical activity than in men with a low baseline activity, as illustrated in Figure 1. The increased amount of physical activity and amount of physical activity at baseline were also associated with a reduced decrease in aBMD of the total hip as well as in trabecular volumetric BMD at the tibia (Table 1). Similar but weaker associations between change in physical activity and change in cortical CSA as well as trabecular vBMD were found at the radius (Table 2).

In order to take variations in baseline level into account, the predictive role of baseline and change in physical activity on relative (%) change in bone parameters was investigated. Using relative change in bone parameters as the outcome variable did not markedly alter the predictive role of baseline and change in physical activity habits (Table 1). The independent predictive role of change in the amount of physical activity (between the baseline and follow-up visits) as well as baseline physical activity on bone parameters was not markedly altered when changes in weight, height, and calcium intake were included as covariates in the linear regression analyses (data not shown). With the aim to elucidate the role of change in body composition on change in bone variables, change in total body lean and fat mass were included (instead of changes in weight) in the regression model. The independent predictive role of change in the amount of physical activity remained but was somewhat weakened concerning change in total body BMC (B = 3.6 (g/h), p < 0.05), lumbar spine aBMD and area (B = 0.002 (g/cm²)/h), p < 0.001; and B = 0.04 (cm²/h), p < 0.05, respectively), total hip aBMD (B = 0.002 (g/cm²)/h), p < 0.01, femoral neck area (B = 0.003 (cm²)/h), p < 0.05), polar SSI (B = 0.29 (mm²)/h), p < 0.05), and tibial trabecular vBMD (B = 0.4 ((mg/cm²)/h), p < 0.05). Change in the amount of physical activity did not predict change in bone size of the tibia (cortical and total CSA and cortical PC), when changes in lean and fat mass were included as covariates in the linear regression analyses.
Men in the group who increased their amount of physical activity (LH) gained 1.3% aBMD at the total hip whereas men who remained at a low amount of physical activity (LL) (0.6% aBMD) had greater losses in hip aBMD, than a maintained high level of physical activity (HH) (Fig. 2A:1-2). The 5-year increase in aBMD of the lumbar spine was greater in men who increased their physical activity (LH) than men with consistently low activity (LL) (7.1% and 3.7%, respectively; Fig. 2B:1-2). Men with a high amount of physical activity (HH) at both visits had a more advantageous bone development at the lumbar spine than men who reduced their activity level (HL) (Fig. 2B:1-2).

In order to also investigate the predictive role of exercise type (with varying degree of loading), the change in the osteogenic index (between the baseline and follow-up visits) was included in the linear regression model (Table 1) to identify predictors of change in bone variables. Change in the osteogenic index could explain 5.9% and 4.8% of the total variation in aBMD of the hip and spine, respectively. Of the pQCT variables, the osteogenic index explained 2.6% of the variation in cortical CSA and trabecular vBMD, and 2.2% of the total CSA, but only 1.7% of the variation in periosteal circumference (Table 1).

To illustrate bone development depending on amount of physical activity at baseline and at follow-up

Men were divided into groups based on high (H) and low (L) amount of physical activity at the baseline and follow-up visits. There were no significant differences in baseline age, weight, height, calcium intake, or smoking prevalence between men in the HH and HL groups or between men in the LL and LH groups (Table 3).
Table 2. Association Between Physical Activity Change and Change in Non–Weight-Bearing Bone Parameters in Young Men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement site for aBMD using DXA</th>
<th>Five-year change(^a) (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Physical activity</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nondominant radius (g/cm(^2))(^b)</td>
<td>0.044 ± 0.022</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Measurement site for vBMD, bone size, and bone strength at the radius using pQCT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cortical</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cross sectional area (mm(^3))(^c)</td>
<td>2.86 ± 3.47</td>
<td>0.15***</td>
<td>0.15***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periosteal circumference (mm)(^c)</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.69</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endosteal circumference (mm)(^c)</td>
<td>−0.58 ± 1.14</td>
<td>−0.018</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vBMD (mg/cm(^3))(^c)</td>
<td>24.7 ± 15.3</td>
<td>−0.060</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polar SSI (mm(^3))(^c)</td>
<td>14.5 ± 16.4</td>
<td>0.71***</td>
<td>0.20***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trabecular</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vBMD (mg/cm(^3))(^c)</td>
<td>5.85 ± 14.43</td>
<td>0.33(p &lt; 0.05)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Linear regression model with change in bone parameters as dependent variables, adjusted for follow-up time. Baseline physical activity (h/week) and physical activity change (h/week) between the baseline and follow-up visit were used as independent continuous variables. Main effects of independent variables are presented as unstandardized coefficients (B). B-absolute denotes B for absolute change in bone variables while B-relative denotes B for percentage change in bone variables per hour change.

\(a\)BMD = areal bone mineral density; DXA = dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; vBMD = volumetric bone mineral density; pQCT = peripheral quantitative computed tomography; polar SSI = strength strain index with respect to torsion.

\(b\)Five year changes have been reported previously.\(^{19}\)

\(c\)\(n = 829.\)

\(p < 0.05.\)

\(p < 0.001.\)

---

Fig. 1. Association between altered physical activity and bone development. Multiple regression analysis with spline functions of the association between changed amount of physical activity in relation to sports (h/week) and changes in (A–D) bone parameters, based on various amounts of physical activity at baseline visit.
Table 3. Characteristics of the Cohort Divided According to Changes of Physical Activity Between the Baseline and Follow-Up Visits

Changes in physical activity (L < 4 and H ≥ 4 h/week)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>LH</th>
<th>HL</th>
<th>HH</th>
<th>ANOVA p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>146</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>LH</th>
<th>HL</th>
<th>HH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>19.0±0.6</td>
<td>18.9±0.5</td>
<td>18.8±0.5</td>
<td>18.9±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>181.7±6.5</td>
<td>182.4±7.2</td>
<td>181.3±6.8</td>
<td>181.3±6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>72.5±12.3</td>
<td>72.2±10.1</td>
<td>75.6±12.6</td>
<td>75.7±9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean mass (kg)</td>
<td>55.9±6.0</td>
<td>56.7±5.9</td>
<td>58.8±6.4</td>
<td>60.3±6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>13.6±8.4</td>
<td>12.4±6.6</td>
<td>13.7±8.4</td>
<td>12.4±5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium intake (mg/day)</td>
<td>1000±633</td>
<td>1117±765</td>
<td>1240±714</td>
<td>1194±765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (%)</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>LH</th>
<th>HL</th>
<th>HH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>24.1±0.6</td>
<td>24.0±0.6</td>
<td>24.0±0.6</td>
<td>24.0±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>182.2±6.5</td>
<td>183.0±7.3</td>
<td>181.8±6.8</td>
<td>181.8±6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>77.2±13.2</td>
<td>77.2±9.7</td>
<td>80.1±14.0</td>
<td>80.2±8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean mass (kg)</td>
<td>56.9±5.9</td>
<td>60.7±6.3</td>
<td>59.0±6.4</td>
<td>62.5±6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>17.5±9.5</td>
<td>14.0±7.0</td>
<td>17.4±8.4</td>
<td>15.1±6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium intake (mg/day)</td>
<td>781±504</td>
<td>868±609</td>
<td>756±493</td>
<td>819±499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (%)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given as mean ± SD. Differences between the subgroups tested by ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test for continuous variables and by χ² for categorical variables.

ANOVA = analysis of variance; PA = physical activity; LL = low PA (<4 h/week) at both visits; LH = low PA at baseline and high PA (≥4 h/week) at the follow-up visit; HL = high PA level at the baseline visit but low PA at follow-up; HH = high PA at both visits.

Changes in amount of physical activity between the baseline and follow-up visit within each group (LL, LH, HL, and HH) were calculated using a paired-samples t test, p < 0.001, n = 833.

Fig. 2. Five-year changes in aBMD of the (A) total hip and (B) lumbar spine according to changes in physical activity. Consistently high (HH), consistently low (LL), changed from high to low (HL), and changed from low to high (LH) amount of physical activity in relation to sports. Values are given as mean ± SEM. Letters represent p < 0.001.
and 2.1%, respectively; Fig. 3B:1-2). Remaining at a high activity level (HH) was associated with smaller losses at this bone site than reduced physical activity (HL) between visits (Fig. 3B:1-2).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first large longitudinal population-based study investigating physical activity in relation to aBMD and bone structure development in men at the age when peak bone mass is believed to be attained. In the present study, increased physical activity was related to greater gains in aBMD at the lumbar spine and total body. Interestingly, we found that men who increased their amount of physical activity also increased their hip aBMD in contrast to men who remained or reduced their activity level, in whom a reduction in hip aBMD was apparent. Our data indicate that the physical activity accompanied increase in aBMD was due to increased cortical bone size and trabecular vBMD.

Both cortical bone size and BMD are important determinants of bone strength and resistance against fracture. As the resistance of bone to bending and torsion forces is related exponentially to its diameter, even a small difference in the outer circumference could make a substantial contribution to its strength and resistance to fracture. In the present study, we found that increased physical activity was related to augmented cortical bone size (cross sectional area) via actions on the outer cortical envelope (periosteal circumference), indicating attained benefits of physical activity in enlarging the cortical shell, even though physical activity was increased after entering adulthood. Thus, our results suggest that increased physical activity during this age has the ability to prevent the age-dependent decline in aBMD at the hip and increase the cortical envelope, having a positive effect on the attainment of peak bone mass, both in terms of improved cortical structure and in vBMD, in men. We found similar but weaker associations between change in physical activity and change in cortical CSA as well as trabecular vBMD at the radius. We speculate that this finding was due to a much higher degree of loading, by sports activity, exerted on the weight-bearing tibia than on the mostly unloaded radius. In this study, we performed an analysis taking mechanical loading into account using the osteogenic index, based on ground reaction forces of physical activity. In this analysis, the osteogenic index could explain the change, to a somewhat higher degree than amount of physical activity, in several bone variables, including the cortical CSA and trabecular vBMD. When alterations in lean and fat mass were included as covariates in the linear regression analyses the association between amount of physical activity and bone parameters was weakened or lost, indicating that the effect of change in physical activity on change in bone variables is at least partly mediated via changes in body composition.

The differences in bone parameters according to physical activity behavior during this relatively short period were significant. Hence, the net difference between a man who reduced his activity by 2.5 h/week and a man who increased his activity by 2.5 h/week would equal 0.023 g/cm² for the spine and 0.022 g/cm² for the hip, changes corresponding to 16% and 14%, respectively, of an SD in aBMD. Given that every SD decrease in femoral neck aBMD is associated with approximately a doubled increased hip fracture risk, an alteration dependent on change in physical activity behavior in hip aBMD, as seen in the present study, could result in future increased risk of developing low bone mass and to some extent be of clinical significance.

![Fig. 3. Five-year changes in (A) cortical CSA and (B) trabecular volumetric BMD of the tibia according to changes in physical activity. Consistently high (HH), consistently low (LL), changed from high to low (HL), and changed from low to high (LH) amount of physical activity in relation to sports. Values are given as mean ± SEM. Letters represent p < 0.001.](image-url)
Trabecular vBMD is thought to be of importance for the structural integrity and strength of the long bones, and has been found to be lower in both young men and postmenopausal women with prevalent fractures than in their nonfracture counterparts. Thus, our data indicate that increased physical activity could have a positive impact on the development of this bone trait, which could have a future impact of fractures associated with trabecular bone loss.

Although our results clearly demonstrate that increased physical activity was associated with greater 5-year gains in aBMD and cortical bone size, it must be emphasized that the highest aBMD and cortical bone size at the follow-up visit, probably as a result of their long duration and early initiation of physical activity. These findings support previous research indicating the importance of maintenance of a sufficient physical activity to avoid bone loss. In addition, since falling is an even more important risk factor of hip fractures than aBMD, and exercise to maintain physical functioning is the most effective way to reduce the risk of falling, maintenance of physical activity is most likely important at higher ages when hip fractures start to occur.

Using questionnaires to assess physical activity habits is associated with limitations such as obtainment of, to some extent, imprecise physical activity data. In the present study, present sport activity participation was assessed using a self-reporting questionnaire at both the first study visit (baseline) and the 5-year follow-up visit, which limited the risk of inaccurate reporting caused by long time periods of recalling previous physical activity habits.

The use of a measuring technique, pQCT, which enables investigation of the bone geometric properties and the vBMD, together with the large sample size of men followed longitudinally, constitute major strengths of the present study. In addition, the dropout rate was fairly low (22%) and the followed men were not statistically different in anthropometrics and physical activity habits at the baseline visit, suggesting that the longitudinal cohort was representative of the initial population-based cohort. The investigated population, being mainly whites and only males, does not allow us to infer that our results will apply to other populations.

In conclusion, this is the first large study reporting that increased physical activity was related to increased aBMD, trabecular vBMD, and augmented cortical bone size in young adulthood, indicating that physical activity during this age has the ability to optimize the attained peak bone mass, which could affect the risk of developing osteoporosis later in life.
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