

EXPOSITION

Indigenous cultural identity of research authors standard: Research and reconciliation with Indigenous peoples in rural health journals

INTRODUCTION

'It is vital that Indigenous researchers are recognised and duly acknowledged, and that the research being published is culturally appropriate' (Professor Donald Warne, Oglala Lakota, International Adviser, Australian Journal of Rural Health, 2021).

Background

In health research publication, it is difficult to distinguish authors who self-identify as Indigenous peoples, for example, as First Nations, Aboriginal, Torres Strait Islander, Māori, Pacifica, American Indian, Alaskan Native, Métis, Inuit or as any of the 370 million Indigenous peoples worldwide.1 Their invisibility is partly due to the lack of attribution in the publications: for instance, the author list - with first and last names only - restricts the conveyance of identity. Our goal as an academic community should be to expand the inclusiveness of research

governance to include publication governance. Editorial rules stipulate the publication of ethics approvals, statements of interest, organisational affiliations, declaration of funding sources and author contributions to the articles, but what about Indigenous cultural identity? The issue of author identity is especially relevant for rural and remote health journals because Indigenous peoples living in rural and remote health locations experience health inequities linked to racism and cultural suppression. We, the editorial teams of the Canadian Journal of Rural Medicine (CJRM), Australian Journal of Rural Health (AJRH) and the Rural and Remote Health (RRH), are changing our editorial rules so that research published about Indigenous peoples includes Indigenous peoples as authors, or evidence is provided Indigenous peoples' genuine engagement in all the stages of the research process, including crafting the manuscript.2-4 Our next step is to propose the development of an

Received: 31-03-2022 Revised: 07-04-2022 Accepted: 26-04-2022 Published: 29-06-2022

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite the article: Lock MJ, McMillan F, Warne D, Bennett B, Kidd J, Williams N, *et al.* Indigenous cultural identity of research authors standard: Research and reconciliation with Indigenous peoples in rural health journals. Can J Rural Med 2022;27:104-10.

Mark J Lock (Ngiyampaa) PhD1, Faye McMillan (Wiradjuri) DHSc², Donald Warne (Oglala Lakota), MD⁵, Bindi Bennett (Gamilaraay), PhD⁴, Jacquie Kiдд (Ngāpuhi), PhD⁵, Naomi Williams (Bkejwanong), PhD student6, Jodie Lea Martire (Australian settler), MCommun(CSC)7, Paul Worley, PhD8, Peter Hutten-Czapski, MD9, Emily Saurman, PhD¹⁰, Veronica Matthews (Quandamooka), PhD¹¹, Emma Walke (Bundialung)¹², Dave Eдwarдs (Worimi), BSc,¹³ Julie Owen (Nurrunga and Ngarrendjeri), PhD,14 Jennifer Browne, PhD¹⁵, Russell Roberts, PhD^{16}

*Please see end of this article for author affiliations.

Correspondence to: Mark Lock (Ngiyampaa), mark.lock@uts.edu.au



Website: www.cjrm.ca

DOI:

10.4103/cjrm.cjrm_25_22

Indigenous Cultural Identity of Research Authors Standard (ICIRAS, pronounced 'I-keye-ras', short 'I' sound in Indigenous, hard 'k' sound for Culture and long 'eye' sound in Identity) [Figure 1].

Environmental scan

Discerning the cultural provenance of the authors of a research paper involving Indigenous peoples is difficult (Cultural provenance is a concept that signals the diversity of Indigenous peoples' cultural roots specific to local tribes, e.g., Ngiyampaa is one of hundreds of tribes of Indigenous Australians). Published articles vary in how the Indigenous identity is flagged, and for most journals reporting the Indigenous identity of authors is optional and, therefore, often completely absent in many manuscripts. An environmental scan was conducted to detect the Indigenous cultural identity of authors using a novel method of hand searching author libraries, and scholarly databases, for example, where Indigenous author identity was explicit. Notation of cultural provenance was variable. It appeared in the byline of both the webpage header and in the pdf,5-7 positionality,8 acknowledgements researcher section,9 ethics section,10 methods section,11 materials and methods section, 12 the introduction and preceding the methods,13 citation format,5 front page of author information on preprint¹⁴ and indicated with additional author information symbols (*, †, ‡, §).15

Definition

For the purpose of this standard, we specify Indigenous cultural identity as the self-identified



Figure 1: Flagging the Indigenous Cultural Identity of Research Authors Standard (Art by Jason Lee, Larrakia).

Indigenous status of authors whose ancestors 'inhabited a country or a geographical region at the time when people of different cultures or ethnic origins arrived'. Many Indigenous peoples have a shared experience of occupation, settlement or colonisation. To align with current United Nations nomenclature, we use the term Indigenous peoples.

WHY A STANDARD?

A standard means setting a bar for equity, diversity and inclusiveness. Currently, without any such agreed minimum, rural health research discourse reflects research colonialism and power imbalances. This history accords value to a degree, profession or organisational affiliation, but not deep cultural wisdom, and expertise. This must end, for example, by principles of:

- Aligning with current best practice in research with Indigenous peoples
- 2. Promoting culturally safe publishing
- 3. Acknowledging, including and respecting Indigenous researchers and participants
- 4. Promoting culturally appropriate positionality
- 5. Recognising Indigenous peoples' knowledge sovereignty
- 6. Respecting Indigenous peoples' cultural authority and expertise
- 7. Amplifying Indigenous peoples' voices in research publications.

These points indicate the need to develop and enact a standard for all academic publishers, ethics committees and research institutions so that Indigenous peoples are acknowledged, recognised and respected throughout academia and research. This would assist journal reviewers and readers alike in their appraisals of research.

Aligned with current best practice in research

There are parts of the international research environment where publications proactively address systemic biases and structural racism. ¹⁶⁻¹⁹ However, there has been no standardised 'flag' to signal that the terrain systematically includes the Indigenous cultural identity of authors. It was in 2007 that the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, Article 2 stated 'the right to be free from any kind of

discrimination, in the exercise of their rights, in particular that based on their indigenous origin or identity'.²⁰ The ICIRAS would be a lever for embedding the rights of Indigenous peoples into academic publishing governance, as aligned to the movement for embedding human rights in research with Indigenous and Tribal peoples.²¹

Promoting culturally safe publishing

Indigenous cultural identity is important in cultural safety because it promotes professional reflexivity about personal, professional, organisational and social biases.²² The ethic of respecting identity and cultural diversity is a part of many definitions of cultural safety. 23-25 Readers routinely search the name of authors, their organisation, the funding bodies, their qualifications and their background to help assess the research's integrity: What about cultural integrity? Academic journals need to do more to make Indigenous peoples' voices and cultural qualifications obviously visible because, 'By acknowledging not just the contributors to the piece but the standpoints, we take the conversations to a deeper and fuller level'.26 The first step toward deeper conversations is being aware of an author's name, while the next step is to recognise their Indigenous cultural identity as a flag for their cultural worldviews and lenses.

Inclusivity - 'be it to see it'

An ICIRAS sends a strong message: '(Indigenous researchers) are pushing back against assimilation and attempts to hide our identities and our realities through terms and methodologies that ignore our distinctiveness'. 27 This sentiment also resonates with the non-Indigenous authors of this paper, who believe transparent authorship and cultural provenance is important in assessing the integrity of research concerning Indigenous peoples.²⁸ The three journals championing this initiative have agreed to operationalise this position but recognise that policy change requires consultation and co-design activities. The RRH Journal's policy, 'nothing about us, without us', means that 'an article about people in any country or region without authors from that country or region will not be published'.28 The AJRH's Author Cultural Identity Matters statement urges that 'cultural identity as a component of an author's credentials could be a meaningful action to acknowledge and respect Indigenous authors involved in rural health research and manuscripts'.²⁹ The leadership of the CJRM, in prompting the idea of the 'Position Statement: Research and Reconciliation with Indigenous Peoples in Rural Health Journals',² has implemented screening questions for submitted manuscripts.³⁰

Promoting culturally appropriate positionality

The global movement toward cultural safety, led by Indigenous peoples and championed by non-Indigenous allies, 31-35 prompts journals and publications to reflect on their position in perpetuating the power structures of colonial processes. This is relevant to research journals because the academic publication process, from authors to reviewers to editors, has legitimatised scholarship norms that obscure the role of racism in publishing practices'. 36 It means decolonising those norms by reflecting on the pattern of culturally dangerous research (which diminishes, demeans and disempowers Indigenous cultural identity) and standardising ways of including Indigenous knowledge systems and intellectual sovereignty,³⁷ preventing human rights violations through unethical research,³⁸ building trust in academic research conduct,³⁹ acknowledging the authenticity of Indigenous scholarship, 40 preventing the cultural appropriation knowledge⁴¹ and promoting the power Indigenous writing styles.⁴² We believe that the ICIRAS would signal to Indigenous peoples that academia is a space for action on breaking down colonial research traditions and moving toward respectful, inclusive research practice. 43-50

Recognising Indigenous peoples' knowledge sovereignty

Gamilaraay Australian scholar Bindi Bennett's seminal research 'regarding the practice of acknowledging Indigenous participants and knowledge in articles that contain Indigenous content'26 found few examples of recognition despite the argument where 'some participants pointed out that by being able to indicate the author's own cultural heritage, this can then give the audience a better understanding of the origins

of the author's perspective' (p. 177). She argued that the lack of acknowledgement of Indigenous Australians in published articles 'serves to reaffirm that possession of knowledge and knowledge production is controlled by others' (p. 168). Therefore, within our sphere of influence in rural health research publishing, we respect that Indigenous peoples' knowledge belongs to them, and we will work with Indigenous stakeholders to develop practical strategies, perhaps along the lines of Indigenous data sovereignty, 51-55 to ensure knowledge sovereignty.

Respecting Indigenous peoples' cultural authority and expertise

The ICIRAS would also link to cultural authority because power rests with Indigenous peoples to determine if cultural knowledge should be embedded in journal publications: 'One of the ways we acknowledge our worldviews and value is through our cultural worldview and lens'.[26] Our worldviews naturally inform the research evidence base and all aspects of the research process. For example, through Harfield et al.'s Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Quality Appraisal Tool, researchers are asked: 'Did the research have Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander research leadership?'54 This question is difficult to answer when Indigenous status is hard to determine from the content and metadata of an article. Recently, several publications denoted Indigenous cultural identity of authors as: first name, last name (Indigenous Nation),5-7 thus signalling the importance of cultural authority.

Amplifying Indigenous peoples' voices in research publications

An ICIRAS should reflect on the findings of research from the sphere of Australian micro- and small presses. Professional Editor Jodie Lea Martire dug deeply into the publishing world: 'The acquisition and editorial stages of publishing beg the question of who has the privilege and power to edit whom'. ⁵⁵ In order to support the voices of under-represented authors, Martire found that the small presses cemented specific steps into their publishing processes. Therefore, all the steps of the rural research publication process should be examined to determine the

points and pathways through which Indigenous peoples' voices are constrained or enabled. As the editors of research wherein messages live through academic discourse, we have a moral obligation to ensure those messages frame the cultural strengths of Indigenous peoples.

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

The environmental scan revealed some factors to consider for this exposition. For example, guidelines exist for the inclusion of cultural identity in author lists,56 but they need to be updated to enable authors to self-identify. 57 Several issues demand deep conversation: must authors self-identify or can it be optional? Note that the authors of this report were explicit in having our cultural identity included. What form of identity to use? How do non-Indigenous authors identify? Should identification occur where Indigenous authors publish non-Indigenous and Indigenous content? Should cultural identity be noted in reference lists? And then, there is cultural intersectionality (e.g., LGBTIQA+, gender diversity, multicultural and other forms of cultural expression). These, and no doubt other issues to be uncovered in our future systematic review, will require careful consideration to inform research publication governance, such as the peer-review process.58

DISCUSSION – TRANSLATING POLICY INTO ACTION

The intent of ICIRAS is to celebrate Indigenous cultural identity in academic discourse. This aligns with a key aspect of cultural safety - services are provided regardful of culture, identity and difference. 59-62 This means that the editorial governance of journals should be geared to epitomise Indigenous peoples as a reconciliation indicator for 'unwrapping epistemic injustice and colonisation' in public health scholarship.⁶³ According to Dr. Alika Lafontaine (the first Indigenous president-elect of the Canadian Medical Association), 'A big part of reconciliation comes with shifting what we think is normal, and that's going to require work from a lot of different sides'.64 Is this an attitude that the worldwide research community can adopt for creating the ICIRAS?

CALL TO ACTION – 'EDITING WITH INDIGENUS'

In the spirit of cultural safety, there is an imperative to work towards a scholarly state where Indigenous peoples are 'assured that the system reflects something of you',²³ that there is 'shared respect, shared meaning, shared knowledge and experience, of learning together with dignity, and truly listening',²⁴ and that employs ongoing critical reflection of power differentials.⁶⁵ This requires journal editors, managers as well as research committees, funders and publishers to work for genuine truth and reconciliation with Indigenous peoples.

Our collaboration of rural and remote health journals invites other academic journals to:

- Conduct an audit to baseline the status of Indigenous peoples at all the levels of governance, ⁶⁶ such as on editorial boards, publishing house governance structures and at all stages of publishing
- Establish an editors' sub-committee or working party to discuss ICIRAS and consider implementation issues
- Include manuscript submission questions about author indigeneity and the participation of Indigenous peoples in the research
- 4. Include Indigenous identity of authors in the byline
- 5. Revise author guidelines for acknowledging contributions
- 6. Publicise their position on research and reconciliation with Indigenous peoples
- 7. Provide a journal-specific position statement about the ICIRAS
- 8. Monitor, evaluate and report on the impact of ICIRAS for assessing inclusivity and visibility of Indigenous research authors.

CONCLUSION

Research needs to evolve to achieve the best-quality evidence possible to support policy, advocacy and practice in rural healthcare reforms. However, academic publishing processes could be better geared to value the cultural voices of Indigenous peoples. In this way, research evidence would be clearer in its cultural authority, expertise, provenance, respect and sovereignty. With the Indigenous Cultural Identity of

Research Authors Standard, a consortium of rural health research journals has committed to systematic reforms to translate hashtags into academic reality. #DecolonizePublication Governance, #PrivilegeIndigenousAuthors, and #DecolonizeScholarlyDiscourse. The ICIRAS is a call to action for research journals and institutions to rigorously improve research governance and show leadership in amplifying the cultural identity of Indigenous authors in health research.

Acknowledgement: Acknowledgement [following Bennett (26)], Dr. Mark Lock (Ngiyampaa) acknowledges the generous time given by all the contributors in the many conversations, re-writing and editing of this paper. Thank you for the invaluable contribution made by Dave Edwards. As a group of Indigenous and non-Indigenous authors, we united in a shared goal of championing research and reconciliation with Indigenous peoples in rural heath journals. We acknowledge Indigenous peoples worldwide and pay our respects to their ancestral knowledge holders, past, present and emerging. Dr. Lock thanks the editors of the three journals, and non-Indigenous allies, for championing a cause that empowers me to express my cultural identity in academic discourse.

Financial support and sponsorship: Nil.

Conflicts of interest: Conflicts of Interest of each author/contributor. Collaboratively published in the Canadian Journal of Rural Medicine, Rural and Remote Health, and the Australian Journal of Rural Health. ML, FM and ES are Associate Editors of the Australian Journal of Rural Health (AJRH). RR is former Editor-in-Chief of AJRH. PHC is Scientific Editor of the Canadian Journal of Rural Medicine. PW is Editor-in-Chief of Rural and Remote Health.

REFERENCES

- United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues. Factsheet: Who are Indigenous Peoples? UNPFII; 2006. Available from: https://www.un.org/esa/socdev/unpfii/documents/5session_ factsheet1.pdf. [Last accessed on 2021 Oct 8].
- 2. Lock MJ, Beverley McMillan AM, Bennett B, Martire JL, Warne D, Kidd J, *et al.* Position statement: Research and reconciliation with Indigenous People in rural health journals. Can J Rural Med 2022;27:3-4.
- 3. Lock MJ, McMillan F, Bennett B, Martire JL, Warne D, Kidd J, et al. Position statement: Research and reconciliation with Indigenous Peoples in rural health journals. Rural Remote Health 2022;22:7353.
- 4. Lock M, McMillan F, Bennett B, Martire JL, Warne D, Kidd J, *et al.* Position statement: Research and reconciliation with Indigenous peoples in rural health journals. Aust J Rural Health 2022;30:6-7.
- Carlisle K, Matthews Quandamooka V, Redman-MacLaren M, Vine K, Turner Anmatyerre/Jaru NN, Felton-Busch Yangkaal/ Gangalidda C, et al. A qualitative exploration of priorities for quality improvement amongst Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander primary health care services. BMC Health Serv Res 2021;21:431.
- 6. Hickey S, Roe Y, Ireland S, Kildea S, Haora P, Gao Y, et al. A call

- for action that cannot go to voicemail: Research activism to urgently improve Indigenous perinatal health and wellbeing. Women Birth 2021;34:303-5.
- 7. Samantha FH, Kylie AJ, Sharron TH, Carmen K, Toni NM, Sarah JM, *et al.* 'Sometimes they're gammin, playing tricks, but sometimes it's ears'. The perspectives of urban parents and carers of young Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children on their journey to diagnosis of persistent ear health and hearing problems. Public Health Res Pract 2021;31:3152129.
- 8. Thorpe K, Burgess C, Egan S. Aboriginal community-led preservice teacher education: Learning from country in the city. Aust J Teach Educ 2021;46(1):https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ajte/vol46/iss1/4/.
- 9. Whop LJ, Smith MA, Butler TL, Adcock A, Bartholomew K, Goodman MT, *et al.* Achieving cervical cancer elimination among Indigenous women. Prev Med 2021;144:106314.
- 10. Wright A, Yap M, Jones R, Richardson A, Davis V, Lovett R. Examining the associations between indigenous rangers, culture and wellbeing in Australia, 2018-2020. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18:3053.
- Withall L, Ryder C, Mackean T, Edmondson W, Sjoberg D, McDermott D, et al. Assessing cultural safety in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health. Aust J Rural Health 2021;29:201-10.
- 12. Walker R, Usher K, Jackson D, Reid C, Hopkins K, Shepherd C, et al. Connection to. Addressing digital inequities in supporting the well-being of young indigenous Australians in the wake of COVID-19. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18:2141.
- Venugopal J, Morton Ninomiya ME, Green NT, Peach L, Linklater R, George PN, et al. A scoping review of evaluated Indigenous community-based mental wellness initiatives. Rural Remote Health 2021;21:6203.
- 14. Brockie TN, Hill K, Davidson PM, Decker E, Krienke LK, Nelson KE, *et al.* Strategies for culturally safe research with Native American communities: An integrative review. Contemp Nurse 2022; Jan 4:1-25.
- Mohamed J, Matthews V, Bainbridge R, Williams M. Who is speaking for us? Identifying Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander scholarship in health research. Med J Aust 2021;215:383-383.e1.
- National Institutes of Health. Ending Structural Racism: NIH;
 2020. Available from: https://www.nih.gov/ending-structural-racism. [Last accessed on 2021 Jan 04].
- 17. Ricci M. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion: Why Wiley? Why Research Publishing? Wiley; 2021. Available from: https://www.wiley.com/network/journaleditors/latest-content/diversity-equity-and-inclusion-why-wiley-why-research-publishing. [Last accessed on 2022 Jan 05].
- 18. Royal Society of Chemistry. Joint Commitment for Action on Inclusion and Diversity in Publishing: The Society; 2020. Available from: https://www.rsc.org/new-perspectives/talent/ joint-commitment-for-action-inclusion-and-diversity-in-publish ing/. [Last accessed on 2022 Apr 04].
- Coalition for Diversity & Inclusion in Scholarly Communications.
 Joint Statement of Principles: C4DISC; 2021. Available from: https://c4disc.org/principles/. [Last updated on Oct 2021].
- 20. United Nations. United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples: UN; 2008. Available from: https://www.un.org/development/desa/indigenouspeoples/declaration-on-the-rights-of-indigenous-peoples.html. [Last accessed on 2022 Apr 04].
- 21. Griffiths K, Diaz A, Whop LJ, Cunningham J. The health and wellbeing of indigenous and tribal peoples around the globe: Ensuring and promoting best practice in research. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;19:261.
- 22. Russell-Mundine G. Reflexivity in indigenous research: Reframing and decolonising research? J Hosp Tour Manag 2012;19:e7.
- 23. Eckermann AK. Binan Goonj: Bridging Cultures in Aboriginal Health. Armidale, NSW: University of New England Press, in Association with the Department of Aboriginal and Multicultural Studies, University of New England, and the Council of Remote

- Area Nurses of Australia; University of New England Press; 1992. p. 244.
- Williams R. Cultural safety-what does it mean for our work practice? Aust N Z J Public Health 1999;23:213-4.
- 25. Elek C, Gibberd A, Gubhaju L, Lennox J, Highfold R, Goldfeld S, et al. An opportunity for our little ones: Findings from an evaluation of an aboriginal early childhood learning centre in central Australia. Early Child Educ J 2022;50:579-91.
- 26. Bennett B. What to bring when you are told not to bring a thing: The need for protocols in acknowledging indigenous knowledges and participants in Australian research. J Sociol 2020;56:167-83.
- 27. Marsden N, Star L, Smylie J. Nothing about us without us in writing: Aligning the editorial policies of the Canadian Journal of Public Health with the inherent rights of Indigenous Peoples. Can J Public Health 2020;111:822-5.
- Couper I, Worley P. Researching 'others'. Rural Remote Health 2021;21:6819.
- 29. Australian Journal of Rural Health. Media Release: Author Cultural Identity Matters in Rural Health Research: National Rural Health Alliance; 2021. Available from: https://www.ruralhealth.org.au/media-release/author-cultural-identity-matters-rural-health-research. [Last accessed on 2021 Oct 08].
- 30. Smylie J, Marsden N, Star L, Gahagan J, Zarowsky C, Mykhalovskiy E, *et al.* Requirement for meaningful engagement of first nations, Inuit, Métis, and Indigenous peoples in publications about them. Can J Public Health 2020;111:826-30.
- 31. Mohamed J, West R. Creating an Indigenous-led Movement for Cultural Safety in Australia: Croakey; 2017. Availablefrom: https://croakey.org/creating-an-indigenous-led-movement-for-cultural-safety-in-australia/. [Last accessed on 2017 Aug 04].
- 32. Ramsden I. Cultural safety/Kawa Whakaruruhau ten years on: A personal overview. Nurs Prax N Z 2000;15:4-12.
- Lock MJ, Walker T, Browne J. Promoting cultural rigour through critical appraisal tools in First Nations peoples' research. Aust N Z J Public Health 2021;45:210-1.
- 34. Kurtz DL, Janke R, Vinek J, Wells T, Hutchinson P, Froste A. Health Sciences cultural safety education in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, and the United States: A literature review. Int J Med Educ 2018;9:271-85.
- Maddox R, Blais G, Mashford-Pringle A, Monchalin R, Firestone M, Ziegler C, et al. Reviewing health service and program evaluations in indigenous contexts: A systematic review. Am J Eval 2021;42:332-53.
- Pickler RH, Munro CL, Likis FE. Addressing racism in editorial practices. Nurse Author Ed 2020;30:38-40.
- Gewin V. How to include Indigenous researchers and their knowledge. Nature 2021;589:315-7.
- 38. Pacheco CM, Daley SM, Brown T, Filippi M, Greiner KA, Daley CM. Moving forward: Breaking the cycle of mistrust between American Indians and researchers. Am J Public Health 2013:103:2152-9.
- Munsey C. Research in Indian Country. gradPSYCH Magazine: American Psychological Association; 2011. Available from: https://www.apa.org/gradpsych/2011/01/indian-country. [Last accessed on 2021 Oct 07].
- 40. Viren S. The Native Scholar Who Wasn't. New Your Times Magazine; May 25, 2021.
- 41. Wyndham S. "If I'm Not at the Table I'm on the Menu" Online: The University of Sydney, Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences; 2020. Available from: https://www.sydney.edu.au/arts/news-and-events/news/2020/09/24/not-at-table-on-menu.html. [Last accessed on 2020 Sep 24].
- 42. Canagarajah AS. "Nondiscursive" requirements in academic publishing, material resources of periphery scholars, and the politics of knowledge production. Writ Commun 1996;13:435-72.
- 43. McAllister TG, Kidman J, Rowley O, Theodore RF. Why isn't my professor Māori? A snapshot of the academic workforce in New Zealand universities. MAI J 2019;8.

- Naepi S. Why isn't my professor Pasifika? A snapshot of the academic workforce in New Zealand universities. MAI J 2019;8:2.
- 45. Naepi S, McAllister T. Why Aren't Universities Made for People Like Me? Online: E-Tangata; 2019. Available from: https://e-tangata.co.nz/comment-and-analysis/why-arent-universities-made-for-people-like-me/.
- 46. Native American Center for Excellence; Houston, TX, USA. Available: https://www.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/nace-steps-conducting-research-evaluation-native-communities.pdf. [Last accessed on 2019 Aug 16].
- 47. McMahon TR, Griese ER, Kenyon DB. Cultivating Native American scientists: An application of an Indigenous model to an undergraduate research experience. Cult Stud Sci Educ 2019;14:77-110.
- 48. Movono A, Carr A, Hughes E, Hapeta J, Scheyvens R, Stewart-Withers R. Indigenous Scholars Struggle to be Heard in the Mainstream. Here's How Journal Editors and Reviewers Can Help Online: The Conversation; 2021. Available from: https://theconversation.com/indigenous-scholars-struggle-to-be-heard-in-the-mainstream-heres-how-journal-editors-and-reviewers-can-help-157860. [Last accessed on 2021 Oct 07].
- More than words ANZJPH declares an urgent call for manuscripts that address Indigenous health. Aust N Z J Public Health 2020;44:175-6.
- 50. Bennett B. Australian Social Work: Proposed Guidelines for Articles by Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Authors and About Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Issues. Australian Social Work; 2021. p. 1-7.
- 51. Walter M, Lovett R, Maher B, Williamson B, Prehn J, Bodkin-Andrews G, *et al.* Indigenous data sovereignty in the era of big data and open data. Aust J Soc Issues 2021;56:143-56.
- 52. Yellow Horse AJ, Huyser KR. Indigenous data sovereignty and COVID-19 data issues for American Indian and Alaska Native Tribes and populations. J Popul Res (Canberra) 2021;Apr 9:1-5.
- Cormack D, Reid P, Kukutai T. Indigenous data and health: Critical approaches to 'race'/ethnicity and Indigenous data governance. Public Health 2019;172:116-8.
- 54. Harfield S, Pearson O, Morey K, Kite E, Canuto K, Glover K, et al. Assessing the quality of health research from an Indigenous perspective: The Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander quality appraisal tool. BMC Med Res Methodol 2020;20:79.

- Martire JL. Amplifying silenced voices through micro-and small-press publishing. Publish Res Q 2021;37:213-26.
- Roberts R. Why ascribing authorship is important. Aust J Rural Health 2018;26:72-3.
- 57. Roberts R, McMillan F, Stanton S, Burmeister O, Greig J. Evaluation of NewAccess for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people in the Central Eastern Sydney Primary Health Network. Bathurst: Charles Sturt University; 2019.
- Committee on Publication Ethics. Editing Peer Reviews: COPE;
 2021. Available from: https://mailchi.mp/publicationethics/cope-digest-september-2021-peerreview. [Last accessed on 2020 Jan 05].
- Fraser S, Grant J, Mackean T, Hunter K, Holland AJ, Clapham K, et al. Burn injury models of care: A review of quality and cultural safety for care of Indigenous children. Burns 2018;44:665-77.
- 60. McCall J, Lauridsen-Hoegh P. Trauma and cultural safety: Providing quality care to HIV-infected women of aboriginal descent. J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care 2014;25:S70-8.
- McEldowney R, Connor MJ. Cultural safety as an ethic of care: A praxiological process. J Transcult Nurs 2011;22:342-9.
- 62. Byrne H, Cirillo A, Murphy-Gelderman W, Petrucci D, Gamondele N, Zafran H. Stories of Pediatric Rehabilitation Practitioners within Indigenous Communities A Guide to Becoming Culturally Safer. Montréal, QC.; 2020. Available from: https://www.mcgill.ca/spot/files/spot/stories_of_pediatric_rehabilitation_practitioners_within_indigenous_communities_a_guide_to_becoming_culturally_safer.pdf. [Last accessed on 2022 Jan 05].
- Ramirez-Valles J. Racism within: Special journal issue on scholars of color. Health Educ Behav 2021;48:233-6.
- 64. Rutherford G. Five Things We all need to Know about Reconciliation in Health Care. Folio: University of Alberta; 2021. Available from: https://www.ualberta.ca/folio/2021/09/five-things-we-all-need-to-know-about-reconciliation-in-health-care.html. [Last accessed on 2021 Jan 04].
- Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Cultural Health and Safety Strategy 2020-2025. Barton: Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency; 2020.
- 66. Roberts R. COVID-19, leadership and lessons from physics. Aust J Rural Health 2020;28:232-5.

¹Girra Maa Indigenous Health Discipline, School of Public Health, Faculty of Health, University of Technology Sydney, NSW, Australia,
²School of Population Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW, Australia,
⁵Department of Family and Community Medicine, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, The University of North Dakota, Grand Forks,
ND, USA, ⁴First Nations Health, Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, Bond University, Gold Coast, Australia,
⁵Taupua Waiora Centre for Māori Health Research, Auckland University of Technology, Auckland, New Zealand, ⁶School of Social Work,
Faculty of Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON, Canada, ⁷Independent Scholar, ⁸College of Medicine
and Public Health, Flinders University, South Australia, Australia, (Editor, Rural and Remote Health Journal), ⁹Northern Ontario School of
Medicine, Sudbury, ON, Canada (Scientific Editor, Canadian Journal of Rural Medicine), Northern Ontario School of Medicine, Haileybury,
ON, Canada, ¹⁰Broken Hill University Department of Rural Health, School of Public Health, The University of Sydney, NSW, Australia,
¹¹University Centre for Rural Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Australia, ¹²University Centre for
Rural Health, University of Sydney, Lismore, Australia,
¹⁴Library For All, ¹⁵School of Health and Social Development, Institute for Health Transformation, Deakin University, Geelong, Victoria,
Australia, ¹⁶School of Business, Faculty of Business, Justice and Bebavioural Sciences, Charles Sturt University, Orange, NSW, Australia