Title:

Architectural adaptations of muscle to training and injury: A narrative review outlining the contributions by fascicle length, pennation angle and muscle thickness.

Authors:

Ryan G Timmins[1], Anthony J Shield[2], Morgan D Williams[3], Christian Lorenzen[1], David A Opar[1]

[1]School of Exercise Science, Australian Catholic University, Melbourne, Australia

[2]School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences and Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia

[3]School of Health, Sport and Professional Practice, University of South Wales, Pontypridd, Wales, UK

Corresponding author:

Ryan G. Timmins

School of Exercise Science, Australian Catholic University, 115 Victoria Parade, Fitzroy, 3065, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

ryan.timmins@myacu.edu.au

Telephone: +61 3 9953 3772

Fax: +61 3 9953 3095

Running title:

Adaptability of muscle architecture

Word count: 4150

Keywords: fascicle length; muscle adaptation; skeletal muscle; strain injury

ABSTRACT

Background and review questions: The architectural characteristics of muscle (fascicle length, pennation angle, muscle thickness) respond to varying forms of stimuli (e.g. training, immobilisation and injury). Architectural changes following injury are thought to occur in response to the restricted range of motion experienced during rehabilitation and the associated neuromuscular inhibition. However, it is unknown if these differences exist prior to injury and had a role in it happening (prospectively) or if they occur in response to the incident itself (retrospectively). Considering that a muscles structure influences its function, it is of interest to understand how these architectural variations may alter how a muscle acts in the force-length and force-velocity relationships for example. **Objectives:** Our narrative review provides an overview of muscle architectural adaptations to training and injury. Specifically, we; (1) describe the methods used to measure muscle architecture, (2) detail the impact that architectural alterations following training interventions, immobilisation as well as injury have on force production, and (3) present a hypothesis on how neuromuscular inhibition could cause maladaptations to muscle architecture following injury.

What are the new findings

- Skeletal muscle architecture can be assessed using many methods including two-dimensional ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging and cadaveric dissection and observation;
- The characteristics of muscle architecture are plastic in nature and respond to various stimuli such as resistance training interventions and immobilisation;
- The extent of these architectural alterations depend on various factors including the muscle being targeted, the range of motion/joint position during the intervention, they type of contraction (e.g., eccentric/concentric), the mode of training and the velocity of the contractions;
- There is only limited evidence as to how injury may alter muscle architecture and ultimately function, and conversely the role that these characteristics may play in the aetiology of a strain injury is also unknown.

1. INTRODUCTION

Factors that influence the force producing capabilities of skeletal muscle include fibre type distribution [4], neural contributions (e.g. central drive)[5 6] and muscle architecture[7]. Architectural characteristics of muscle not only influence maximal force output, but also the interrelationship between force, muscle length, contraction velocity[8] and susceptibility to injury [9]. The characteristics of muscle architecture are adaptable and can be altered by a range of stimuli including muscle strain injury.

Architectural characteristics of muscle (Figure 1) include cross sectional area (CSA) which can be further defined as either anatomical CSA (ASCA) or physiological CSA (PCSA); muscle thickness (the distance between the superficial and deep/intermediate aponeuroses); pennation angle (the angle of the fascicles relative to the tendon); fascicle angle (the angle of the fascicle onto the aponeuroses); fascicle length (the length of fascicles running between the aponeuroses/tendon); and muscle volume (the product of the length and ACSA of the skeletal tissue located within the epimysium) [8]. The ACSA is the area of tissue assessed perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the muscle[1], while the PCSA is the sum of the cross-sectional area of all fascicles within the muscle and is subsequently influenced by pennation angle[10 11].

We reviewed muscle architectural adaptations to training and injury. Specifically, we; (1) described the methods used to measure muscle architecture, (2) detailed the impact that architectural alterations following training interventions, immobilisation as well as injury have on force production, and (3) present a hypothesis on how neuromuscular inhibition could cause maladaptations to muscle architecture following injury.

2. METHODS USED TO MEASURE CHARACTERISTICS OF MUSCLE ARCHITECTURE

Historically, cadaveric investigations[12] were the sole means of assessing muscle architecture. Magnetic resonance imaging[13] (MRI) and ultrasonography[14] now permit *in-vivo* assessments of muscle architecture.

2.1 Cadaveric observations

Tissue from cadaveric samples has been used to directly study and measure gross characteristics of muscle architecture[12 15] as well as sarcomere lengths[16]. However, there is a limited availability of donor tissue[17] and most donations are from individuals aged 65 to 90 years[18]. We found no reports of architectural characteristics of cadaveric muscle under 45 years of age. Cadaver-derived measures of muscle architecture are most often obtained from sarcopaenic tissue[19] which clearly limits relevance to young, essentially healthy athletic populations [20 21].

2.2 Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) modes

MRI is a valuable tool to measure muscle morphology[22]. It has the spatial capability to clearly identify various anatomical components, such as adipose, nerve and bone tissue. The high resolution permits individual muscles to be identified whereby the user can determine/calculate morphological parameters (e.g. volume and CSA).

MR imaging is also possible at a muscle fascicle level. Specifically, diffusion tensor imaging is an MRI method which has been used to measure fascicle length and pennation angle of skeletal muscle at rest[23-25]. Diffusion tensor imaging is based on the movement of water through cell membranes within biological tissues in six or more non-collinear directions. This allows for the construction of a model showing the muscle fibre orientations[23 26]. While diffusion tensor imaging is a significant step forward for imaging *in-vivo* muscle architecture, there are still limitations such as the variability in the noise of the images and having fibre trajectories interrupted by anatomical artefacts (adipose and scar tissue etc.)[26]. Cost is a significant limitation of MRI which limits large scale studies using this method.

2.3 Ultrasound imaging

Two-dimensional (2-D) ultrasound imaging provides an inexpensive means of assessing the muscle architecture[27-29]. It is also the most common technique for measuring muscle architecture *in-vivo*[18 21 29 30]. Utilising 2-D ultrasound images collected along the longitudinal axis of the muscle belly allows for the determination of fascicle length, pennation angle, muscle thickness and the identification of the aponeuroses in the tissue (Figure 1) [31].

Ultrasound imaging is undertaken using transducers with fields of view ranging from 3.8 to 8cm[29]. These fields of view are typically shorter than the fascicles under investigation, especially in large muscles such as the major knee flexors and extensors[31]. In these cases fascicle length is estimated with various linear approximations using the measured muscle thickness and pennation angle values[17 31]. These methods fail to consider the variability associated with fascicular curvature and as such are prone to error[32 33]. These varying levels of error range from 0% to 6.6%[34]. Additionally, extended-field-of-view ultrasonography has also been utilised to assess *in-vivo* vastus lateralis fascicle lengths[35]. This method is very reliable (intraclass correlation (ICC) = 0.99 in animal dissection), but cannot be utilised during active muscle contraction[36], where other 2-D ultrasonography methods can[37].

The skill of the sonographer and the orientation of the transducer contribute to the error and subsequently limit the reproducibility of the method[38]. A change in the orientation and rotation of the ultrasound probe can result in

a 12% difference (13.6º to 15.5º) in the pennation angle reported[39]. A recent systematic review[18] reported the reliability and validity of 2-D ultrasound in measuring fascicle length and pennation angle in various muscles. Ultrasound was concluded to be reliable across a number of muscle groups and valid in comparison to cadaveric samples. Despite these conclusions the reliability of the measure is mostly dependent upon the assessor's aptitude and using a single assessor will aide in limiting error[18 39]. Different methods have been used for standardising the transducer orientation and location, however no general consensus has been found regarding the best process to limit measurement error[17 31 34].

Ultrasound imaging studies have examined architecture with the muscle in a passive state [31 40-43], during isometric contractions[37 44-46] as well as dynamically during tasks such as walking [47 48], hopping [49]and running [48 50] . The ability of ultrasound to capture these characteristics during contraction is one of its major strengths compared with other methodologies [36]. The assessment of muscle architecture during contraction allows for a greater insight into function than measures taken at rest. For example, pronounced changes in vastus lateralis fascicle length (shortening from 126 to 67mm) and pennation angle (increasing from 16° to 21°) occur as knee extensor forces rise from 0 to 10% of maximal isometric contraction[46]. The reliability of muscle architecture appears not to be influenced by contraction state, with a level of variance for fascicle length and pennation angle ranging from 0% to 6.3% when passive and 0% to 8.3% when active[18 42-46]. Passive and active assessments of fascicle length and pennation angle also display similar ICC's (passive: 0.74-0.99, active: 0.62-0.99)[18]. There are some inconsistencies in the reliability of fascicle length and pennation angle assessments in different muscle groups with the vastus lateralis (ICC = 0.93-0.99) being the most reproducible and the supraspinatus being the least (ICC = 0.74 – 0.93)[18]. Muscle architecture can also vary along the length of the muscle. The biceps femoris long head possesses proximal fascicles which are on average 2.8cm longer than distal fascicles [51]. Therefore standardising the assessment location is an important consideration.

3. ADAPTABILITY OF MUSCLE ARCHITECTURE

Significant alterations in muscle architecture, torque producing capabilities and activation are evident following various resistance training interventions[11 52-54]. Skeletal muscle is also significantly altered following immobilisation[55], with increased age[56 57] and following injury[37]. The level of force produced during a contraction and the speed at which it occurs, are influenced by muscle architecture[8]. Unsurprisingly in response to stimuli which alter muscle architecture, functional changes also arise.

3.1 Effect of training interventions on muscle architecture

It is routinely reported that ACSA (6%-9%), PCSA (6%-8%), muscle thickness (6%-14%) and volume (7%-11%) are increased in the vastus lateralis and the gastrocnemius (lateral and medial) following various resistance training interventions ranging from 3 to 18 weeks [11 40 45 54 55 58 59]. The range of training interventions reported are a combination of conventional resistance training exercises (squats, leg press, bench press etc.), or exercises with an emphasis on the concentric or eccentric portion of the movement (e.g. overloading the specific contraction mode), or purely eccentric or concentric interventions (mostly done via isokinetic dynamometry).

3.1.1 Concentric training

Concentric training of the knee extensors has been shown to produce non-significant reductions of ~6% (isokinetic dynamometry)[54] and ~5% (leg press)[60] in vastus lateralis fascicle length following two different 10 week training interventions. Additionally, 8 weeks of concentric shoulder abduction training reduced fascicle length of the supraspinatus by ~10% [61]. Reductions in vastus lateralis fascicle length of ~11% have also been found in rats following 10 days of uphill/concentrically-biased walking [62].

Muscle pennation angle has also been altered following concentric training interventions. Franchi and colleagues found a ~30% increase in pennation angle of the vastus lateralis after 10 weeks of concentric leg press training [60]. Following 8 weeks of concentric shoulder abduction training, the pennation angle of the supraspinatus has been shown to increase by ~20% [61]. However no significant alterations in the pennation angle of the vastus lateralis and vastus medialis were found following 10 weeks of concentric knee extensor training on an isokinetic dynamometer[54].

3.1.2 Eccentric training

Eccentric training of the plantar flexors resulted in no significant increases in fascicle length (medial gastrocnemius = ~5%, lateral gastrocnemius = ~10% and soleus = ~0%) following a 14-week training intervention [63]. Non-significant increases of ~3% and ~4% were found in the vastus lateralis after 9 and 10 weeks of eccentric resistance training, respectively[54 64]. In contrast, other studies have reported significant increases in fascicle length following eccentric or eccentrically-biased training [58-60 65 66]. These increases range from ~10% in the vastus lateralis to ~34% in the biceps femoris long head[58 59].

Muscle pennation angle has also been shown to be altered following eccentric training interventions. Guilhem and colleagues found an 11% increase in pennation angle in the vastus lateralis following an eccentric intervention performed on an isokinetic dynamometer [64]. However, no significant alterations in the pennation

angle of the biceps femoris long head [59] and triceps surae [63] have been reported following 8 and 14 weeks of eccentric resistance training. It is possible that increases in pennation angle are reliant on the extent of fibre hypertrophy that occurs and that concurrent increases in fascicle length may counter the tendency for pennation angle to increase[59 63].

3.1.3 Conventional resistance training

Conventional resistance training (consisting of a concentric and eccentric phase) has also been shown to alter muscle fascicle length. Following 13 weeks of general lower body strength training, fascicle length of the vastus lateralis significantly increased by 10% [40]. Additionally, 12 weeks of conventional upper body resistance training increased fascicle length of the triceps brachii lateralis by 16% [67]. In contrast, following 16 weeks of elbow extension training no changes in fascicle length of the triceps brachii long head were found [68].

Muscle pennation angle has also been shown to be altered following conventional resistance training interventions. Increases of 30% to 33% in the pennation angle of the vastus lateralis have been reported following 10 and 14-weeks of conventional resistance training[11 60]. Triceps brachii long head pennation angle has also been shown to increase by 29% following 16 weeks of elbow extension training [68]. Similar increases in pennation angle of the triceps brachii lateralis have been found after 13 weeks of conventional upper body resistance training [67]. In contrast, non-significant reductions of 2.4% in vastus lateralis pennation angle have been found following 13 weeks of lower body strength training [40]. Comparable non-significant reductions in vastus lateralis pennation angle have also been found following 12 weeks of conventional leg extension training [69].

3.1.4 Other exercise modalities

Changes in muscle architecture are potentially reliant on the exercise being undertaken. A training study involving well-trained athletes used three different interventions in addition to their current regime (two sprint and jump session/week) [70]. One intervention group undertook additional squat training and one group undertook hack-squat training, while the final group completed two additional sprint and jump training sessions/week. Distal vastus lateralis fascicle lengths increased significantly (~52%) and pennation angles decreased ~3% in the participants who completed extra sprint and jump training. By contrast, there were no significant changes in fascicle length and pennation angle in those who undertook additional squat and hack squat training. The authors concluded that the velocity requirements of exercises may influence the extent of fascicle length change

more so than the type of movement pattern. It is also possible that the range of motion and excursion experienced by the vastus lateralis during eccentric contractions was greater during sprint and jump training than during the squat and front hack-squat. This might presumably influence changes to the number of sarcomeres in-series within a muscle. The results showed that adaptations to muscle architecture are possible in a well-trained population.

3.1.5 Further variables to consider

3.1.5.1 Range of motion/muscle length

It is possible that there is an intricate relationship between the range of motion a muscle group routinely undertakes and its adaptations following resistance training interventions. Taking a muscle through a range of motion that is greater than what it is exposed to on a daily basis while adding resistance, may increase muscle fascicle length independent of contraction mode. This may explain different responses between young and elderly adults to eccentric resistance training, as elderly individuals appear to exhibit greater increases in fascicle length than their younger counterparts[66 71]. As elderly persons have, on average, a habitually reduced range of motion, it is thought that increasing the excursion their fascicles are familiar with, beyond that of their normal daily living, would result in longer fascicles, more so than interventions that work within their current range of motion. This may also potentially explain why some resistance interventions have elicited no fascicle length adaptations in younger adults who may already experience excursions and ranges of motion similar to those employed in training studies [70].

3.1.5.2 Velocity

One study has compared how a fast (240 deg/s) or slow (90 deg/s) eccentric knee extension training intervention (utilising isokinetic dynamometry) may alter vastus lateralis fascicle length[72]. Following 10 weeks of fast eccentric knee extension training, fascicle length of the vastus lateralis increased by 14%, with no significant changes in the slow training group. However the slow training group completed their training through a reduced range of motion (35 degrees less than the fast training group) so it is not possible to determine the effect of contraction velocity alone on changes in muscle fascicle lengths.

3.1.6 Summary

Architectural adaptations have been shown to occur in various muscles following different forms of interventions. Additionally some interventions have shown no alterations in muscle architecture following a period of training. Despite this evidence there is no consensus between studies to suggest a contraction mode

specific adaptation for muscle architecture. However those studies which reported a change in muscle architecture had a general trend for an increase in muscle fascicle length following eccentric training interventions, with a reduction seen in most of the concentric training studies. The lack of consistency between studies suggests that other variables, which are not consistent throughout these interventions, such as range of motion and velocity, must also be considered.

3.2 Immobilisation

Alterations in muscle CSA, volume, fascicle length, pennation angle and muscle thickness are found following periods of bed rest or immobilisation (limb suspension) [30 41 55 73-75]. Fascicle length of the vastus lateralis was reported to decline by ~6% after 14 days of limb suspension, with a ~8% reduction after 23 days [76]. Similar reductions have been observed in the lateral gastrocnemius, with ~9% decrements in fascicle length after 23 days of lower limb suspension[73]. Not all studies involving bed rest or immobilisation in weight-bearing and non-weight bearing muscles have shown changes in architecture. For example, fascicle lengths in the tibialis anterior and biceps brachii were not significantly altered following 5-weeks of bed rest[77].

It is thought that the muscle length when immobilised may influence the extent of change, with fascicle lengths expected to reduce if immobilisation occurs at lengths which are shorter than those experienced during the activities of daily living[78]. If immobilisation occurs at a 'normal' length, it is expected that there may be little change in fascicle lengths [78]. Conversely immobilising a muscle at longer lengths may increase fascicles [78].

3.3 Impact of fascicle length on muscle function

Fascicle length has a significant influence on the force-velocity and force-length relationships and, by extension, may alter muscle function. The impact of fascicle length on the force-velocity relationship has been investigated previously in the feline semitendinosus[79]. This muscle consists of a proximal and distal head, separated by a thick tendinous inscription. Both portions display similar architectural characteristics, differing only in the length of their fascicles, with the distal head containing significantly longer fascicles (3.93 ± 0.1cm) than the proximal head (2.12 ± 0.1cm). An *in-vivo* comparison of the maximal shortening velocities for both of the heads showed that the distal head is able to shorten approximately twice as fast (424 mm/s) as the proximal head (224 mm/s)[79]. As muscle fascicle length is shorter in humans with a previous strain injury [37], this could lead to a reduced maximal shortening velocity of the injured muscle (Figure 2, Figure 3).

It is also hypothesized that muscle fascicle lengths have some bearing on the force-length relationship; however evidence in humans is limited[1 8 21]. It is thought that a previously injured muscle which is identical to an

uninjured muscle, however with shorter fascicle lengths, will have a reduced working range as a result of fewer sarcomeres in-series [37 80]. This may increase the amount of work being completed on the descending limb (or a self-selection of range limitation) of the force-length relationship, where a reduced force generating capacity may result in an increased potential for muscle damage[1 8]. This concept is supported in the literature in studies utilising animal models, where an increase of in-series sarcomeres in the vasti of rats and toads resulted in maximal force being produced at longer muscle lengths when compared to the vasti with fewer in-series sarcomeres [62 81-83]. Muscle architecture plays a role in the active portion of the force-length relationship in animals models [1 8 84]. It may also play a role in the generation of passive force that is produced at longer muscle lengths, yet this requires further investigation.

3.4 Impact of muscle strain injury on architecture

Limited evidence exists to characterise the effect of injury on muscle architecture. From the available literature, the isokinetic dynamometry derived torque-joint angle relationships has been used to postulate the effects of prior hamstring strain injury on fascicle length [9 85-87]. These studies suggest that a shift in the angle of peak torque of the knee flexors towards shorter lengths, in individuals with a previously injured hamstring, is the result of a reduction in the number of in-series sarcomeres and a decrease in the optimum length for force production [9 20 87].

Evidence for shorter fascicles in individuals with a history of strain injury has recently been provided through the use of 2-D ultrasound [37]. Athletes who had experienced a unilateral biceps femoris long head strain injury within the preceding 18 months had the biceps femoris long head architecture of both limbs assessed at rest and during graded isometric contractions (25%, 50% and 75% of maximal voluntary isometric contraction). The previously injured muscles had shorter fascicles and greater pennation angles at rest and during all isometric contractions when compared to the contralateral, uninjured biceps femoris long head [37]. Due to a lack of prospective studies it is unclear whether these architectural changes are the cause or consequence of injury, however their persistence long after these athletes had returned to full training and competition schedules is intriguing. It must also be acknowledged that factors such as changes in connective tissue content/fibrosis of the scar tissue [88] and damage to the intramuscular nerve branches at the site of injury [89] may influence these architectural differences in individuals with a history of strain injury.

Neuromuscular inhibition after strain injury has been proposed to account for fascicular shortening following a strain injury[87 90]. The previously injured biceps femoris long head has a reduced level of activation during

eccentric contractions at long muscle lengths when compared to the contralateral uninjured biceps femoris long head[86 90]. This reduced activation, as well as the avoidance of long muscle lengths during the early stages of rehabilitation, could result in structural changes (e.g. reduced muscle volume, altered architecture) that would ultimately lead to adverse alterations in function [87]. Despite the best efforts during rehabilitation to include heavily loaded eccentric exercise in an attempt to restore muscle structure and function to pre-injured levels [91-94], the altered neural drive and difficulty in isolating the injured muscle may limit the potency of this stimulus and thus limit fascicle length changes.

Possessing shorter fascicles has been suggested to increase the likelihood of microscopic muscle damage as a consequence of repetitive eccentric actions (e.g. high speed running) and, when coupled with a high frequency of training sessions, may result in an accumulation of damage[87 96]. This accumulation of eccentrically induced muscle damage would leave the muscle more vulnerable to strain injury when it encounters a potentially injurious situation, increasing the probability of re-injury [87]. It is also possible that muscle fascicle length may be a primary risk factor and explain (at least in part) why certain athletes suffer muscle strain injuries in the first place[9 96].

It should also be noted that a number of factors are likely to influence the risk of injury and re-injury in addition to architectural maladaptations. For example, tendon geometry is another intrinsic risk factor that has recently been proposed to have a potential role in muscle strain injuries. The width of the proximal biceps femoris tendon has been shown to exhibit high levels of variability within healthy athletes [97]. Possessing a narrow proximal tendon width has been shown to increase the tissue strains within the muscle fibres adjacent to the proximal musculotendinous junction of the biceps femoris long head during active lengthening [98] and high speed running [99]. The combination of these observations suggests that an athlete with a narrow proximal biceps femoris long head tendon may expose the tissue surrounding this tendon to high strains and potentially have an increased risk for injury at this site during active lengthening or high speed running. Additionally, eccentric strength deficits and neuromuscular inhibition might themselves elevate the risk of re-injury, perhaps in conjunction with the aforementioned architectural/anatomical factors. Much work is still required in this area to confirm this hypothesis, including prospective observations to determine if shorter muscle fascicles (fewer sarcomeres in-series) increase the risk of future injury in human muscles.

4. SUMMARY

Architectural characteristics of skeletal muscle characteristics can be assessed using multiple methods -- 2-D ultrasound is the most efficient and cost effective. Moreover architecture displays plasticity in response to different stimuli, which can partly explain changes in function following training and immobilisation. Previously injured muscles have shorter fascicle lengths than uninjured muscles. We present an argument as to how variations in architecture may impact function. However no research has examined the effect that fascicle lengths have on the risk of injury. The role of architectural characteristics in muscle strain injury aetiology currently remains unknown. We recommend investigators explore the relationship between muscle architecture and strain injury with a view to ultimately assisting in preventingon of muscle strain injury and re-injury.

Conflict of interest

The authors ensure that there is no conflict of interest in regards to the present paper. No sources of funding were used to assist in the preparation of this article.

Contributorship Statement

RT was primarily responsible for the determining the review design and wrote the manuscript. MW, AS, DO and CL were involved in the review design and assisted in writing the manuscript.

5. References

1. Lieber RL, Ward SR. Skeletal muscle design to meet functional demands. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2011;**366**(1570):1466-76.
2. Bean JF, Kiely DK, LaRose S, et al. Are changes in leg power responsible for clinically meaningful improvements in mobility in older adults? J Am Geriatr Soc 2010;**58**(12):2363-8.
3. Faria EW, Parker DL, Faria IE. The science of cycling: physiology and training - part 1. Sports Med 2005;**35**(4):285-312.
4. Hakkinen K, Komi PV, Alen M. Effect of explosive type strength training on isometric force- and relaxation-time, electromyographic and muscle fibre characteristics of leg extensor muscles. Acta Physiol Scand 1985;**125**(4):587-600.
5. Waugh CM, Korff T, Fath F, et al. Rapid force production in children and adults: mechanical and neural contributions. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2013;**45**(4):762-71.
6. Gandevia SC. Spinal and supraspinal factors in human muscle fatigue. Physiol Rev 2001;**81**(4):1725-89.
7. Lieber RL. Skeletal muscle architecture: implications for muscle function and surgical tendon transfer. J Hand Ther 1993;**6**(2):105-13.
8. Lieber RL, Friden J. Functional and clinical significance of skeletal muscle architecture. Muscle Nerve 2000;**23**(11):1647-66.
9. Brockett CL, Morgan DL, Proske U. Predicting hamstring strain injury in elite athletes. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2004;**36**(3):379-87.
10. Lieber RL. *Skeletal Muscle Structure, Function and Plasticity*. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2002.
11. Aagaard P, Andersen JL, Dyhre-Poulsen P, et al. A mechanism for increased contractile strength of human pennate muscle in response to strength training: changes in muscle architecture. J Physiol 2001;**534**(Pt. 2):613-23.

12. Wickiewicz TL, Roy RR, Powell PL, et al. Muscle architecture of the human lower limb. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1983(179):275-83.

13. Scott SH, Engstrom CM, Loeb GE. Morphometry of human thigh muscles. Determination of fascicle architecture by magnetic resonance imaging. J Anat 1993;**182 (Pt 2)**:249-57.

14. Kawakami Y, Abe T, Fukunaga T. Muscle-fiber pennation angles are greater in hypertrophied than in normal muscles. J Appl Physiol 1993;**74**(6):2740-4.

15. Friederich JA, Brand RA. Muscle fiber architecture in the human lower limb. J Biomech 1990;**23**(1):91-5.

16. Cutts A. The range of sarcomere lengths in the muscles of the human lower limb. J Anat 1988;**160**:79-88.

17. Kellis E, Galanis N, Natsis K, et al. Validity of architectural properties of the hamstring muscles: correlation of ultrasound findings with cadaveric dissection. J Biomech 2009;**42**(15):2549-54.

18. Kwah LK, Pinto RZ, Diong J, et al. Reliability and validity of ultrasound measurements of muscle fascicle length and pennation in humans: a systematic review. J Appl Physiol 2013;**114**(6):761-9.

19. Morse CI, Thom JM, Birch KM, et al. Changes in triceps surae muscle architecture with sarcopenia. Acta Physiol Scand 2005;**183**(3):291-8.

20. Opar DA, Williams MD, Shield AJ. Hamstring strain injuries: factors that lead to injury and re-injury. Sports Med 2012;**42**(3):209-26.

21. Blazevich AJ. Effects of physical training and detraining, immobilisation, growth and aging on human fascicle geometry. Sports Med 2006;**36**(12):1003-17.

371 22. Narici MV, Roi GS, Landoni L. Force of knee extensor and flexor muscles and cross-
372 sectional area determined by nuclear magnetic resonance imaging. Eur J Appl Physiol
373 Occup Physiol 1988;**57**(1):39-44.
374 23. Van Donkelaar CC, Kretzers LJ, Bovendeerd PH, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging in
375 biomechanical studies of skeletal muscle function. J Anat 1999;**194 (Pt 1)**:79-88.
376 24. Heemskerk AM, Sinha TK, Wilson KJ, et al. Repeatability of DTI-based skeletal muscle
377 fiber tracking. NMR Biomed 2010;**23**(3):294-303.
378 25. Damon BM, Ding Z, Anderson AW, et al. Validation of diffusion tensor MRI-based
379 muscle fiber tracking. Magn Reson Med 2002;**48**(1):97-104.
380 26. Lansdown DA, Ding Z, Wadington M, et al. Quantitative diffusion tensor MRI-based
381 fiber tracking of human skeletal muscle. J Appl Physiol 2007;**103**(2):673-81.
382 27. Ikai M, Fukunaga T. A study on training effect on strength per unit cross-sectional area of
383 muscle by means of ultrasonic measurement. Int Z Angew Physiol 1970;**28**(3):173-
384 80.
385 28. Ikai M, Fukunaga T. Calculation of muscle strength per unit cross-sectional area of
386 human muscle by means of ultrasonic measurement. Int Z Angew Physiol
387 1968;**26**(1):26-32.
388 29. Narici M. Human skeletal muscle architecture studied in vivo by non-invasive imaging
389 techniques: functional significance and applications. J Electromyogr Kinesiol
390 1999;**9**(2):97-103.
391 30. Narici M, Cerretelli P. Changes in human muscle architecture in disuse-atrophy evaluated
392 by ultrasound imaging. J Gravit Physiol 1998;**5**(1):P73-4.
393 31. Blazevich AJ, Gill ND, Zhou S. Intra- and intermuscular variation in human quadriceps
394 femoris architecture assessed in vivo. J Anat 2006;**209**(3):289-310.

395 32. Rana M, Hamarneh G, Wakeling JM. 3D curvature of muscle fascicles in triceps surae. J
396 Appl Physiol (1985) 2014;**117**(11):1388-97.
397 33. Darby J, Li B, Costen N, et al. Estimating skeletal muscle fascicle curvature from B-mode
398 ultrasound image sequences. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 2013;**60**(7):1935-45.
399 34. Muramatsu T, Muraoka T, Kawakami Y, et al. In vivo determination of fascicle curvature
400 in contracting human skeletal muscles. J Appl Physiol 2002;**92**(1):129-34.
401 35. Noorkoiv M, Stavnsbo A, Aagaard P, et al. In vivo assessment of muscle fascicle length
402 by extended field-of-view ultrasonography. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2010;**109**(6):1974-
403 9.
404 36. Noorkoiv M, Nosaka K, Blazevich AJ. Assessment of quadriceps muscle cross-sectional
405 area by ultrasound extended-field-of-view imaging. Eur J Appl Physiol
406 2010;**109**(4):631-9.
407 37. Timmins R, Shield A, Williams M, et al. Biceps femoris long head architecture: a
408 reliability and retrospective injury study. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2015;**47**(5):905-13.
409 38. Kurihara T, Oda T, Chino K, et al. Use of Three-Dimensional Ultrasonography for the
410 Analysis of the Fascicle Length of Human Gastrocnemius Muscle During
411 Contractions. Int J of Sport and Health Sci 2005;**3**:226-34.
412 39. Klimstra M, Dowling J, Durkin JL, et al. The effect of ultrasound probe orientation on
413 muscle architecture measurement. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2007;**17**(4):504-14.
414 40. Alegre LM, Jimenez F, Gonzalo-Orden JM, et al. Effects of dynamic resistance training
415 on fascicle length and isometric strength. J Sports Sci 2006;**24**(5):501-8.
416 41. Bleakney R, Maffulli N. Ultrasound changes to intramuscular architecture of the
417 quadriceps following intramedullary nailing. J Sports Med Phys Fitness
418 2002;**42**(1):120-5.

42. Brancaccio P, Limongelli FM, D'Aponte A, et al. Changes in skeletal muscle architecture following a cycloergometer test to exhaustion in athletes. J Sci Med Sport 2008;**11**(6):538-41.

43. Chleboun GS, France AR, Crill MT, et al. In vivo measurement of fascicle length and pennation angle of the human biceps femoris muscle. Cells Tissues Organs 2001;**169**(4):401-9.

44. Cronin NJ, Peltonen J, Ishikawa M, et al. Effects of contraction intensity on muscle fascicle and stretch reflex behavior in the human triceps surae. J Appl Physiol 2008;**105**(1):226-32.

45. Duclay J, Martin A, Duclay A, et al. Behavior of fascicles and the myotendinous junction of human medial gastrocnemius following eccentric strength training. Muscle Nerve 2009;**39**(6):819-27.

46. Fukunaga T, Ichinose Y, Ito M, et al. Determination of fascicle length and pennation in a contracting human muscle in vivo. J Appl Physiol 1997;**82**(1):354-8.

47. Cronin NJ, Avela J, Finni T, et al. Differences in contractile behaviour between the soleus and medial gastrocnemius muscles during human walking. J Exp Biol 2013;**216**(Pt 5):909-14.

48. Lai A, Lichtwark GA, Schache AG, et al. In vivo behavior of the human soleus muscle with increasing walking and running speeds. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2015;**118**(10):1266-75.

49. Hoffren-Mikkola M, Ishikawa M, Rantalainen T, et al. Neuromuscular mechanics and hopping training in elderly. Eur J Appl Physiol 2015;**115**(5):863-77.

50. Ishikawa M, Pakaslahti J, Komi PV. Medial gastrocnemius muscle behavior during human running and walking. Gait Posture 2007;**25**(3):380-4.

51. Bennett HJ, Rider PM, Domire ZJ, et al. Heterogeneous fascicle behavior within the biceps femoris long head at different muscle activation levels. J Biomech 2014;**47**(12):3050-5.

52. Narici MV, Hoppeler H, Kayser B, et al. Human quadriceps cross-sectional area, torque and neural activation during 6 months strength training. Acta Physiol Scand 1996;**157**(2):175-86.

53. Claflin DR, Larkin LM, Cederna PS, et al. Effects of high- and low-velocity resistance training on the contractile properties of skeletal muscle fibers from young and older humans. J Appl Physiol 2011;**111**(4):1021-30.

54. Blazevich AJ, Cannavan D, Coleman DR, et al. Influence of concentric and eccentric resistance training on architectural adaptation in human quadriceps muscles. J Appl Physiol 2007;**103**(5):1565-75.

55. Campbell EL, Seynnes OR, Bottinelli R, et al. Skeletal muscle adaptations to physical inactivity and subsequent retraining in young men. Biogerontology 2013;**14**(3):247-59.

56. Raj IS, Bird SR, Shield AJ. Aging and the force-velocity relationship of muscles. Exp Gerontol 2010;**45**(2):81-90.

57. Narici MV, Maganaris CN, Reeves ND, et al. Effect of aging on human muscle architecture. J Appl Physiol 2003;**95**(6):2229-34.

58. Seynnes OR, de Boer M, Narici MV. Early skeletal muscle hypertrophy and architectural changes in response to high-intensity resistance training. J Appl Physiol 2007;**102**(1):368-73.

59. Potier TG, Alexander CM, Seynnes OR. Effects of eccentric strength training on biceps femoris muscle architecture and knee joint range of movement. Eur J Appl Physiol 2009;**105**(6):939-44.

60. Franchi MV, Atherton PJ, Reeves ND, et al. Architectural, functional and molecular responses to concentric and eccentric loading in human skeletal muscle. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 2014;**210**(3):642-54.

61. Kim SY, Ko JB, Farthing JP, et al. Investigation of supraspinatus muscle architecture following concentric and eccentric training. J Sci Med Sport 2015;**18**(4):378-82.

62. Butterfield TA, Leonard TR, Herzog W. Differential serial sarcomere number adaptations in knee extensor muscles of rats is contraction type dependent. J Appl Physiol 2005;**99**(4):1352-8.

63. Foure A, Nordez A, Cornu C. Effects of eccentric training on mechanical properties of the plantar flexor muscle-tendon complex. J Appl Physiol 2013;**114**(5):523-37.

64. Guilhem G, Cornu C, Maffiuletti NA, et al. Neuromuscular adaptations to isoload versus isokinetic eccentric resistance training. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2013;**45**(2):326-35.

65. Baroni BM, Geremia JM, Rodrigues R, et al. Muscle architecture adaptations to knee extensor eccentric training: rectus femoris vs. vastus lateralis. Muscle Nerve 2013;**48**(4):498-506.

66. Reeves ND, Maganaris CN, Longo S, et al. Differential adaptations to eccentric versus conventional resistance training in older humans. Exp Physiol 2009;**94**(7):825-33.

67. Blazevich AJ, Giorgi A. Effect of testosterone administration and weight training on muscle architecture. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2001;**33**(10):1688-93.

68. Kawakami Y, Abe T, Kuno SY, et al. Training-induced changes in muscle architecture and specific tension. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 1995;**72**(1-2):37-43.

69. Rutherford OM, Jones DA. Measurement of fibre pennation using ultrasound in the human quadriceps in vivo. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 1992;**65**(5):433-7.

70. Blazevich AJ, Gill ND, Bronks R, et al. Training-specific muscle architecture adaptation after 5-wk training in athletes. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2003;**35**(12):2013-22.

71. Reeves ND, Narici MV, Maganaris CN. Effect of resistance training on skeletal muscle-specific force in elderly humans. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2004;**96**(3):885-92.
72. Sharifnezhad A, Marzilger R, Arampatzis A. Effects of load magnitude, muscle length and velocity during eccentric chronic loading on the longitudinal growth of the vastus lateralis muscle. J Exp Biol 2014;**217**(Pt 15):2726-33.
73. Seynnes OR, Maganaris CN, de Boer MD, et al. Early structural adaptations to unloading in the human calf muscles. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 2008;**193**(3):265-74.
74. Berg HE, Dudley GA, Haggmark T, et al. Effects of lower limb unloading on skeletal muscle mass and function in humans. J Appl Physiol 1991;**70**(4):1882-5.
75. Hather BM, Adams GR, Tesch PA, et al. Skeletal muscle responses to lower limb suspension in humans. J Appl Physiol 1992;**72**(4):1493-8.
76. de Boer MD, Maganaris CN, Seynnes OR, et al. Time course of muscular, neural and tendinous adaptations to 23 day unilateral lower-limb suspension in young men. J Physiol 2007;**583**(Pt 3):1079-91.
77. de Boer MD, Seynnes OR, di Prampero PE, et al. Effect of 5 weeks horizontal bed rest on human muscle thickness and architecture of weight bearing and non-weight bearing muscles. Eur J Appl Physiol 2008;**104**(2):401-7.
78. Williams PE, Goldspink G. Changes in sarcomere length and physiological properties in immobilized muscle. J Anat 1978;**127**(Pt 3):459-68.
79. Bodine SC, Roy RR, Meadows DA, et al. Architectural, histochemical, and contractile characteristics of a unique biarticular muscle: the cat semitendinosus. J Neurophysiol 1982;**48**(1):192-201.
80. Brockett CL, Morgan DL, Proske U. Human hamstring muscles adapt to eccentric exercise by changing optimum length. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2001;**33**(5):783-90.

81. Jones C, Allen T, Talbot J, et al. Changes in the mechanical properties of human and amphibian muscle after eccentric exercise. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 1997;**76**(1):21-31.

82. Lynn R, Morgan DL. Decline running produces more sarcomeres in rat vastus intermedius muscle fibers than does incline running. J Appl Physiol 1994;**77**(3):1439-44.

83. Lynn R, Talbot JA, Morgan DL. Differences in rat skeletal muscles after incline and decline running. J Appl Physiol 1998;**85**(1):98-104.

84. Noorkoiv M, Nosaka K, Blazevich AJ. Neuromuscular adaptations associated with knee joint angle-specific force change. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2014;**46**(8):1525-37.

85. Brughelli M, Nosaka K, Cronin J. Application of eccentric exercise on an Australian Rules football player with recurrent hamstring injuries. Phys Ther Sport 2009;**10**(2):75-80.

86. Sole G, Milosavljevic S, Nicholson HD, et al. Selective strength loss and decreased muscle activity in hamstring injury. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2011;**41**(5):354-63.

87. Fyfe JJ, Opar DA, Williams MD, et al. The role of neuromuscular inhibition in hamstring strain injury recurrence. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2013;**23**(3):523-30.

88. Kaariainen M, Jarvinen T, Jarvinen M, et al. Relation between myofibers and connective tissue during muscle injury repair. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2000;**10**(6):332-7.

89. Lehto MU, Jarvinen MJ. Muscle injuries, their healing process and treatment. Ann Chir Gynaecol 1991;**80**(2):102-8.

90. Opar DA, Williams MD, Timmins RG, et al. Knee flexor strength and bicep femoris electromyographical activity is lower in previously strained hamstrings. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2013;**23**(3):696-703.

91. Petersen J, Thorborg K, Nielsen MB, et al. Preventive effect of eccentric training on acute hamstring injuries in men's soccer: a cluster-randomized controlled trial. Am J Sports Med 2011;**39**(11):2296-303.
92. Schache A. Eccentric hamstring muscle training can prevent hamstring injuries in soccer players. J Physiother 2012;**58**(1):58.
93. Goldman EF, Jones DE. Interventions for preventing hamstring injuries: a systematic review. Physiotherapy 2011;**97**(2):91-9.
94. Heiderscheit BC, Sherry MA, Silder A, et al. Hamstring strain injuries: recommendations for diagnosis, rehabilitation, and injury prevention. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2010;**40**(2):67-81.
95. Opar DA, Piatkowski T, Williams MD, et al. A novel device using the nordic hamstring exercise to assess eccentric knee flexor strength: a reliability and retrospective injury study. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2013;**43**(9):636-40.
96. Morgan DL. New insights into the behavior of muscle during active lengthening. Biophys J 1990;**57**(2):209-21.
97. Evangelidis PE, Massey GJ, Pain MT, et al. Biceps Femoris Aponeurosis Size: A Potential Risk Factor for Strain Injury? Med Sci Sports Exerc 2015;**47**(7):1383-9.
98. Fiorentino NM, Epstein FH, Blemker SS. Activation and aponeurosis morphology affect in vivo muscle tissue strains near the myotendinous junction. J Biomech 2012;**45**(4):647-52.
99. Fiorentino NM, Blemker SS. Musculotendon variability influences tissue strains experienced by the biceps femoris long head muscle during high-speed running. J Biomech 2014;**47**(13):3325-33.

What are the new findings

- Skeletal muscle architecture can be assessed using many methods including two-dimensional ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging and cadaveric observation;
- The characteristics of muscle architecture are plastic in nature and respond to various stimuli such as resistance training interventions and immobilisation
- The extent of these architectural alterations are reliant on various factors including the muscle being targeted, the range of motion/joint position during the intervention, contraction mode of training and the velocity of the contractions;
- There is only limited evidence as to how injury may alter muscle architecture and ultimately function, and conversely the role that these characteristics may play in the aetiology of a strain injury is also unknown.
-

565

566

Figure 1 Characteristics of muscle architecture include: anatomical cross sectional area (ACSA - A), physiological cross sectional area (PCSA - B), pennation angle (Θ), superficial (C) and intermediate (D) aponeuroses and fascicle length (distance of E to F between aponeuroses).

Figure 2 A comparison of two different muscles with identical architectural characteristics, however one contains longer fascicles (uninjured) than the other (injured). Shorter muscles fascicles have been reported in previously injured biceps femoris long head [37]. Less sarcomeres in-series (shorter fascicles) will result in a slower maximal shortening velocity

Figure 3 The maximal shortening velocity of a muscle is influenced by the length of the muscle fascicle. Consider that hypothetically an uninjured muscle (i) has twice the number of in-series sarcomeres that a previously injured muscle (ii) does. At any shortening velocity, the individual sarcomeres will shorten across identical distances. However, as an uninjured muscle contains more in-series sarcomeres, the entire muscle shortens over a greater distance than one with a history of injury. As velocity is the quotient of displacement and time, if these muscles shortened over the same time epoch, an uninjured muscle will possess a greater shortening velocity