

Original Research Article

Barriers and enablers to paramedicine research in Australasia – A cross-sectional survey

Paramedicine 2023, Vol. 20(4) 107–116 © The Author(s) 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/27536386231167590 journals.sagepub.com/home/pam



Linda Ross^{1,2}, Louise Reynolds^{1,3}, Harry Reeves¹, Amy Hutchison¹, Peter O'Meara^{1,2}, Robin Pap^{1,4}, Nigel Barr^{1,5}, Michelle Thomson^{1,6}, Matthew Reardon¹ and Paul Simpson^{1,4}

Abstract

There has been exponential growth in paramedicine research activity and capacity over the last decade. With a strong academic culture emerging from its tertiary-based paramedicine education pathways, Australasia sits comfortably amongst the leading regions for paramedicine research. In the absence of clear paramedicine research priorities, there is concern that inadequate support infrastructure is in place to guide researchers, academics, paramedics, and policymakers within the profession to produce meaningful research capable of triggering change and advancement. The identification of paramedicine research priorities in Australasia, along with the barriers and enablers to research in this field, will prove invaluable to support the paramedicine profession, and improve healthcare systems, and patient outcomes in Australia and New Zealand. The study used a cross-sectional survey design which aimed to identify perceived barriers and enablers to the conduct of paramedicine research in Australasia and describe the demographic profiles and characteristics of respondents across professional, academic and research themes. Of the 341 respondents, 223 (65.4%) were male, 114 (33.4%) were female, and all geographical areas were represented. Approximately 60% of participants worked for a jurisdictional ambulance service in a clinical role. The median number of years of experience in paramedicine was 13 (IQR: 6, 24), with 52.8% having less than 15 years of experience. The analysis resulted in the identification of three themes for research barriers (system, process and human) and enablers, (work conditions, worker profile, and workplace). This study identifies the disconnect between stakeholders and the provision of resources such as funding, time, training, roles and data as major barriers to conducting paramedicine research. The ability or capacity of paramedics, as health professionals, to lead and undertake their own research was not questioned. The value of evidence-based research and autonomous paramedic-led research was identified as likely to empower future capacity within the profession.

Keywords

emergency medical services, out-of-hospital, paramedicine, research, barriers, enablers, research agenda

Date received: 24 January 2023; revised: 2 March 2023; accepted 19 March 2023

Correction (September 2023): This article has been updated to disclose the Declaration of conflicting interests statement.

Corresponding author:

Linda Ross, Department of Paramedicine, Monash University, Building H, Peninsula Campus, 47–49 Moorooduc Highway, Frankston, VIC 3199, Australia.

Email: linda.ross@monash.edu

¹Australasian College of Paramedicine, Umina Beach, Australia

²Department of Paramedicine, Monash University, Frankston, Australia

³Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia

⁴School of Health Sciences, Western Sydney University, Penrith, Australia

⁵School of Health, University of the Sunshine Coast, Maroochydore DC, Australia

⁶Adelaide University, Adelaide, Australia

Introduction

Paramedics are integral to the Australasian health care system as they play a crucial role in delivering unscheduled community-based health care for injury and illness across the spectrum of acuity. In Australia and New Zealand, there were over 4.5 million patients attended to by paramedics during 2020–2021, consuming an annual budget of over four billion Australian dollars. 1,2

In recent decades, paramedicine as a discipline has evolved considerably and continues to morph away from historical ambulance jurisdiction roots. Models of care continue to emerge, scope of practice continues to expand, and the role of the paramedic continues to diversify.^{3,4} Concurrent to this evolution in practice has been an exponential growth in paramedicine research activity and capacity. With a strong academic culture emerging from its tertiary-based paramedicine education pathways, Australasia sits comfortably amongst the leading regions for paramedicine research.⁵ The rapid growth in research can, however, lead to a myriad of research activities that as a result may become diluted, fractured, and lacking in collaboration and sharing of common resources. This in turn may lead to a proliferation of smaller localised collaborations rather than larger cooperative research groups with greater research power arising from pooling of resources and expertise. Smaller localised research teams with less frequent collaboration are less likely to attract research grant funding required to upscale projects into larger suites of research capable of achieving greater impact, and at more risk of duplication in projects. This is not to suggest a lack of merit in smaller-scale studies which form the building blocks to further research and allow novice researchers to develop their skills, confidence and connections. Establishing a research agenda, however, that provides clear priorities may draw researchers together, promote greater collaboration and resource sharing, and garner the most efficiency and impact from the research resources available.6

The need for national research agendas has been recognised internationally, where there has been considerable effort to identify research priorities throughout North America and Europe. The Despite Australasia's leading role in paramedicine research, no such work has recently been undertaken in this region, hence there exists what appears to be a lack of research focus, direction and prioritisation.

Australasia was an early proponent of national research agendas in paramedicine. In 2003 the first step in identifying Australian pre-hospital research priorities using expert opinion from delegates at a national symposium was undertaken and emphasised the importance of an 'ongoing, targeted and coordinated research effort'. In 2015 further research reignited the issue, arguing the importance of an Australasian research agenda being

developed, and recommending a framework for how this research could be conducted.⁶ Despite this, in the 20 years since this preliminary work, there has been no coordinated effort to identify the key research priorities for paramedicine in Australasia.

In the absence of clear paramedicine research priorities, there is concern that an inadequate support infrastructure is in place to guide researchers, academics, paramedics, and policymakers within the profession to produce meaningful research capable of triggering change and advancement. The identification of paramedicine research priorities in Australasia, along with the barriers and enablers to research in this field, will prove invaluable to support the paramedicine profession, improve healthcare systems, and ultimately patient outcomes in Australia and New Zealand.

In establishing a national agenda of research priorities, it is also necessary to identify enablers and barriers to research into those priorities being conducted and implemented. Previous paramedicine research agenda projects have sought to identify barriers and enablers. In Canada, for example, barriers to paramedicine research include limited suitable funding opportunities, a lack of postgraduate research training infrastructure within the discipline, a lack of research mentors in the discipline, and a lack of connectedness between paramedic services and the tertiary academic sector. These findings highlighted that an agenda requires a multifaceted implementation strategy if it is to achieve the required adoption and desirable impact. Amongst the enablers of paramedicine research, it has been suggested that the academic sector is central to research endeavours as the driving force within which the required research infrastructure and resourcing exist. In Canada a lack of connectedness between industry and academia was discussed, a phenomenon previously highlighted in Australia. 15

The Australasian paramedicine academic research workforce is pivotal in this context however little is known about the demographic constitution of this group. The first description of Australasian paramedic academics and their experiences was from a small sample project in 2013. 16,17 A decade on, during which the tertiary sector has evolved considerably, the demographics of academic researchers in Australasian paramedicine have not been revisited; this represents a gap in understanding the scale of the research capacity available to implement a research agenda, given the tertiary sector is most likely to initiate and lead research projects.

In an Australian or New Zealand context, no previous research has explored the barriers and enablers to paramedicine research. Against this background, the primary aim of this study was to identify barriers and enablers to conducting paramedicine research in Australia and New Zealand as perceived by key stakeholders including registered paramedics, university academics and researchers,

and paramedicine industry managers and educators. In order to fully understand the barriers and enablers to research in paramedicine it is also important to understand the profile of those responding as it relates to their responses. The secondary aim was therefore to describe the demographic professional, academic and research profiles of respondents.

Methods

Design and paradigm

The study used a cross-sectional survey design, grounded in a relativist paradigm (valuations are subjective and relative to the perspective of an observer or the context)¹⁸ and through a pragmatic theoretical lens (focuses on what works, considering multiple viewpoints, perspectives, positions and standpoints.)¹⁸ The study was conducted as the first phase in a broader two-phase research project, 'Research Agenda for Australasian Paramedicine', aimed at creating a consensus-derived research priorities agenda for Australasian paramedicine. This first phase involved a national survey of paramedicine discipline stakeholders with three aims: (1) to identify perceived barriers and enablers to the conduct of paramedicine research in Australasia; (2) to determine the demographic profiles and characteristics of respondents across professional, academic and research themes; (3) to gather data on research priorities in paramedicine as perceived by a diverse group of discipline stakeholders. Aims 1 and 2 are addressed in this article. Aim 3 will be addressed in a separate paper describing the creation of the research priorities agenda using Delphi consensus methodology.

Participants

The project sought participants from all paramedicine profession stakeholders in any role that engage closely with the paramedicine profession. Participants were eligible if they were from Australia or New Zealand and engaged in the profession as a clinician, educator, manager, researcher or student.

Sampling and recruitment

A convenience sampling approach was adopted. The primary means for recruitment was through the Australasian College of Paramedicine (ACP), the peak professional body representing paramedicine in Australasia (https://paramedics.org/). ACP has membership numbers of approximately 9,000 and has an expansive reach throughout the region. ACP promoted the study through regular member email announcements, a monthly emailed newsletter, and social media posts. Recruitment then snowballed as the aforementioned communications were forwarded independently by recipients

throughout the discipline. Survey responses were monitored in real-time throughout the response period to allow tracking of geographic location and participant-described areas of primary paramedicine engagement (education, clinical, management, or academia). In order to ensure balance in representation across areas of practice and geography, targeted promotion was strategically made to key members of the profession in some areas to ensure diversity and balance in sampling.

Instrumentation

A rapid literature review was undertaken to identify existing survey instruments, validated or otherwise, that could be used in original form or with some modification to meet the requirements of the study. None were identified, resulting in the creation of a new survey instrument tailored specifically to the aims and objectives of the study. The resulting 18-question survey instrument is provided in Supplementary Appendix 1. Fifteen questions related to participant demographics and characteristics, using drop-down menu options to gather nominal, ordinal or categorical data. Three questions were 'open-ended' free text responses, in which participants were asked to list and describe their perceived priorities for paramedicine research, and their perceived barriers and enablers to the conduct of paramedicine research in Australasia.

To test content and face validity, the survey was piloted by 15 people with research and survey design experience from outside the paramedicine discipline, as well as 10 paramedics representative of the participants the study sought to engage. They were asked to comment on clarity, appropriateness of item choices, time to complete and any other interpretive, structural or survey-logic issues. Minor amendments to the survey functionality and response options were made based on this feedback to produce the final instrument.

Data collection

Data were collected online using the Qualtrics (Qualtrics, Provo UT, USA) survey platform. The data collection period spanned 11 weeks, from 15 November 2021 through 31 January 2022. Weekly reminders were released by the ACP to promote awareness of the participation opportunity. Data collection was anonymous.

Data Analysis

Quantitative survey data were compiled and analysed using Excel (Microsoft Corporation), to produce descriptive statistics; these were reported as number and percentages, and as mean (standard deviation) or median (interquartile range) as appropriate following the assessment of distribution.

The qualitative open response free text data were analysed using NVIVO (QRS International, Doncaster, Australia). A thematic analysis was conducted utilising Braun and Clarke's 6-phase approach: data familiarisation, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining and naming themes, and producing a report. ^{19–21}

The open response data provided by participants was qualitatively analysed by two team members (HR and LR) to generate coded, thematic categories. HR provided the initial coding, generating over 500 separate entries. LR then grouped these initial codes into major thematic categories.

The amalgamation of these identified themes allowed for meaningful relationships of the phenomena under investigation to be developed. The process of identification and organisation was an iterative process, as the researchers worked concurrently on the data set. Both HR and LR consulted at various times throughout the coding and organising stages. Using NVIVO, the initial coding and development of the hierarchical coding schema or taxonomies was examined, compared and contrasted iteratively, emergent and not forced. HR and LR reviewed the schema to establish links between the ideas and concepts represented in the codes, which were further refined with each iteration as a constant comparative process.

Ethics

This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee at Western Sydney University (H14650).

Results

Demographic and Professional Profile

Of the 341 respondents, 223 (65.4%) were male, 114 (33.4%) were female, and all geographical areas were represented. A total of 282 (82.7%) participants were registered health professionals and of those 274 (97.1%) held Paramedic registration, inclusive of those with dual registration. Approximately 60% of participants worked for a jurisdictional ambulance service (JAS) in a clinical role. The median number of years of experience in paramedicine was 13 (IQR: 6–24), with 52.8% having less than 15 years of experience. Regarding education, 107 (31.4%) participants had a Bachelor's degree and 33 (9.6%) had a Doctorate. See Table 1 for a complete summary of participant demographics and paramedicine profession profiles.

Academic Profile

Of the participants, 90 (26.4%) held an academic appointment within the university sector. Of those the majority were Level C, Level B or casual appointments. The median number of years of experience in academia was 8

Table 1. Demographic and professional profile (N = 341).

		Ν	%
Gender	Male	223	65.4
	Female	114	33.4
	Self-describe/nonbinary	4	1.2
	Total	341	100
Location	Australian Capital Territory	4	1.2
	New South Wales	93	27.3
	Northern Territory	6	1.8
	Queensland	90	26.3
	South Australia	21	6.2
	Tasmania	10	2.9
	Victoria	64	18.7
	Western Australia	22	6.5
	New Zealand (Nth Island)	19	5.6
	New Zealand (Sth Island)	12	3.5
	Total	341	100
Registration	Yes	282	82.7
-	No	18	5.3
	Student in approved programme	41	12.0
	Total	314	92
Registration type	Paramedicine	236	83.7
	Paramedicine and Nursing	37	13
	Nursing	6	2.1
	Medical practitioner	I	0.4
	Paramedicine and medicine	1	0.4
	Other (allied health)	I	0.4
	Total	282	100
Sector	Jurisdictional ambulance service	204	59.8
	Private paramedicine sector	36	10.6
	Education	62	18.2
	Defence/armed forces	4	1.2
	Nonemergency provider	9	2.6
	Other, specify	23	6.7
			^ ^
		3	0.9
	No answer Total	3 341	
Years in paramedicine	No answer Total		
Years in paramedicine	No answer Total 0-4 5-9	341 58 72	17.0 21.1
Years in paramedicine	No answer Total 0-4 5-9 10-14	341 58 72 50	17.0 21.1 14.7
Years in paramedicine	No answer Total 0-4 5-9	341 58 72	17.0 21.1 14.7
Years in paramedicine	No answer Total 0-4 5-9 10-14	341 58 72 50	17.0 21.1 14.7 13.2 8.8
Years in paramedicine	No answer Total 0-4 5-9 10-14 15-19	58 72 50 45 30 25	17.0 21.1 14.7 13.2 8.8 7.3
Years in paramedicine	No answer Total 0-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24	58 72 50 45 30	17.0 21.1 14.7 13.2 8.8 7.3
Years in paramedicine	No answer Total 0-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29	58 72 50 45 30 25	17.0 21.1 14.7 13.2 8.8 7.3 9.7
Years in paramedicine	No answer Total 0-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34	58 72 50 45 30 25 33	17.0 21.1 14.7 13.2 8.8 7.3 9.7
Years in paramedicine	No answer Total 0-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-51	58 72 50 45 30 25 33 23	17.0 21.1 14.7 13.2 8.8 7.3 9.7 6.7 1.5
Years in paramedicine	No answer Total 0-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-51 No answer	58 72 50 45 30 25 33 23 5	17.0 21.1 14.7 13.2 8.8 7.3 9.7 6.7 1.5
	No answer Total 0-4 5-9 10-14 15-19 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-51 No answer Total	58 72 50 45 30 25 33 23 5 341	17.0 21.1 14.7 13.2 8.8 7.3 9.7 6.7 1.5

(continued)

Table 1. Continued.

		Ν	%
	Research	19	5.6
	Student	34	10.0
	No answer	5	1.5
	Total	341	100
Education	Certificate	3	0.9
	Diploma	23	6.7
	Advanced diploma	15	4.4
	Bachelor's degree	107	31.4
	Honours degree	9	2.6
	Graduate certificate	29	8.5
	Graduate diploma	35	10.3
	Master's degree (coursework)	38	11.2
	Master's degree (research)	15	4.4
	Doctorate	33	9.6
	Not listed [open text – high school]	15	4.4
	No answer	19	5.6
	Total	341	100

(IQR: 4–10.5), with 74.4% having less than 15 years of experience. The complete summary of participant academic profiles is described in Table 2.

Research Profile

Of the participants, 232 (68.3%) described themselves as a novice researcher or having no research experience at all. Regarding interest in research, 227 (66.7%) described themselves as between moderately to extremely interested. See Table 3 for a complete summary of participant research profiles.

Barriers and Enablers

Free text responses to the questions on perceived barriers and enablers to conducting research in the paramedicine discipline elicited responses which were coded from over 500 separate entries. The barrier responses generated 322 codes, while the enabler responses generated 183 codes. Analysis resulted in identification of 3 themes each. For 'research barriers', these were 'system', 'process' and 'human'. For 'enablers, these were 'work (type) conditions', 'worker profile', and 'workplace (systems)'. Tables 4 and 5 provide examples of the most frequently reported codes and examples of individual comments.

Discussion

The development of a unique body of research is a key element of the professionalisation of paramedicine along

Table 2. Academic profile (N = 90).

		Ν	%
Academic appointment	Yes	90	26.4
	No	245	71.8
	No answer	6	1.8
	Total	341	100
Academic appointment level	Professor (Level E)	2	2.2
	Associate professor (Level D)	6	6.7
	Senior lecturer (Level C)	19	21.1
	Lecturer (Level B)	18	20.0
	Associate lecturer (Level A)	5	5.6
	Not applicable	12	13.3
	Other [open text – casual, adjunct, fellow]	25	27.8
	No answer	3	3.3
	Total	90	100
Year employed as an academic	0-4	29	32.3
	5–9	19	21.1
	10–14	19	21.1
	15–34	11	12.2
	No answer	12	13.3
	Total	90	100
Nature of appointment	Full time	34	37.8
	Part time	8	8.8
	Casual/Sessional	26	28.9
	Adjunct/Honorary	10	11.2
	No answer	12	13.3
	Total	90	100
Contract or Ongoing	Contract	29	32.3
- •	Ongoing	48	53.3
	No answer	13	14.4
	Total	90	100

with the development of a strong professional college, entry of the occupation into higher education (HE), and professional regulation.²² Indeed, many international studies have examined the challenges faced and the opportunities that might encourage and sustain paramedicine research through the perspectives of ambulance services, medical directors, and other stakeholders.^{7–9,11,13,14} This effort to determine research barriers and enablers is another step in the journey toward professionalisation in Australasia.

The primary aim of this study was to determine barriers and enablers to conducting research in paramedicine. As the two concepts (barriers and enablers) are linked, with themes often overlapping and intertwined, we integrated them both into the following discussion.

Resources were a major theme throughout. The need for resources including human and financial were identified. This requires the active involvement of industry partners such as ambulance services and HE. Respondents identified that both JAS and HE can be associated with barriers and enablers when resourcing is needed to support paramedicine research. For example, the provision of pathways into research roles within JAS and/or HE requires support with time, mentorship, training, funding for projects and positive recognition. Studies over the last two decades in the United States, Canada, and Australia, dentified similar key weaknesses and opportunities that needed to be addressed if progress was to be made.

Table 3. Research profile (N = 341).

		Ν	%
Research experience	No research experience	96	28.0
	Novice researcher	136	40.3
	Developing researcher	63	18.4
	Established researcher	25	7.2
	No answer	21	6.1
	Total	341	100
Interest in conducting research	Extremely interested	88	25.9
	Very interested	72	21.2
	Moderately interested	67	19.6
	Slightly interested	69	20.2
	No interest at all	24	7.0
	No answer	21	6.1
	Total	341	100

The provision or absence of sufficient time and support can act as both a barrier and an enabler for those wishing to engage in research roles. It was evident from the responses that time facilitated the ability to engage in the scholarship of attaining research skills through education pathways, study leave, and time to engage in research activity, particularly when managing the competing demands of academic, clinical or management roles. Participants identified having to use leave entitlements to facilitate relevant qualifications to attain research skills through education pathways. Research skill training was independent of being in a clinical or non-clinical role, when coupled with an academic role that was both challenging, problematic and perceived to be unsupported.

Participants felt stigmatised by being a paramedic engaged in research, and perceived that being identified as active in research, and associated roles, could lead to potential reputational risk and lack of peer support. This broad 'blue-collar' set of values has been previously reported in Australia, ²³ and the UK, ²⁴ and is still evident in the United States where the academicization of paramedicine is sometimes used as a derogatory term, 25 with a continued reliance on trade publications to disseminate research findings to paramedics. While these negative attitudes toward research might be residual markers that hark back to an earlier era when all scholarly endeavours were viewed with some level of suspicion or distain, 4,26,27 action does need to be taken to improve research literacy and better support paramedicine research. A deeper exploration of this finding using qualitative methodology would be enlightening, as understanding the phenomenon may lead to strategies for the mitigation of such concerns. ^{23–25}

Our respondents expressed concern about the capacity and willingness of the JAS and HE to work together to effectively sustain paramedicine research activities. Research roles, whether in JAS or HE, need to be recognised as having intrinsic value to the wider profession.

Table 4. Barriers to conduct of paramedicine research in Australasia.

Theme	Codes	Example comment
System	JAS	JAS are gatekeepers who lack transparency and are resistive to research due to limited resources and funding
	Academic	There are limited opportunities for academics to undertake research due to financial support and university priorities
	Characteristics	Poorly identified and supported pathways. This highlights issues with a culture that systemically is bureaucratic, political and narrowly focussed on clinical operational needs such as OOH cardiac arrest
Processes	Characteristics	Ethical issues and the lack of transparency in research processes
	Data	There is a lack of processes for the collection and access to data
Human	JAS	There is a lack of interest from clinicians
	Academic	While being autonomous, there are performance pressures to produce results and to publish despite a lack of university support
	Characteristics	There are conflicting priorities when attempting to form connections and collaborations

Table 5. Enablers to conduct paramedicine research in Australasia.

Theme	Codes	Example comment
Work (type) conditions	Allocation of time for research	Time from normal duties (teaching or clinical) to conduct research
	Acknowledge	Public acknowledgement by ACP and ambulance services of paramedic researchers' achievements and directory of subject matter experts
	Dual appointments	Formal appointment of academic clinicians that are embedded in both the clinical and academic environments
	Grants	Availability of research grants in the field of paramedicine
	Leave for research	Support from paramedic employers so that their workforce can explore research opportunities
	Data access	Access to ambulance service data (e.g. deidentified ePCR data) that is ethical, transparent and without bias
Worker profile	Research education	Education around conducting and being involved in research
·	Allocation of time for research	Genuine support for clinical researchers with protected research time
	Scholarships	Creating scholarships that can be accessed part-time
	Pathways	A nonacademic paramedic should be able to approach a senior clinician and/or a University and propose a plan for research and have that proposal welcomed and taken seriously and their employer should be supportive of their endeavours and allow them time and resources to pursue their research
	Journal	Having access to quality peer-reviewed journals/articles, etc. without a big expense
	Dual roles	Funded research positions that combined employment with structured/supervised research
Workplace (systems)	EBM – culture shift	Current culture shift toward valuing research
	Individuals	Those that have succeeded at conducting research and/or are able to show the path that one would follow along to achieve a tangible outcome
	Academic profile	Growing numbers of PhDs of academic staff nationally. Growing numbers of platforms promoting these
	Graduate entry	Shift toward university graduates provides an insight into the idea of evidence-based medicine and the need for research
	Lack of literature	The realisation that this is a relatively untapped area of research is a big enabler in terms of researcher willingness
	New profession	Professionalisation of paramedicine practice

ACP, Australasian College of Paramedicine; ePCR, Electronic Patient Care Record; EBM, Evidence-Based Medicine.

Supporting dedicated roles was both an enabler and a barrier to the scholarship of research. The challenges that paramedics face when transitioning into academic roles from clinical practice when supported and recognised academic pathways are weak or absent have previously been highlighted. 16,17,28 This is perhaps particularly important for JASs that are almost completely dependent on the flow of well-educated graduate paramedics from HE to sustain their clinical workforces. They have a stake in sustaining a vibrant paramedic academic workforce that is held in the same professional esteem as those from other registered health professions. Continuing development of the paramedicine 'research culture' enables the increasing professionalisation of paramedicine. The evolving research culture requires an ongoing commitment from both JAS and HE with the physical and financial resourcing of research training programmes and dedicated JAS research units. In contrast, some participants identified the lack of research culture, particularly within JAS, with barriers to engaging in professional development, the lack of physical and financial resourcing of activities and consistent support for research projects.

Participants identified a wide range of practical support enablers and barriers for research activities, in particular the need for collaborative partnerships that facilitate ethically approved access to data, and improved systems to both record and manage this data. Similar issues have been identified in other countries that have developed research agendas for ambulance services or prehospital systems. 7,11,29,30 At a national level, there is some anecdotal evidence that the quality of clinical data has improved and that collaboration across jurisdictions is possible. However, one issue may be that JASs are perceived to serve as gatekeepers to their own data and the collection of independent data within their organisations. As a result, paramedic academics from many paramedicine programmes have been unable to build the strong research profiles required for academic advancement in HE, with

a number returning to clinical practice or leaving the profession as a result of their frustration. There is a perception that research access to JAS is not equitable across HE institutions or for researchers using qualitative or novel research methodologies. This concern was reinforced in a recent international study that found that while Australia is strongly represented in the paramedicine research literature, many of the authors were non-paramedics. It is possible that the establishment of a more open access system or readily accessible data warehouse might better support the profession and arguably enhance the value of independent paramedicine research. 10,33

Ethics approvals to gain access to data were perceived to be a barrier. While some concern was expressed about the perceived difficulties associated with receiving human research ethics board approvals, there appears to be a strong research workforce capacity to address these and other potential barriers to research that were identified in previous studies. ^{14,34}

In a positive development in 2020, the Australian Research Council (ARC) allocated paramedicine its own 'Field of Research' code for the first time, and it is anticipated that this will have a positive impact on funding opportunities.³⁵ At the same time, paramedicine research dissemination options have improved with Australasian paramedicine researchers now widely published in highimpact journals throughout the world. Several academic paramedicine journals are emerging around the world that aim to provide opportunities for emerging researchers to publish and to improve research literacy amongst paramedics. It is prudent to acknowledge however that there are many measurements for impact and that industry journals, as an example, also serve the purpose of disseminating the latest research to the discipline more broadly. The secondary aim of this study was to describe the demographic professional, academic and research profiles of respondents. Overall, the respondents were experienced, well-educated paramedics working in a variety of roles across a variety of settings and locations (Table 1). There was a disparity in gender with approximately two-thirds of the sample being male. Current research suggests the number of females entering the workforce is higher than their male counterparts. ^{36,37} Perhaps the disparity in this sample is more related to who completed the survey and their roles and/or seniority, as historically males far outweighed females.^{36,37} Over one quarter (26.3%) worked in management, education and research roles. These roles tend to be filled by more senior paramedics rather than new graduates. This is also supported by the age break down with 62% of respondents having 10 or more years of experience in the profession.

While impacted by selection bias the generalisability of the barriers and enablers results were strengthened by the diversity of respondents beyond gender. Every state, territory and island in Australia and New Zealand were represented. Participants also worked in a variety of sectors. While dominated by JAS, the private sector, education sector and armed forces were also represented. Role variety was also evident with participants from clinical, education, management, and research roles as well as students. Finally, a broad range of years of experience was represented with percentages diminishing as years increased over 20.

The education profile of the study respondents (Table 1) shows minimal numbers below degree qualified (12%) and larger numbers completing postgraduate qualifications (47%). The growing number of paramedics completing postgraduate education enhances not only their clinical capabilities but in other areas including leadership and research. Postgraduate programmes generally contain at least some research components, therefore the knowledge, understanding, and capacity to conduct research across the profession can only be strengthened by this.

Also influencing the capacity of the profession to engage in research is the number of paramedics in academic appointments (26%). In general, there is an expectation that academics who participate in research and universities are best placed to provide the education and resources required to enhance engagement. Furthermore, regardless of their roles or appointments 47% of respondents were extremely or very interested in conducting research with another 40% moderately or slightly interested. The professional profile results from this sample suggested there is a very high level of interest in conducting research which can be attributed to the professionalisation of paramedicine and all that that entails, including education and value placed on the importance of evidence-based practice.

Limitations

Self-selection bias of only motivated people could have occurred due to the recruitment methods through social media and professional networks. However, the range of responses across most questions indicates good diversity. A notable outlier to this is the research experience with 68.3% placing themselves in the novice or no experience categories. This percentage could have influenced the barriers and enablers responses as those with little or no experience of the research process would have less expertise to comment on it. Conversely, their responses could highlight or amplify the barriers to beginner researchers getting involved. The number of respondents could be considered small and non-representative of the discipline being surveyed. A response rate cannot be generated though as there is no accepted population denominator that could be used for this. Together with the exploratory nature of the study, no a priori sample size could be calculated.

Conclusion

This research delivers insight into the paramedicine workforce, its relationship to research, and the perceived barriers and enablers to conducting research in paramedicine. It provides a snapshot of a diverse sample of the Australian and New Zealand paramedic workforce, spanning the spectrum of roles and experience. The demographic results in comparison to previous research describes a growing profession with increased capacity and interest in paramedicine lead research. This study identifies the disconnect between stakeholders and the provision of resources such as funding, time, training, roles and data as major barriers to conducting paramedicine research. The strategies required to confront these and other professionalisation barriers must include the development, adoption, and implementation of a paramedicine research agenda for Australia and New Zealand. The ability or capacity of paramedics, as health professionals, to lead and undertake their own research was not questioned. The value of evidence-based research and autonomous paramedic led research was identified as likely to empower future capacity within the profession.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Linda Ross, Louise Reynolds and Robin Pap are Associate Editors of *Paramedicine*. Paul Simpson is the Editorin-Chief of *Paramedicine*.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Linda Ross https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7444-1939 Robin Pap https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7058-0341

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Cooke S. Australian Government Productivity Commission. 2022
- Milne A. St John releases ambulance data for 2021. 2021.
- Williams B, Beovich B and Olaussen A. The definition of paramedicine: an international Delphi study. *J Multidiscip Healthc* 2021; 14: 3561.
- Makrides T, Ross L, Gosling C, et al. From stretcher bearer to practitioner: a brief narrative review of the history of the Anglo-American paramedic system. *Australas Emerg Care* 2022; 25: 347–353.

5. Beovich B, Olaussen A and Williams B. A bibliometric analysis of paramedicine publications using the Scopus database: 2010–2019. *Int Emerg Nurs* 2021; 59: 101077.

- O'Meara P, Maguire B, Jennings P, et al. Building an Australasian paramedicine research agenda: a narrative review. Health Res Policy Syst 2015; 13: 1–5.
- Jensen JL, Bigham BL, Blanchard IE, et al. The Canadian National EMS Research Agenda: a mixed methods consensus study. *Can J Emerg Med* 2013; 15: 73–82.
- Sayre MR, White LJ, Brown LH, et al. The national EMS research strategic plan. *Prehosp Emerg Care* 2005; 9: 255–266.
- Brace S and Cooke M. What are the priorities for prehospital research? J Paramed Pract 2010; 2: 502–504.
- Maguire BJ, O'Meara P and Newton A. Toward an international paramedic research agenda. *Irish J Paramed* 2016; 1.
- van de Glind I, Berben S, Zeegers F, et al. A national research agenda for pre-hospital emergency medical services in the Netherlands: a Delphi-study. Scandinavian J Trauma Resuscit Emerg Med 2016; 24: 1–9.
- O'Donnell C and O'Reilly S. A national prehospital research strategy. Limerick: Centre for Prehospital Research, University of Limerick, 2008.
- Delgado RC, Gonzalez KA, Martinez JAC, et al. Top research priorities in prehospital care in Spain. *Prehosp Dis Med* 2022; 31: 81–87.
- Tippett V, Clark M, Woods S, et al. Towards a national research agenda for the ambulance and pre-hospital sector in Australia. Aust J Paramed 2003; 1: 1–8.
- O'Meara P and Maguire B. Developing a sustainable academic workforce in paramedicine. Aust Univ Rev 2018; 60: 54–56
- Munro GG, O'Meara P and Mathisen B. Paramedic academics in Australia and New Zealand: the 'no man's land' of professional identity. *Nurse Edu Pract* 2018; 33: 33–36.
- Munro GG, O'Meara P and Mathisen B. Paramedic transition into an academic role in universities: A qualitative survey of paramedic academics in Australia and New Zealand. *Irish J Paramed* 2019; 4.
- 18. Singh D. Understanding philosophical underpinnings of research with respect to various paradigms: Perspective of a research scholar. In: ANVESH-2019 Doctoral Research Conference in Management, 2019, pp. 1–26.
- Braun V, Clarke V. Thematic analysis. In: Cooper H, Camic PM, Long DL, et al. (eds) APA handbook of research methods in psychology: Research designs: Quantitative, qualitative, neuropsychological, and biological. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association 2012, pp. 57–71.
- Braun V and Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualit Res Psychol 2006; 3: 77–101.
- Clarke V and Braun V. Thematic analysis. J Posit Psychol 2017; 12: 297–298.
- Moritz D. The regulatory evolution of paramedic practice in Australia. *J law Med* 2018; 25: 765–781.
- Reynolds L and O'Donnell M. Chapter 19. The role of prehospital care and paramedics: the emerging professionalisation of urgent care. In: *Understanding the Australian Health Care System* 2016, pp. 271–286.
- 24. McCann L, Granter E, Hyde P, et al. Still blue-collar after all these years? An ethnography of the professionalization

of emergency ambulance work. J Manag Stud 2013; 50: 750-776.

- 25. Newton-Riner BJ. Professionalizing Emergency Medical Services (EMS): Still at the Crossroads. 2020.
- Furness S, Hanson L and Spier J. Archetypal meanings of being a paramedic: A hermeneutic review. *Austr Emerg Care* 2021; 24: 135–140.
- Reed B, Cowin LS, O'Meara P, et al. Professionalism and professionalisation in the discipline of paramedicine. 2019.
- Munro G, O'Meara P and Kenny A. Paramedic transition into an academic role in universities: A demographic and qualification survey of paramedic academics in Australia and New Zealand. *Irish J Paramed* 2016; 1.
- Snooks H, Evans A, Wells B, et al. What are the highest priorities for research in pre-hospital care? Results of a review and Delphi consultation exercise. *Austr J Paramed* 2008; 6.
- 30. Batt AM and Knox S. Building research capacity among Irish prehospital practitioners. *Irish J Paramed* 2017; 2.

- 31. Furness SE, Lehmann J and Gardner F. Autoethnographic analysis of the self through an occupational story of a paramedic. *J Paramed Pract* 2016; 8: 589–595.
- 32. Martin AC and O'Meara P. Community paramedicine through multiple stakeholder lenses using a modified soft systems methodology. *Austr J Paramed* 2020; 17: 1–11.
- Ozaydin B, Zengul F, Oner N, et al. Healthcare research and analytics data infrastructure solution: a data warehouse for health services research. *J Med Internet Res* 2020; 22: e18579.
- 34. Cone DC and Davidson SJ. EMS research. *Ann Emerg Med* 1998; 32: 273.
- Simpson P. Quantifying and evaluating research in paramedicine—why our own field of research code matters. Austr J Paramed 2020; 17: 1–2.
- 36. Johnston T and Bilton N. Investigating paramedic student professional identity. *Austr J Paramed* 2020; 17: 1–8.
- Whitfield S, Veronese JP, Baran C, et al. Determining the ability of paramedic students to do drug calculations. *Austr J Paramed* 2020; 17: 1–6.