

## **Research Bank**

Journal article

Subthreshold body dysmorphic disorder in adolescents : Prevalence and impact

Schneider, Sophie C., Mond, Jonathan, Turner, Cynthia M. and Hudson, Jennifer L.

This is the accepted manuscript version. For the publisher's version please see:

Schneider, S. C., Mond, J., Turner, C. M. and Hudson, J. L. (2017). Subthreshold body dysmorphic disorder in adolescents: Prevalence and impact. *Psychiatry Research*, 251, pp. 125-130. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.01.085">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.01.085</a>

This work © 2017 is licensed under <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-</u> NoDerivatives 4.0 International.

# Author's Accepted Manuscript

Subthreshold Body Dysmorphic Disorder Adolescents: Prevalence and Impact

Sophie C. Schneider, Jonathan Mond, Cynthia M. Turner, Jennifer L. Hudson



www.elsevier.com/locate/psychres

PII: S0165-1781(16)30794-6

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.01.085

PSY10282 Reference:

To appear in: Psychiatry Research

Received date: 6 May 2016 Revised date: 9 November 2016 Accepted date: 29 January 2017

Cite this article as: Sophie C. Schneider, Jonathan Mond, Cynthia M. Turner and Jennifer L. Hudson, Subthreshold Body Dysmorphic Disorder in Adolescents Prevalence Impact, Psychiatry Research and http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.01.085

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted fo publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version o the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain

## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

Subthreshold Body Dysmorphic Disorder in Adolescents: Prevalence and Impact Byline: Sophie C. Schneider<sup>a</sup>, Jonathan Mond<sup>b,c</sup>, Cynthia M. Turner<sup>d</sup>, Jennifer L. Hudson<sup>a\*</sup>

aCentre for Emotional Health, Department of Psychology, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia

<sup>b</sup>Centre for Health Research, School of Medicine, Western Sydney University, Sydney, Australia

<sup>c</sup>Centre for Rural Health, School of Health Sciences, University of Tasmania, Launceston, Australia.

<sup>d</sup>School of Psychology, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia.

\*Corresponding author: Centre for Emotional Health, Department of Psychology. Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia. Tel.: +612 9850 8668, fax: +612 9850 8062. jennie.hudson@mq.edu.au

## Abstract

The aim of the current study was to establish the prevalence of subthreshold body dysmorphic disorder (BDD) in a community sample of adolescents, and to compare disorder correlates in individuals with subthreshold-BDD to those with probable full-syndrome BDD (probable-BDD) and those without BDD (non-BDD). Self-report questionnaires assessing *DSM-IV* BDD criteria, past mental health service use, and symptoms of body dysmorphic disorder, anxiety, depression, obsessive-compulsive disorder and eating disorders, were completed by 3149 Australian high school students (mean age = 14.6 years, 63.5% male). Male participants also completed measures assessing quality of life, muscularity concerns, emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity, and peer problems. The prevalence of subthreshold-BDD was 3.4%. Compared to the non-BDD group, subthreshold-BDD was associated with elevated symptoms of comorbid psychopathology and greater past mental

## ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

health service use, and in male-only measures, with poorer quality of life and elevated muscularity concerns. Subthreshold-BDD participants reported significantly lower mental health service use, and fewer symptoms of depression, eating disorders, and hyperactivity than probable-BDD participants, however, other comorbid symptoms did not differ significantly between these groups. These findings indicate that subthreshold-BDD is associated with substantial difficulties for adolescents in the general community. BDD screening should include subthreshold presentations, and these may be an important target for early intervention programs.

**Keywords:** body dysmorphic disorder; subthreshold psychopathology; adolescent; anusci prevalence; comorbidity

#### 1. Introduction

Body dysmorphic disorder (BDD) is an obsessive-compulsive spectrum disorder involving preoccupation with perceived defects in appearance (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). BDD typically begins in the adolescent years (Phillips et al., 2005); it affects 1.7 - 2.3% of adolescents, prevalence does not appear to differ between adolescent males and females, but is higher in older adolescents than younger adolescents (Mayville et al., 1999; Rief et al., 2006; citation removed for blind review). In clinical samples, adolescent BDD is associated with high rates of suicidality, functional impairment, and comorbid psychopathology, particularly depression, anxiety, and obsessive-compulsive disorder (Albertini and Phillips, 1999; Phillips et al., 2006). Recent community studies have also linked probable cases of adolescent BDD to elevated comorbidity, impaired quality of life,

and deficits in social and emotional functioning (Mastro et al., 2016; citation removed for blind review).

Although BDD appears to be a potentially severe disorder in adolescence, little is known about the prevalence and relative severity of subthreshold-BDD. There is no established definition of subthreshold-BDD, but subthreshold disorders involve the presence of core disorder symptoms and associated distress or impairment that do not meet full diagnostic criteria (Pincus et al., 1999). In adolescents, subthreshold mental disorders are approximately twice as common as full-syndrome disorders, and constitute a substantial disease burden (Roberts et al., 2015). For example, adolescent subthreshold depression, anxiety, and obsessive-compulsive disorder are linked to increased comorbidity, greater functional impairment, and higher risk of later full-syndrome disorders (Balázs et al., 2013; Haller et al., 2014; Shankman et al., 2009; Wesselhoeft et al., 2013; Wolitzky-Taylor et al., 2014). No study has systematically examined subthreshold BDD in adolescence. However, one recent study found that those at moderate risk for BDD reported depression symptoms, self-worth, and appearance-related rejection sensitivity at levels intermediate between highrisk and low-risk groups (Mastro et al., 2016). There were similar numbers of adolescents in the high and moderate risk groups (9% vs 8% of the sample). However, as the study did not directly identify those with full syndrome and subthreshold BDD presentations, the prevalence of subthreshold-BDD in adolescents thus remains uncertain.

The current study aimed to establish the prevalence of subthreshold-BDD in a large community sample of adolescents. It also sought to examine the relative severity of subthreshold-BDD by comparing disorder correlates both to those with probable full-syndrome BDD (referred to as probable-BDD), and those without BDD (non-BDD). It was hypothesized that subthreshold-BDD would be elevated in older adolescents compared to younger adolescents, and that no significant sex difference in prevalence would be observed.

## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

Further, it was hypothesized that the subthreshold-BDD group would report higher symptoms of anxiety, depression, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and eating disorders, and greater past mental health service use, when compared with the non-BDD group. It was also hypothesized that the subthreshold-BDD group would report lower levels of anxiety, depression, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and eating disorder symptoms, and lower past mental health service use, than those with probable-BDD. Due to the nature of the recruitment methods, outlined below, a number of measures were administered to male participants only, and therefore the final hypotheses are specific to male participants. It was hypothesized that adolescent males with subthreshold-BDD would report higher quality of life impairment, muscularity concerns, emotional symptoms, peer problems, conduct problems, and hyperactivity, than non-BDD males, and lower scores on these measures than probable-BDD males.

## 2. Methods

## 2.1 Participants and procedure

Participants were recruited from seven high schools in New South Wales, Australia. Male participants were recruited from four single-sex Catholic boys' schools participating in a study about the utilization of an online treatment program for anxiety and depression. Female participants were recruited from two independent schools and one government girls' high school participating in a study of the development and prevention of anxiety and depression. All schools were located in the Greater Sydney area of New South Wales, Australia. School-level information was collected about socio-educational advantage (ICSEA; Australian Curriculum and Assessment Reporting Authority, 2013). This index is a standardized score (M = 1000.0, SD = 100.0) indicating socio-educational advantage relative to other schools in Australia. ICSEA of the participating schools ranged from 1002.0 - 1201.0 (M = 1092.5, SD = 70.8), indicating that schools ranged from average to high ICSEA.

Of 5005 eligible students, 3149 (62.9%) consented and took part in the current study; 2000 (63.5%) male, mean age 14.58 years (SD = 1.4, range = 12 - 18). Full information about study participants and procedures are available elsewhere (citation removed for blind review). Briefly, assessment sessions took place in class or year groups during school time, supervised by members of the research team, and teachers where available. Responses were collected confidentially using de-identified alphanumeric codes and participants were informed that the breaking of confidentiality would be considered only if their questionnaire responses indicated serious risk of harm, such as current suicidal ideation or evidence of abuse. The research was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Macquarie University. Approval was also granted by each school and their relevant governing body. Information about the study was provided directly to parents and students, and informed consent and assent were obtained.

#### 2.2 Measures

#### 2.2.1 All participants

The Body Dysmorphic Disorder Questionnaire-Adolescent Version (BDDQ-A; Phillips, 2005) assesses *DSM-IV* criteria for BDD in a series of yes/no questions assessing appearance preoccupation, distress, and impairment (American Psychiatric Association, 1994). For example, preoccupation is assessed with the item 'Do you think about your appearance problems a lot and wish you could think about them less?' Participants are excluded if they report that their primary appearance concern is related to their weight, or concerns about not being thin enough. Participants also describe the body areas of concern and the nature of any associated impairment. Time spent thinking about appearance per day is also assessed, with a duration of at least one hour required to indicate BDD. Although the BDDQ-A has not been validated in adolescent samples, it is highly similar to the adult BDDQ, which has good sensitivity (100%) and specificity (89 – 93%) in adult psychiatric

samples (Grant et al., 2001; Phillips et al., 1995).

BDDQ-A responses were used to determine BDD group membership. Individuals who were preoccupied with their appearance, experienced related distress or impairment, and were not primarily concerned about weight were classified as *probable-BDD* if they thought about their appearance for at least one hour per day, or *subthreshold-BDD* if they spent less than one hour per day thinking about appearance. All remaining participants were classified as *non-BDD*.

The Body Image Questionnaire, Child and Adolescent Version (BIQ-C; Veale, 2009) examines BDD symptoms including appearance checking, distress, avoidance, and impairment. The questionnaire begins with a screening item asking about the presence of any appearance concerns. If the participant does not report any concerns, they are given a total score of 0 and do not answer further items. Those with appearance concerns rank up to five body areas from most to least concerning. Twelve items then assess the nature and severity of appearance concerns, with varying response options scored 0 to 8. Current study internal consistency of the 12 symptom items  $\alpha = 0.88$ .

The child version of the 26-item Eating Attitudes Test (ChEAT-26; Maloney et al., 1988) measures disordered eating attitudes and behaviors. The least problematic responses (*never*, *rarely*, *sometimes*) are scored 0, the remaining responses scored as 1 (*often*), 2 (*very often*), or 3 (*always*). In the current study, internal consistency was  $\alpha = 0.87$ .

The Spence Children's Anxiety Scale (SCAS: Spence, 1998) contains 38 items assessing social anxiety, separation anxiety, generalized anxiety, panic-agoraphobia, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and physical injury fears, scored 0 (*never*) to 3 (*always*). Current study total scale internal consistency  $\alpha = 0.93$ .

The Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire (SMFQ; Angold et al., 1995) assesses depression symptoms over the past two weeks. This 13 item measure is scored from 0 (*not* 

*true*) to 2 (*true*). Current study  $\alpha = 0.92$ .

A screening item was included to assess whether participants had ever received assessment or treatment for any mental health concerns. If so, they were asked to indicate the type of mental health professionals consulted (psychologist/psychiatrist/school counselor/other), and to briefly describe their reasons for seeking treatment.

#### 2.2.2 Male participants

The drive for muscularity scale (DMS; McCreary and Sasse, 2000) is a 15 item measure of muscularity-driven behaviors and body image concerns from 1 (*never*) to 6 (*always*), the total score is the mean of all items. The item assessing anabolic steroid use was omitted. As muscularity concerns are linked primarily to body image dissatisfaction in males (McCreary, 2007), the DMS was administered to male participants only. Current study internal consistency  $\alpha = 0.93$ .

Due to differences in the design of the two larger studies, two additional measures were administered only at boys' schools. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ; Goodman, 1997) measures emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity and peer problems over 20 items, rated 0 (*not true*) to 2 (*certainly true*). A total difficulties score is the sum of these items, and in this study, internal consistency for male total difficulties was  $\alpha = 0.83$ . The internal consistency of the subscales was moderate but consistent with self-reported data in other Australian samples (Mellor, 2005); emotional symptoms  $\alpha = 0.74$ , conduct problems  $\alpha = 0.58$ , hyperactivity  $\alpha = 0.71$  and peer problems  $\alpha = 0.57$ .

The Pediatric Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire (PQ-LES-Q; Endicott et al., 2006), uses 14 items to assess quality of life across physical, emotional and social domains. Items are scored from 1 (*very poor*) to 5 (*very good*), and the total score (range 14-70) is converted to the percentage of the maximum possible score (range 0-100%). Male internal consistency for the current study was  $\alpha=0.92$ .

#### 2.3 Statistical analyses

Analyses were performed using SPSS version 23. Pearson's chi-square was used for categorical variables, with Cramer's V or odds ratio as measures of effect size. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare continuous variables between groups, with eta square effect size. Games-Howell pairwise comparisons were selected due to group size differences and unknown population variances (Field, 2013).

## 3. Results

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of each group. Pairwise comparisons indicated that the probable-BDD group was significantly older than the non-BDD group, whereas the subthreshold-BDD group did not differ from either of the other groups with respect to age. Chi-square tests indicated that mother's cultural background also differed between groups; non-BDD participants more likely to report an Oceanian background whereas probable-BDD participants reported higher rates of 'other' cultural background and lower rates of Oceanian backgrounds. Finally, both the subthreshold and probable-BDD groups were more likely than non-BDD participants to live in an 'other' household type, which included step and blended families, and families with non-parent primary caregivers. There were no other differences between groups on demographic variables and no association between the school attended and BDD group membership;  $\chi^2$  (1, N = 3149) = 18.54, p = 0.100, Cramer's V = 0.05.

Comparisons of subthreshold-BDD prevalence across age and gender were conducted after excluding the 55 participants with probable-BDD (see Table 2). As hypothesized, subthreshold-BDD prevalence was higher in older adolescents than in younger adolescents. Contrary to expectations, prevalence was also elevated in female participants compared to males.

Table 3 presents the ANOVAs comparing quality of life and comorbid psychopathology between the subthreshold-BDD, probable-BDD and non-BDD groups. Subthreshold-BDD participants reported higher levels of all comorbid symptoms than non-BDD participants, except for eating disorder symptoms as measured by the ChEAT-26. Comparisons between subthreshold and probable-BDD participants were mixed. For the total sample, symptoms of BDD, depression and eating disorders were lower in the subthreshold-BDD group, whereas symptoms of anxiety did not differ between subthreshold and probable-BDD groups. In males, SDQ total difficulties and hyperactivity were lower in the subthreshold-BDD group than the probable-BDD group, whereas scores of measures of quality of life, muscularity concerns, and other SDQ subscales did not differ between these groups.

Comparisons between groups on each measure were repeated controlling for age, mothers' cultural background, family setting, and sex (where applicable). Due to missing data on some items, these analyses included 2206 participants (70.1% of the sample). In this subset of participants, BDD group remained a significant predictor in these models with little change in partial eta squared, except for eating disorder symptoms, which were no longer predicted by BDD group (details available from the first author upon request),

Mental health service use was more common in subthreshold-BDD (24.7%) than non-BDD participants (14.7%),  $\chi^2$  (1, N = 2555) = 10.56, p = 0.001, odds ratio = 1.90 (95% CI: [1.28, 2.81]), but lower in subthreshold-BDD participants (24.7%) than in probable-BDD (45.8%),  $\chi^2$  (1, N = 229) = 10.80, p = 0.001, odds ratio = 2.58 (95% CI: [1.46, 4.58]).

#### 4. Discussion

The current study aimed to establish the prevalence and relative severity of subthreshold-BDD in a community sample of adolescents. The prevalence of subthreshold-BDD (3.4%) was twice as high as the prevalence of probable-BDD observed in a previous

study of this sample (1.7%; citation removed for blind review). As hypothesized, subthreshold BDD prevalence was significantly higher in older adolescents (15 – 18 years) compared to younger adolescents (12 – 14 years). This is consistent with adult reports that the mean age of BDD onset is 16 years, and BDD symptoms are usually present from the age of 13 (Phillips et al., 2005). Contrary to hypotheses, subthreshold-BDD was significantly more prevalent in females than in males. Though studies of the prevalence of full-syndrome BDD often fail to find significant sex differences (Buhlmann et al., 2010; Koran et al., 2008; Mayville et al., 1999; Rief et al., 2006; citation removed for blind review), higher female prevalence has been reported in adult samples (Boroughs et al., 2010; Schieber et al., 2015), and females were overrepresented in a study of adolescents at high risk for BDD (Mastro et al., 2016).

Subthreshold-BDD was associated with increased past mental health service use, and higher symptoms of anxiety, depression, and obsessive-compulsive symptoms compared to non-BDD. The male-only measures followed the same pattern; greater quality of life impairment, emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity, peer problems, and muscularity concerns were higher in the subthreshold-BDD group than the non-BDD group. The only exception was eating disorder symptoms, which did not differ between subthreshold-BDD and non-BDD participants. This finding may reflect, in part, the use of the BDDQ-A, as this measure excludes individuals with primary weight concerns from the subthreshold-BDD and probable-BDD groups (Phillips, 2005).

Contrary to hypotheses, the severity of some comorbid symptoms did not differ significantly between subthreshold and probable-BDD groups. There was no difference in any anxiety symptoms, and in males, no difference in emotional symptoms, conduct problems, peer problems, muscularity concerns, or quality of life. However, compared to probable-BDD, subthreshold-BDD was associated with relatively lower use of mental health

services, lower symptoms of BDD, depression, and eating disorders, and, in males, lower SDQ total difficulties and hyperactivity. Subthreshold and probable-BDD presentations were similar in several important disorder correlates, but probable-BDD was associated with increased difficulties in some domains.

The current findings indicate that distressing or impairing BDD concerns that do not meet full criteria for BDD are more common in females than in males, and in older adolescents than younger adolescents. The association between subthreshold BDD in adolescents and increased impairment and comorbidity, albeit less severe than that associated with probable-BDD on some measures, is consistent with findings from studies of other subthreshold disorders (for example, depression and anxiety; Balázs et al., 2013), and from a study of those at moderate risk of BDD (Mastro et al., 2016). Taken together, this suggests that subthreshold-BDD does not represent a normal level of appearance concern, and that early intervention for BDD should include the identification of subthreshold presentations.

The detection of subthreshold-BDD in adolescence may open new pathways for BDD treatment, as adult research indicates that subthreshold-BDD symptoms may respond to non-BDD focused treatments such as attentional retraining or cognitive behavioral therapy for social anxiety (Fang et al., 2013). Additionally, internet-delivered CBT with minimal therapist support is an effective treatment for those with milder BDD presentations (Enander et al., 2016). Low-intensity treatments for subthreshold or mild BDD in adolescence may be beneficial both in increasing the availability of low cost treatment at the early stages of the disorder and in preventing the escalation of subthreshold-BDD into a full-syndrome presentation.

Some limitations to the current study should be acknowledged. The study included a relatively large sample size; however participants were primarily from non-government schools with relatively high levels of socio-educational advantage. Further research is

required to examine subthreshold-BDD in less advantaged groups, and in countries other than Australia. ANCOVA analyses controlling for demographic differences between groups generally found similar results to the group ANOVA analyses, but approximately 30% of the sample were not included in these analyses due to missing responses to one or more family items. The association of demographic factors to BDD should be explored more thoroughly in future studies. Though the adult version of the BDDO-A has good sensitivity and specificity (Grant et al., 2001), this has not been established in adolescents. There is a strong correspondence between BDD prevalence estimates using DSM IV and DSM 5 criteria (Schieber et al., 2015), but future studies should directly evaluate the effect of DSM 5 criteria on adolescent BDD prevalence. Female participants did not complete certain measures due to differences in larger study methods for males and females in the current study. The association of subthreshold-BDD and quality of life and emotional, conduct and peerinteraction problems in females require examination in future research. It would be valuable to study the initial emergence of subthreshold BDD in longitudinal research to accurately determine the typical age of onset, the stability of these symptoms, and the risk of escalation into probable-BDD. Finally, this study defined subthreshold BDD based on a general definition of a subthreshold disorder, and utilizing available BDD measures. Future studies should establish a theoretically and empirically supported definition of subthreshold BDD that can be used across research studies and measurement tools, as a lack of consistent definitions has complicated research into other subthreshold disorders (Bertha and Balázs, 2013).

In conclusion, subthreshold-BDD in adolescence is associated with increased mental health service use, greater comorbid psychopathology, and in males, with reduced quality of life, though to a lesser extent than probable-BDD for some measures. The correlational nature of this study does not allow for causal inferences, so longitudinal research is greatly needed

## **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

to establish the impact of subthreshold BDD in adolescence. Future studies should also seek to validate a common definition of subthreshold-BDD, to explore prevalence in other samples, and to evaluate the potential of early intervention for subthreshold-BDD to alter the course or reduce the severity of BDD presentations.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the students and staff of each school, and Laura Clark, Keila Brockveld and Danielle Einstein for their assistance with data collection.

## Funding sources

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council [grant numbers LP130100576, FT120100217]; beyondblue national priority driven research funding; a sponsored research project grant from Macquarie University and one of the participating schools; and a Macquarie University research excellence scholarship. These funding sources had no involvement in the study design, data collection, analysis or interpretation, writing of the report, or decisions regarding publication. Schools were given a copy of the completed manuscript prior to submission to check that details of school involvement were accurately reported.

## Conflict of interest

ACC!

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

#### References

Albertini, R.S., Phillips, K.A., 1999. Thirty-three cases of body dysmorphic disorder in children and adolescents. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 38 (4), 453-459.

American Psychiatric Association, 1994. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th ed. American Psychiatric Publishing, Washington, DC.

- American Psychiatric Association, 2013. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th ed. American Psychiatric Publishing, Arlington, VA.
- Angold, A., Costello, E.J., Messer, S.C., Pickles, A., 1995. Development of a short questionnaire for use in epidemiological studies of depression in children and adolescents. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research 5 (4), 237-249.
- Balázs, J., Miklósi, M., Keresztény, A., Hoven, C.W., Carli, V., Wasserman, C., Apter, A.,
  Bobes, J., Brunner, R., Cosman, D., Cotter, P., Haring, C., Iosue, M., Kaess, M.,
  Kahn, J.-P., Keeley, H., Marusic, D., Postuvan, V., Resch, F., Saiz, P.A., Sisask, M.,
  Snir, A., Tubiana, A., Varnik, A., Sarchiapone, M., Wasserman, D., 2013. Adolescent subthreshold-depression and anxiety: psychopathology, functional impairment and increased suicide risk. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 54 (6), 670-677.
- Bertha, E.A., Balázs, J., 2013. Subthreshold depression in adolescence: a systematic review. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 22 (10), 589-603.
- Boroughs, M.S., Krawczyk, R., Thompson, J.K., 2010. Body dysmorphic disorder among diverse racial/ethnic and sexual orientation groups: Prevalence estimates and associated factors. Sex roles 63 (9-10), 725-737.
- Buhlmann, U., Glaesmer, H., Mewes, R., Fama, J.M., Wilhelm, S., Brähler, E., Rief, W., 2010. Updates on the prevalence of body dysmorphic disorder: A population-based survey. Psychiatry Research 178 (1), 171-175.
- Enander, J., Andersson, E., Mataix-Cols, D., Lichtenstein, L., Alström, K., Andersson, G., Ljótsson, B., Rück, C., 2016. Therapist guided internet based cognitive behavioural therapy for body dysmorphic disorder: single blind randomised controlled trial. BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.) 352.

- Endicott, J., Nee, J., Yang, R., Wohlberg, C., 2006. Pediatric quality of life enjoyment and satisfaction questionnaire (PQ-LES-Q): Reliability and validity. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 45 (4), 401-407.
- Fang, A., Sawyer, A.T., Aderka, I.M., Hofmann, S.G., 2013. Psychological treatment of social anxiety disorder improves body dysmorphic concerns. Journal of Anxiety Disorders 27 (7), 684-691.
- Field, A., 2013. Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics, 4th ed. Sage, London.
- Goodman, R., 1997. The strengths and difficulties questionnaire: A research note. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 38 (5), 581-586.
- Grant, J.E., Kim, S.W., Crow, S.J., 2001. Prevalence and clinical features of body dysmorphic disorder in adolescent and adult psychiatric inpatients. Journal of Clinical Psychiatry 62 (7), 517-522.
- Haller, H., Cramer, H., Lauche, R., Gass, F., Dobos, G.J., 2014. The prevalence and burden of subthreshold generalized anxiety disorder: a systematic review. BMC Psychiatry 14, 128.
- Koran, L.M., Aboujaoude, E., Large, M.D., Serpe, R.T., 2008. The prevalence of body dysmorphic disorder in the United States adult population. CNS Spectrums 13 (4), 316-322.
- Maloney, M.J., McGuire, J.B., Daniels, S.R., 1988. Reliability testing of a children's version of the eating attitude test. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 27 (5), 541-543.
- Mastro, S., Zimmer-Gembeck, M.J., Webb, H.J., Farrell, L., Waters, A., 2016. Young adolescents' appearance anxiety and body dysmorphic symptoms: Social problems, self-perceptions and comorbidities. Journal of Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders 8, 50-55.

- Mayville, S.B., Katz, R., Gipson, M., Cabral, K., 1999. Assessing the prevalence of body dysmorphic disorder in an ethnically diverse group of adolescents. Journal of Child and Family Studies 8 (3), 357-362.
- McCreary, D.R., 2007. The drive for muscularity scale: Description, psychometrics, and research findings, in: Thompson, J.K., Cafri, G. (Eds.), The muscular ideal:Psychological, social, and medical perspectives. American Psychological Association, Washington, DC, US, pp. 87-106.
- McCreary, D.R., Sasse, D.K., 2000. An exploration of the drive for muscularity in adolescent boys and girls. Journal of American College Health 48 (6), 297-304.
- Mellor, D., 2005. Normative data for the strengths and difficulties questionnaire in Australia.

  Australian Psychologist 40 (3), 215-222.
- Phillips, K.A., 2005. The broken mirror: Understanding and treating body dysmorphic disorder. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Phillips, K.A., Atala, K.D., Pope, H.G., 1995. Diagnostic instruments for body dysmorphic disorder. In New Research Program and Abstracts of the 148th Annual Meeting of the American Psychiatric Association May 24, 1995. Abstract NR375:157., Miami, Fl.
- Phillips, K.A., Didie, E.R., Menard, W., Pagano, M.E., Fay, C., Weisberg, R.B., 2006.

  Clinical features of body dysmorphic disorder in adolescents and adults. Psychiatry

  Research 141 (3), 305-314.
- Phillips, K.A., Menard, W., Fay, C., Weisberg, R., 2005. Demographic characteristics, phenomenology, comorbidity, and family history in 200 individuals with body dysmorphic disorder. Psychosomatics 46 (4), 317-325.
- Pincus, H.A., Davis, W.W., McQueen, L.E., 1999. 'Subthreshold' mental disorders. A review and synthesis of studies on minor depression and other 'brand names'. The British Journal of Psychiatry 174 (4), 288-296.

- Rief, W., Buhlmann, U., Wilhelm, S., Borkenhagen, A., Brahler, E., 2006. The prevalence of body dysmorphic disorder: a population-based survey. Psychological Medicine 36 (6), 877-885.
- Roberts, R.E., Fisher, P.W., Blake Turner, J., Tang, M., 2015. Estimating the burden of psychiatric disorders in adolescence: the impact of subthreshold disorders. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 50 (3), 397-406.
- Schieber, K., Kollei, I., de Zwaan, M., Martin, A., 2015. Classification of body dysmorphic disorder What is the advantage of the new DSM-5 criteria? Journal of Psychosomatic Research 78 (3), 223-227.
- Shankman, S.A., Lewinsohn, P.M., Klein, D.N., Small, J.W., Seeley, J.R., Altman, S.E., 2009. Subthreshold conditions as precursors for full syndrome disorders: A 15-year longitudinal study of multiple diagnostic classes. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 50 (12), 1485-1494.
- Spence, S.H., 1998. A measure of anxiety symptoms among children. Behaviour Research and Therapy 36 (5), 545-566.
- Veale, D., 2009. Body Image Questionnaire Child and Adolescent Version.
- Wesselhoeft, R., Sørensen, M.J., Heiervang, E.R., Bilenberg, N., 2013. Subthreshold depression in children and adolescents a systematic review. Journal of Affective Disorders 151 (1), 7-22.
- Wolitzky-Taylor, K., Dour, H., Zinbarg, R., Mineka, S., Vrshek-Schallhorn, S., Epstein, A.,
  Bobova, L., Griffith, J., Waters, A., Nazarian, M., Rose, R., Craske, M.G., 2014.
  Experiencing core symptoms of anxiety and unipolar mood disorders in late
  adolescence predicts disorder onset in early adulthood. Depression and Anxiety 31
  (3), 207-213.

Table 1. Comparison of Demographic Characteristics between Subthreshold-BDD, Probable-BDD and Non-BDD Participants

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Subthreshold	Probable	Non-			$\eta^2$ or
Age 314 14.77 ± 1.40 15.04 ± 14.57 ± 4.15 0.016 0.00  9 1.17 1.37  ICSEA 314 1098.96 ± 1089.15 ± 1092.3 0.52 0.597 0.00  9 71.14 74.28 3 ± 70.75  Sex (Male) 314 58 (54.2) 35 (63.6) 1907 4.14 0.126 0.00  9 (63.8)  Speak English at 233 74 (90.2) 36 (90.0) 2021 0.20 0.906 0.0  home 5 (91.3)  Mother Cultural 266 26.0 <0.00 0.00  Background 9 6 1  Oceanian 31 (31.6) 7 (15.2) 1047  (41.5)  European 47 (48.0) 21 (45.7) 979  (38.8)	Variable	N	-BDD $(n =$	-BDD <sup>+</sup>	BDD	F or	p	Cramer'
Age $314$ $14.77 \pm 1.40$ $15.04 \pm$ $14.57 \pm$ $4.15$ $0.016$ $0.006$ 9 $1.17$ $1.37$ $1.37$ $0.52$ $0.597$ $0.006$ 9 $71.14$ $74.28$ $3 \pm$ $70.75$ Sex (Male) $314$ $58 (54.2)$ $35 (63.6)$ $1907$ $4.14$ $0.126$ $0.06$ 9 $(63.8)$			107)	(n = 55)	( <b>n</b> =	$\chi^2$		s $V$
9 1.17 1.37  ICSEA 314 1098.96 ± 1089.15 ± 1092.3 0.52 0.597 0.00 9 71.14 74.28 3 ± 70.75  Sex (Male) 314 58 (54.2) 35 (63.6) 1907 4.14 0.126 0.00 9 (63.8)  Speak English at 233 74 (90.2) 36 (90.0) 2021 0.20 0.906 0.0 home 5 (91.3)  Mother Cultural 266 26.0 <0.00 0.07  Background 9 6 1  Oceanian 31 (31.6) 7 (15.2) 1047 (41.5)  European 47 (48.0) 21 (45.7) 979 (38.8)					3094)			
ICSEA 314 1098.96 ± 1089.15 ± 1092.3 0.52 0.597 0.06 9 71.14 74.28 3 ± 70.75  Sex (Male) 314 58 (54.2) 35 (63.6) 1907 4.14 0.126 0.04 9 (63.8)  Speak English at 233 74 (90.2) 36 (90.0) 2021 0.20 0.906 0.0 home 5 (91.3)  Mother Cultural 266 26.0 < 0.00 0.07  Background 9 6 1  Oceanian 31 (31.6) 7 (15.2) 1047 (41.5)  European 47 (48.0) 21 (45.7) 979 (38.8)	Age	314	$14.77 \pm 1.40$	15.04 ±	14.57 ±	4.15	0.016	0.00
9 71.14 74.28 3 ± 70.75  Sex (Male) 314 58 (54.2) 35 (63.6) 1907 4.14 0.126 0.04 9 (63.8)  Speak English at 233 74 (90.2) 36 (90.0) 2021 0.20 0.906 0.0 home 5 (91.3)  Mother Cultural 266 26.0 <0.00 0.07  Background 9 6 1  Oceanian 31 (31.6) 7 (15.2) 1047 (41.5)  European 47 (48.0) 21 (45.7) 979 (38.8)		9		1.17	1.37		16	
Sex (Male) 314 58 (54.2) 35 (63.6) 1907 4.14 0.126 0.04 9 (63.8)  Speak English at 233 74 (90.2) 36 (90.0) 2021 0.20 0.906 0.0 home 5 (91.3)  Mother Cultural 266 26.0 <0.00 0.07  Background 9 6 1  Oceanian 31 (31.6) 7 (15.2) 1047 (41.5)  European 47 (48.0) 21 (45.7) 979 (38.8)	ICSEA	314	1098.96 ±	1089.15 ±	1092.3	0.52	0.597	0.00
Sex (Male)       314       58 (54.2)       35 (63.6)       1907       4.14       0.126       0.04         9       (63.8)         Speak English at       233       74 (90.2)       36 (90.0)       2021       0.20       0.906       0.0         home       5       (91.3)         Mother Cultural       266       26.0       <0.00		9	71.14	74.28	3 ±			
9 (63.8)  Speak English at 233 74 (90.2) 36 (90.0) 2021 0.20 0.906 0.0 home 5 (91.3)  Mother Cultural 266 26.0 <0.00 0.07  Background 9 6 1  Oceanian 31 (31.6) 7 (15.2) 1047 (41.5)  European 47 (48.0) 21 (45.7) 979 (38.8)					70.75			
9 (63.8)  Speak English at 233 74 (90.2) 36 (90.0) 2021 0.20 0.906 0.0 home 5 (91.3)  Mother Cultural 266 26.0 <0.00 0.07  Background 9 6 1  Oceanian 31 (31.6) 7 (15.2) 1047 (41.5)  European 47 (48.0) 21 (45.7) 979 (38.8)				0				
Speak English at       233       74 (90.2)       36 (90.0)       2021       0.20       0.906       0.0         home       5       (91.3)         Mother Cultural       266       26.0       <0.00	Sex (Male)	314	58 (54.2)	35 (63.6)	1907	4.14	0.126	0.04
home 5 (91.3)  Mother Cultural 266 26.0 <0.00 0.07  Background 9 6 1  Oceanian 31 (31.6) 7 (15.2) 1047  (41.5)  European 47 (48.0) 21 (45.7) 979  (38.8)		9			(63.8)			
Mother Cultural       266       26.0       <0.00       0.07         Background       9       6       1         Oceanian       31 (31.6)       7 (15.2)       1047         (41.5)       47 (48.0)       21 (45.7)       979         (38.8)	Speak English at	233	74 (90.2)	36 (90.0)	2021	0.20	0.906	0.01
Background 9 6 1  Oceanian 31 (31.6) 7 (15.2) 1047 (41.5)  European 47 (48.0) 21 (45.7) 979 (38.8)	home	5	3/		(91.3)			
Oceanian 31 (31.6) 7 (15.2) 1047 (41.5) European 47 (48.0) 21 (45.7) 979 (38.8)	<b>Mother Cultural</b>	266				26.0	< 0.00	0.07
(41.5) European 47 (48.0) 21 (45.7) 979 (38.8)	Background	9				6	1	
European 47 (48.0) 21 (45.7) 979 (38.8)	Oceanian		31 (31.6)	7 (15.2)	1047			
(38.8)					(41.5)			
	European		47 (48.0)	21 (45.7)	979			
Asian 16 (16.3) 9 (19.6) 323					(38.8)			
10 (10.5)	Asian		16 (16.3)	9 (19.6)	323			

		ACCEPTE	D MANUS	CRIPT			
				(12.8)			
Other		4 (4.1)	9 (19.6)	176			
				(7.0)			
Father Cultural	259				6.52	0.367	0.04
Background	2						
Oceanian		30 (31.6)	14 (31.1)	966			
				(39.4)			
European		47 (49.5)	24 (53.3)	1040			
				(42.4)			
Asian		14 (14.7)	4 (8.9)	278		16	
				(11.3)	G		
Other		4 (4.2)	3 (6.7)	168			
				(6.9)			
Occupation of	217		0	(6.9)	14.3	0.073	0.06
Occupation of Mother	217		Wo	(6.9)	14.3	0.073	0.06
_		11 (14.3)	9 (23.7)	(6.9)		0.073	0.06
Mother		11 (14.3)	9 (23.7)			0.073	0.06
Mother  Not in the		11 (14.3) 45 (58.4)	9 (23.7) 21 (55.3)	460		0.073	0.06
Mother  Not in the  workforce		O		460 (22.3)		0.073	0.06
Mother  Not in the  workforce  Manager/skilled		O		460 (22.3) 962		0.073	0.06
Mother  Not in the  workforce  Manager/skilled  professional		45 (58.4)	21 (55.3)	460 (22.3) 962 (46.6)		0.073	0.06
Mother  Not in the  workforce  Manager/skilled  professional		45 (58.4)	21 (55.3)	460 (22.3) 962 (46.6) 80		0.073	0.06
Mother  Not in the  workforce  Manager/skilled  professional  Trade/manual		45 (58.4) 2 (2.6)	21 (55.3) 0 (0.0)	460 (22.3) 962 (46.6) 80 (3.9)		0.073	0.06
Mother  Not in the  workforce  Manager/skilled  professional  Trade/manual		45 (58.4) 2 (2.6)	21 (55.3) 0 (0.0)	460 (22.3) 962 (46.6) 80 (3.9) 399		0.073	0.06

		ACCEPTE	D MANUS	CRIPT			
Occupation of	214				\$	\$	\$
Father	4						
Not in the		4 (5.4)	3 (8.1)	96			
workforce				(4.7)			
Manager/skilled		45 (60.8)	20 (54.1)	1108			
professional				(54.5)			
Trade/manual		11 (14.9)	7 (18.9)	558			
				(27.4)			
Sales/clerical		8 (10.8)	6 (16.2)	149			
				(7.3)		16	
Community/healt		6 (8.1)	1 (2.7)	122			
h				(6.0)	9		
Household Type	233			0	13.4	0.009	0.05
	3		200		4		
Two parent		63 (78.6)	27 (67.5)	1766			
household		4.0		(79.9)			
Single parent		8 (9.8)	5 (12.5)	285			
household	6			(12.9)			
Step/blended/othe	3	11 (13.4)	8 (14.5)	160			
r household				(7.2)			

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or n (valid %). \$ Could not be calculated due to low expected cell counts.

Abbreviations. BDD = Body dysmorphic disorder. ICSEA = Index of Community Socio-educational advantage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> Data have been reported elsewhere.

Table 2. Prevalence of Subthreshold Body Dysmorphic Disorder by Age and Sex

		Subthres	hold-	Non-B	DD				
		BDI	)						
Group	N	n (%)	95%	n (%)	95	$\chi^2$	p	Odds	95
			CI		%			Ratio	%
					CI				CI
Total	309	107	2.8,	2987	64.0				
	4	(3.4)	4.1	(94.9)	,			*	
					95.6			9	
Age						4.4	0.03	1.53	1.03
						7	5		,
									2.27
12 – 14	149	41 (2.7)	2.0,	1455	95.2				
years	6		3.7	(96.2)	,				
			4.0		97.1				
15 – 18	159	66 (4.0)	3.2,	1532	92.3				
years	8	63	5.1	(93.6)	,				
	D				94.7				
Sex						4.1	0.04	1.49	1.01
	*					4	2		,
									2.20
Male	196	58 (2.9)	2.2.	1907	94.3				
	5		3.7	(95.4)	,				
					96.2				

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT									
Female	1	12	49 (4.3)	3.2,	1080	92.5				
		0		<b>-</b> -	(0.4.0)					
		9		5.6	(94.0)	,				
						95.2				
						- <del></del>				

Notes: Probable-BDD participants were excluded from these analyses BDD = body dysmorphic disorder. CI = Confidence interval.

Table 3. Comparison of Symptom Severity and Quality of Life between Probable-BDD, Subthreshold-BDD and Non-BDD Groups

Measure	N	Subthreshold-	Probable-	Non-	ANOVA
		BDD	$\mathrm{BDD}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	BDD	16
All Participants	3149			20	
BDD Symptoms	3057	$35.74 \pm 14.79$	46.53 ±	13.97	$F(2, 91.31)^{\S} =$
			15.92	±	141.83, <i>p</i> < 0.001,
			10	18.37	$\eta^2 = 0.09$
Depression Symptoms	3146	$9.97 \pm 5.76$	12.69 ±	5.28 ±	F(2, 3143) =
		.0	6.52	5.50	83.55, <i>p</i> < 0.001,
					$\eta^2 = 0.05$
SCAS Total Anxiety	3146	$34.06 \pm 15.54$	37.69 ±	22.68	F(2, 3143) =
Symptoms			15.07	±	50.44, <i>p</i> < 0.001,
				15.72	$\eta^2 = 0.03$
SCAS	3146	$4.66 \pm 4.45$	6.15 ±	2.66 ±	$F(2, 98.33)^{\S} =$
Panic/Agoraphobia			5.03	3.77	23.13, <i>p</i> < 0.001,
Symptoms					$\eta^2=0.02$
SCAS Separation	3146	$3.36 \pm 2.59$	3.36 ±	2.32 ±	F(2, 3143) =
Anxiety Symptoms			2.24	2.45	13.73, <i>p</i> < 0.001,

SUBTHRESHOLD-BDL			MUSCOID	T	
	ACC	CEPTED MA	NUSCRIP		$\eta^2 = 0.01$
SCAS Social Phobia	3146	$8.68 \pm 3.53$	9.38 ±	5.85 ±	F(2, 3143) =
Symptoms			3.24	3.67	54.46, <i>p</i> < 0.001,
					$\eta^2 = 0.03$
SCAS Physical Injury	3146	$3.51 \pm 2.63$	3.67 ±	2.70 ±	F(2, 3143) =
Symptoms			2.40	2.39	10.05, p < 0.001,
					$\eta^2 = 0.01$
SCAS Obsessive-	3146	$5.37 \pm 3.43$	6.44 ±	3.73 ±	F(2, 3143) =
Compulsive Symptoms			3.69	3.43	27.90, <i>p</i> < 0.001,
					$\eta^2 = 0.02$
Eating Disorder	2940	$8.33 \pm 6.39$	11.94 ±	8.39 ±	$F(2, 90.92)^{\S} =$
Symptoms			8.13	9.67	$3.33 p = 0.036, \eta^2$
					= 0.00
Male Participants	2000		011		= 0.00
Male Participants Only	2000		Silve		= 0.00
_	2000 1994	14.28 ± 6.15	17.49 ±	8.90 ±	= 0.00 F(2, 1991) =
Only		14.28 ± 6.15	17.49 ± 5.04	8.90 ± 5.60	
Only		$14.28 \pm 6.15$			<i>F</i> (2, 1991) =
Only		$14.28 \pm 6.15$ $3.84 \pm 2.35$			F(2, 1991) = $64.59, p < 0.001,$
Only SDQ Total Difficulties	1994		5.04	5.60	$F(2, 1991) =$ $64.59, p < 0.001,$ $\eta^2 = 0.06$
Only SDQ Total Difficulties SDQ Emotional	1994		<b>5.04</b> 4.80 ±	5.60 1.75 ±	$F(2, 1991) =$ $64.59, p < 0.001,$ $\eta^2 = 0.06$ $F(2, 58.92)^{\S} =$
Only SDQ Total Difficulties SDQ Emotional	1994		<b>5.04</b> 4.80 ±	5.60 1.75 ±	$F(2, 1991) =$ $64.59, p < 0.001,$ $\eta^{2} = 0.06$ $F(2, 58.92)^{\$} =$ $60.81, p < 0.001,$
Only SDQ Total Difficulties  SDQ Emotional Symptoms	1994 1994	$3.84 \pm 2.35$	<b>5.04</b> $4.80 \pm 2.00$	5.60 1.75 ± 1.96	$F(2, 1991) =$ $64.59, p < 0.001,$ $\eta^2 = 0.06$ $F(2, 58.92)^{\S} =$ $60.81, p < 0.001,$ $\eta^2 = 0.07$
Only SDQ Total Difficulties  SDQ Emotional Symptoms	1994 1994	$3.84 \pm 2.35$	<b>5.04</b> $4.80 \pm 2.00$ $3.37 \pm$	5.60 1.75 ± 1.96	$F(2, 1991) =$ $64.59, p < 0.001,$ $\eta^{2} = 0.06$ $F(2, 58.92)^{\$} =$ $60.81, p < 0.001,$ $\eta^{2} = 0.07$ $F(2, 58.44)^{\$} =$

	AC	CEPTED MA	NUSCRIP	Т	
			2.26	2.24	22.17, p < 0.001,
					$\eta^2=0.02$
SDQ Peer Problems	1994	$2.90\pm2.02$	3.63 ±	1.74 ±	$F(2,58.48)^{\S} =$
			2.05	1.65	23.32, <i>p</i> < 0.001,
					$\eta^2 = 0.03$
Muscularity Concerns	1929	$3.17\pm1.01$	3.46 ±	2.41 ±	<i>F</i> (2, 1926) =
			1.21	1.11	26.40, <i>p</i> < 0.001,
					$\eta^2 = 0.03$
Quality of Life	1709	$64.11 \pm 18.57$	54.40 ±	76.07	F(2, 1706) =
			18.91	±	33.96, <i>p</i> < 0.001,
				16.32	$\eta^2 = 0.04$

Note. Bold text indicates a significant pairwise comparison to the subthreshold-BDD group. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. § Welch's Adjusted F reported.  $^+$  Data have been reported elsewhere.

Abbreviations: BDD = body dysmorphic disorder. SCAS = Spence Children's Anxiety Scale. SDQ = Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire.

#### **Highlights**

- BDD and related comorbid psychopathology were assessed using self-report measures
- The prevalence of subthreshold BDD in 3149 Australian high school students was 3.4%
- Subthreshold BDD is linked to elevated comorbid psychopathology and impairment
- BDD screening should include consideration of subthreshold presentations