

Research Bank Journal article

Lower limb muscle size after anterior cruciate ligament injury : A systematic review and meta-analysis

Dutaillis, Benjamin, Maniar, Nirav, Opar, David A., Hickey, Jack T. and Timmins, Ryan G.

Accepted manuscript. Link to publisher's version <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-020-</u> 01419-0

Dutaillis, Benjamin, Maniar, Nirav, Opar, David A., Hickey, Jack T. and Timmins, Ryan G. (2021) Lower limb muscle size after anterior cruciate ligament injury : A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sports Medicine. 51(6), pp. 1209-1226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-020-01419-0

- 1 Title
- 2 Lower Limb Muscle Size After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.
- 3 Short title
- 4 Muscle Size in ACL injured limbs.
- 5 Authors
- 6 Benjamin Dutaillis 1, Nirav Maniar 1, David A Opar 1,2, Jack T Hickey 1, Ryan G Timmins 1,2.

7 ORCID ID's:

- 8 Benjamin Dutaillis: 0000-0002-8747-3796, Nirav Maniar: 0000-0002-6180-6003, David Opar: 0000-0002-8354-
- 9 6353, Jack Hickey: 0000-0003-2764-698X, Ryan Timmins: 0000-0003-4964-1848.

10 Affiliations

- 11 1. School of Behavioural and Health Sciences, Australian Catholic University, Fitzroy, Victoria, Australia.
- 12 2. Sports Performance, Recovery, Injury and New Technologies (SPRINT) Research Centre, Australian Catholic
- 13 University, Fitzroy, Victoria, Australia.

14 Corresponding Author

- 15 Benjamin Dutaillis
- 16 benji.dutaillis@outlook.com
- 17 +61 432 155 749
- 18 Australian Catholic University
- 19 School of Behavioural and Health Sciences
- 20 17 Young Street, Fitzroy, Victoria, Australia, 3065
- 21

22 Abstract

- 23 Background: Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury is known to have a number of deleterious effects on lower
- 24 limb muscle function. Alterations in muscle size are one such effect that has implications towards reductions in
- 25 strength and functioning of the lower limbs. However, a comprehensive analysis of alterations in muscle size has
- 26 yet to be undertaken.
- 27 *Objective*: To systematically review the evidence investigating lower limb muscle size in ACL injured limbs.
- 28 *Design*: Systematic review
- *Data sources*: Database searches of Medline, SPORTDiscus, Embase, Cinahl and Web of Science as well as
 citation tracking and manual reference list searching.
- 31 *Eligibility criteria for selecting studies*: Individuals with ACL deficient or reconstructed limbs with an assessment
- 32 of lower limb muscle size and control limb data (contralateral or uninjured control group)
- *Methods*: Risk of bias assessment was completed on included studies. Data was extracted and where possible
 meta-analyses performed. Best evidence synthesis was also undertaken.
- 35 *Results*: 49 articles were included in this review, with 37 articles included in the meta-analyses. 66 separate meta-
- 36 analyses were performed using various measures of lower limb muscle size Across all measures, ACL deficient
- 37 limbs showed lesser quadriceps femoris muscle size (d range= -0.35 to -0.40), whereas ACL reconstructed limbs
- 38 showed lesser muscle size in the quadriceps femoris (d range= -0.41 to -0.69), vastus medialis (d= -0.25), vastus
- 39 lateralis (d= -0.31, hamstrings (d= -0.28), semitendinosus (d range= -1.02 to -1.14) and gracilis (d range= -0.78
- 40 to -0.99) when compared to uninjured limbs.
- 41 *Conclusion:* This review highlights the effect ACL injury has on lower limb muscle size. Regardless of whether 42 an individual chooses a conservative or surgical approach, the quadriceps of the injured limb appear to have lesser 43 muscle size compared to an uninjured limb. When undertaking reconstructive surgery with a 44 semitendinosus/gracilis tendon graft, the harvested muscle shows lesser muscle size compared to the uninjured 45 limb.

46 Author contributions

- 47 Concept and design (BD, NM, DO and RT), running of search strategy (BD), inclusion/exclusion criteria
 48 assessment (BD and JH), quality assessment (BD and RT), data extraction (BD), data analysis and interpretation
 49 (BD, NM, DO, JH and RT), manuscript preparation (BD, NM, DO, JH and RT).
- 50 Declarations
- 51 Funding
- 52 The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial
- 53 or not-for-profit sectors.
- 54 Competing interests.

- 55 Benjamin Dutaillis, Nirav Maniar, David Opar, Jack Hickey and Ryan Timmins declare that they have no conflicts
- 56 of interest relevant to the content of this review.
- 57 Availability of data and material
- 58 Not applicable.
- 59 Code availability
- 60 Not applicable.
- 61 Ethics approval
- 62 Not applicable.
- 63 Consent to participate
- 64 Not applicable.
- 65 Consent for publication
- 66 Not applicable.

67 Key points:

- Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) deficient limbs possess lower quadriceps femoris cross sectional area
 and muscle volume than the contralateral uninjured limb;
- Limbs with a prior ACL reconstruction have evidence of reduced muscle size in the quadriceps femoris,
 vastus medialis, vastus lateralis, the hamstrings, semitendinosus and gracilis compared to the
 contralateral uninjured limb;
- In the prior ACL reconstructed limbs, the evidence of reduced muscle size in the quadriceps femoris is
 greatest within the first 30 weeks post-surgery;
- In the prior ACL reconstructed limbs with semitendinosus or semitendinosus-gracilis grafts, there is a large effect and evidence of reduced muscle size in the semitendinosus up to 348 weeks post-surgery.

77

78 1 Introduction

- 79 Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture, a debilitating injury typically treated with surgical reconstruction to
- 80 re-establish structural integrity of the knee, is characterised by a lengthy rehabilitation period [1, 2]. Rates of
- 81 ACL reconstructions are increasing in the USA, Australia, England and New Zealand [3-7], suggesting an increase
- 82 worldwide. For example, in Australia the rates of ACL reconstruction have risen in the last 15 years and are now
- the highest in the world per capita at 77.4 per 100 000 persons [7, 6]. The estimated annual cost of ACL surgery
- to the Australian economy is over \$72 million (AUD)[7], not including rehabilitation, income replacement,
- societal costs and long-term disability associated with the injury. Recent work has shown only 55-83% of people
- returning to pre-injury levels of sport [1, 8] and 23% of patients passing return to play criteria [9]. While it is
- unknown whether the primary injury, surgery, or a combination of both are responsible, an estimated 50% of ACL
 reconstructed individuals present with radiographic evidence of knee joint osteoarthritis within 10 years following
- 89 surgery [10, 11].
- The long-term negative impacts of ACL injury and reconstructive surgery on knee function are multifactorial. Prior work has identified persistent deficits in objective markers of lower limb muscle function following rehabilitation, including reduced muscle strength [12, 13], muscle activation [14-17], muscle fibre force production [18] and muscle cross sectional area (CSA) [19-21]. Reductions in quadriceps muscle volume is commonly noted in both ACL deficient [22, 23] and reconstructed [24-26] individuals. Additionally, reduced semitendinosus and gracilis volume [19, 27] is seen following harvesting of the respective tendons for reconstructive surgery. The reductions in lower limb muscle size seen after ACL injury and surgery may be linked
- by to the decreased levels of strength in ACL injured limbs [28, 29, 19].
- 98 Reductions in quadriceps strength is one factor that leads to alterations in biomechanics and consequently knee 99 joint loading commonly seen following ACL injury. These factors may subsequently influence the development 100 of knee joint osteoarthritis [30-33] and risk of secondary injury [34, 17]. Recent work has also highlighted the 101 influence of other knee and non-knee spanning muscles in contributing to knee joint loading [35-37]. Alterations 102 in the size and strength of other lower limb muscles therefore may contribute to the development of knee joint 103 osteoarthritis and secondary ACL rupture following ACL injury. Combined, these maladaptation's suggest that 104 adequate and timely recovery of lower limb muscle size is imperative in restoring health, function and 105 performance in ACL injured individuals.
- 106 While a number of systematic reviews have investigated reductions in muscle strength [12, 38], only one has 107 investigated changes in muscle size following ACL injury [39], which focused solely on the quadriceps, without 108 a meta-analysis. Therefore, we aimed to review and meta-analyse the evidence base related to changes in the size 109 of all lower limb muscles in ACL injured populations to better understand the effect of injury and surgery on these
- 110 individuals, and guide clinical prognoses.

111 2 Method

112 2.1 Study Design

113 This review was registered on PROSPERO (ID: CRD42019129262) to reduce the risk of reporting bias and114 minimise research wastage.

- 115 This review is compliant with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis
- 116 (PRISMA) guidelines [40]. A comprehensive systematic literature search of Medline, SPORTDiscus, Embase,
- 117 Cinahl and Web of Science was conducted. The search terms (Table 1) were chosen in order to identify original
- 118 research articles that fit the aims of the review. Where possible relevant MeSH and subject headings were included
- in the search strategies. The search captured all studies from inception to 17/03/2020 with retrieved references
- 120 being imported into EndNote X8 (Thomson Reuters, New York City, NY, USA) and all subsequent screening
- 121 followed the PRISMA guidelines [40].

122 2.2 Study Selection

- A pre-determined set of inclusion and exclusion criteria were used to screen retrieved articles (Table 2). The lead
 author (BD) screened the titles and abstracts for relevance. Articles deemed appropriate underwent full text review
- 125 which was conducted by two authors (BD and JH) for inclusion in the review. Any disputes were discussed and
- resolved through consultation with a third author (RT).

127 2.3 Study Quality Assessment

Methodological quality assessment of included articles was performed using a modified version of the Downs and Black Checklist [41] by two authors (BD and RT). The original checklist contains 27 items, however, a number of these are only relevant to intervention studies and as this review included mainly retrospective studies, items 4, 8, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 22, 23 and 24 were deemed inappropriate to assess study quality and were therefore removed. An additional item (29) was added to assess whether rehabilitation among participants was controlled for and reported in each study [42] (see Electronic Supplementary Material Table S1).

134 2.4 Data Extraction and Analysis

- Data extraction included the population (ACL deficient or reconstructed), sample size, control comparisons
 (whether the uninjured contralateral limb and/or a healthy control group), time since injury or surgery, graft type
 used in reconstruction, imaging method used (magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography or ultrasound),
 size measurement (e.g muscle CSA, volume or thickness) and site of measurement (if relevant). For all extracted
- data, group mean and standard deviations (SD) for all reported muscle(s) and muscle groups were collated. Where
- articles reported standard error (SE), SD was calculated using; SD=SE $\times \sqrt{N}$ due to the statistical analysis applied
- 141 (SD = standard deviation, SE = standard error, N = sample size).
- For articles that reported measures at multiple time points, data from each point was extracted to allow for subgroup and regression analysis. However, articles that reported pre-surgical measures were not included in the ACL deficient analysis [43, 25, 20, 44-46], as clear time points were not given as to when the pre-surgical measure was taken, and thus may have contaminated results. Where data were not available or reported as median rather than mean, corresponding authors were contacted for data in mean and standard deviation.
- Where sufficient data were available, meta-analyses was conducted using the 'metafor' [47] and 'meta' [48]
 packages in R (R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna,
- packages in R (R Development core reall. R. P language and environment for statistical computing. vienna,
- 149 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2019. Due to the differing methods and techniques used to
- calculate the obtained measures of muscle size, standardised mean differences (Cohen's d) and 95% confidence
- 151 intervals were used to facilitate comparisons of studies. A random-effects model with a restricted maximum

- 152 likelihood (REML) method was used to estimate the overall effect within each measure and subgroup, with the p
- value set at 0.05. The size of the effect was interpreted as either small (d = 0.20 to 0.49), moderate (d = 0.50 to
- 154 0.79) and large ($d \ge 0.8$)[49]. Where a statistically significant overall effect size was seen within a measure, pooled

time-point subgroups and meta-regressions were used to estimate the effect of time since surgery within the

reconstructed limbs. Where the meta-regression relationship was logarithmic rather than linear, analysis was run

157 with log transformed 'time since surgery' data. Due to the lack of reporting in articles investigating ACL deficient

158 limbs, similar subgroup analyses and meta-regressions were not able to be run in this population.

In some cases, multiple groups from the same article may appear within a single meta-analysis. This was due to the reporting style and data in these articles being different populations (e.g. male and female, experiment and control). The decision was made to preserve the original mean and SD values and included them in the metaanalysis as separate cohorts. Where this occurs, article names in the forest plots are followed by brackets indicating the subgroup from the article.

- Where meta-analysis was unable to be run due the inability to obtain data from the corresponding author, a bestevidence synthesis was employed [50]. The level of evidence was ranked according to the following criteria;
- Strong: two or more studies of a high quality and generally consistent findings (≥75% of studies showing consistent results).
- Moderate: one high-quality study and/or two or more low quality studies and generally consistent
 findings (≥75% of studies showing consistent results).
- Limited: one low-quality study.
- Conflicting: inconsistent findings (<75% of studies showing consistent results).
- None: no supportive findings in the literature.

173 3 Results

174 3.1 Search Results

- 175 The initial search yielded 11635 articles (Cinahl = 1187, Embase = 2693, Medline = 3129, SPORTDiscus = 1079
- and Web of Science = 3547). After duplicate removal, title/abstract screening and full text review, a total of 49
 articles were deemed eligible for inclusion in this review (Figure 1).

178 3.2 Study Quality Assessment

Electronic Supplementary Material Table S2 shows the results of the quality assessment. Study quality rangedfrom 7 to 20 out of 20, with 27 articles (55%) deemed high quality.

181 3.3 Meta-analysis

- 182 Of the 49 articles included in this review, 37 [51-57, 43, 25, 58-63, 19, 26, 20, 64-66, 22, 67, 68, 27, 69, 44, 21,
- 183 70, 45, 71, 72, 29, 46, 23, 73, 74] were included in the meta-analyses. The data has been grouped in to five main184 comparisons:
- 185 1. Muscle CSA of ACL deficient limbs compared to the contralateral uninjured limb (Table 3);
- 186 2. Muscle volume of ACL deficient limbs compared to the contralateral uninjured limb (Table 4);

- 187 3. Muscle CSA of ACL reconstructed limbs compared to the contralateral uninjured limb (Table 5);
- 188 4. Muscle volume of ACL reconstructed limbs compared to the contralateral uninjured limb (Table 6) and
- 189 5. Muscle volume of ACL reconstructed limbs compared to a healthy control group (Electronic190 Supplementary Material Table S3).
- 191 Overall, there were 66 meta-analyses run, with 11 showing statistically significant effects. These 11 indicated
- 192 reduced muscle size in specific muscles and muscle groups within the ACL deficient or reconstructed limbs when
- 193 compared to the contralateral uninjured limbs. No statistically significant effects were found when comparing the
- 194 ACL reconstructed limb to a healthy control group.

195 3.4 ACL Deficient Populations

- 196 A summary of the results of the meta-analyses comparing the muscle CSA and volume of ACL deficient limbs to
- the contralateral uninjured limbs are found in tables 3 and 4, respectively. Of these results, 12 measures of muscle
- 198 CSA and 11 measures of muscle volume were analysed, with 2 showing statistically significant effect sizes.

199 3.4.1 Quadriceps Femoris

200 When comparing the ACL deficient limbs to the contralateral uninjured limbs, there was a moderate effect for 201 both quadriceps femoris muscle CSA (d = -0.35; 95% CI -0.59 to -0.11; I2 0%, Figure 2a) and volume (d = -0.40; 202 95% CI -0.78 to -0.02; I2 18%, Figure 2b) indicating reduced muscle size in deficient limb. All other muscles and

203 groups showed no statistically significant effects (Table 3 and 4).

204 **3.5 ACL Reconstructed Populations**

A summary of the results of the meta-analyses comparing muscle CSA and volume of the ACL reconstructed limbs to the contralateral uninjured limbs can be found in tables 5 and 6, respectively. Of those included, 16 measures of muscle CSA and 12 measures of muscle volume were analysed, with 9 showing statistically significant effect sizes.

209 3.5.1 Quadriceps Femoris

210 There was a moderate effect for both quadriceps femoris CSA and volume, indicating reduced muscle size in the 211 reconstructed limbs when compared to the contralateral uninjured limb (Figure 3). For quadriceps femoris CSA 212 data, time subgrouping analysis showed large effects between limbs at 6 to 9 weeks and 26 to 30 weeks, whereas 213 moderate effects were seen between limbs at both 52 to 86 weeks and 156 to 289 weeks (Figure 3a). Studies 214 included in the quadriceps femoris CSA meta-analysis had participants with a mix of harvest sites used for ACL 215 reconstruction (patella tendon, iliotibial band and semitendinosus-gracilis tendon). For quadriceps femoris 216 volume data, time subgrouping showed a large effect between limbs at 4 to 12 weeks (Figure 3b). Studies included 217 in the quadriceps femoris volume meta-analyses had participants with a mix of harvest sites used for ACL 218 reconstruction (patella tendon, semitendinosus tendon and semitendinosus-gracilis tendon). Meta-regression 219 analysis found no significant effect for time since surgery on quadriceps femoris CSA, however, a significant 220 positive effect was seen for volume in the ACL reconstructed limbs indicating differences between limbs 221 decreased over time. (Electronic Supplementary Material Figure S1; intercept -1.245, p = 0.0002; coefficient 222 0.517, p = 0.008).

223 3.5.2 Vastus Medialis

- A small effect was found for vastus medialis volume, indicating reduced muscle size in the reconstructed limb
- when compared to the contralateral uninjured limb (Figure 4a). Time subgrouping showed a moderate effect
- between limbs at 45 to 86 weeks (Figure 4a). Studies included in the meta-analyses had participants with a mix
- 227 of harvest sites used for ACL reconstruction (patella tendon, semitendinosus tendon and semitendinosus-gracilis
- tendon). Meta-regression analysis showed no significant effect for time since surgery on vastus medialis volume
- in the ACL reconstructed limb (Electronic Supplementary Material Table S4).

230 3.5.3 Vastus Lateralis

- 231 Similar to the vastus medialis, a small effect was found for vastus lateralis volume, indicating reduced muscle size
- 232 in the reconstructed limb when compared to the contralateral uninjured limb (Figure 4b). Time subgrouping
- showed no effects between limbs at any subgroup (Figure 4b). Studies included in the meta-analyses had
- participants with a mix of harvest sites used for ACL reconstruction (patella tendon, semitendinosus tendon and
- semitendinosus-gracilis tendon). Meta-regression analysis showed no significant effect for time since surgery on
- vastus lateralis volume in the ACL reconstructed limb (Electronic Supplementary Material Table S4).

237 3.5.4 Hamstrings

A small effect was found for total hamstring muscle volume indicating reduced muscle size in the reconstructed limb when compared to the contralateral uninjured limb (Figure 5). Time subgrouping analysis showed no significant effects between limbs for any time subgroup (Figure 5). Studies included in the hamstring metaanalysis had participants with either semitendinosus or semitendinosus-gracilis harvested for ACL reconstruction. Meta-regression analysis also showed no significant effect for time since surgery on total hamstring muscle volume in the ACL reconstructed limbs (Electronic Supplementary Material Table S4).

244 3.5.5 Semitendinosus

245 A large effect was found for semitendinosus muscle CSA and volume, indicating reduced muscle size in the 246 reconstructed limb when compared to the contralateral uninjured limb (Figure 6). For semitendinosus CSA, time 247 subgrouping analysis showed a large effect at both 52 to 53 weeks and 156 to 348 weeks post-surgery, whilst a 248 moderate effect between limbs was seen at 26 to 30 weeks (Figure 6a). For semitendinosus muscle volume, time 249 subgrouping showed a large effect between limbs at 26 weeks, 100 to 156 and 212 to 348 weeks post-surgery 250 (Figure 6b). All studies included in the meta-analyses for semitendinosus CSA and volume had participants with 251 semitendinosus tendon harvested for ACL reconstruction. Meta-regression analysis showed no effect for time 252 since surgery on semitendinosus muscle CSA or volume in the ACL reconstructed limbs (Electronic 253 Supplementary Material Table S4).

254 3.5.6 Gracilis

- 255 Large and moderate effects for gracilis muscle CSA and volume were found, indicating reduced muscle size in
- the reconstructed limb when compared to the contralateral uninjured limb (Figure 7). For gracilis CSA data, time
- subgrouping showed large effects between limbs at 52 to 53 weeks and 104 to 348 weeks post-surgery (Figure
- 258 7a). For gracilis muscle volume data, time subgrouping analysis showed a moderate effect at 156 to 348 weeks

- 259 post-surgery (Figure 7b). All studies included in the meta-analysis for gracilis CSA and volume had participants
- 260 with gracilis tendon harvested for ACL reconstruction. Meta-regression analysis showed no effect for time since
- surgery on gracilis CSA or volume in the ACL reconstructed limbs (Electronic Supplementary Material Table
- 262 S4).

263 **3.6 Best Evidence Synthesis**

- 264 Of the 49 articles included in this review, 12 were not in the above meta-analyses for the following reasons:
- Data not able to be obtained (n=8) [24, 75-81]
- Unique measures of ultrasound muscle size that were not grouped for meta-analysis (n=4) [82-85].
- For these articles a best evidence synthesis (BES) was undertaken (Table 7). Due to the low number of studies,all of the muscle size measures were combined to obtain a single outcome for each muscle and group.

269 3.6.1 Quadriceps – ACL Reconstructed Limb Compared to Contralateral Uninjured Limb

270 When comparing the ACL reconstructed limbs to the contralateral uninjured limbs there was strong evidence to

suggest reduced muscle size in the reconstructed limbs quadriceps femoris, vastus lateralis and rectus femoris

272 (Table 7). There was also moderate evidence to suggest reduced muscle size in the vastus medialis and vastus

intermedius. Similar to the meta-analyses for these muscles, studies included participants with mixed graft types

274 (patella tendon, quadriceps tendon, iliotibial tract, semitendinosus tendon and semitendinosus-gracilis tendon).

275 3.6.2 Knee Flexors – ACL Reconstructed Limb Compared to Contralateral Uninjured Limb

276 There was moderate and strong evidence to suggest reduced muscle size in the reconstructed limbs semitendinosus

and gracilis muscles of the ACL reconstructed limbs, respectively, when compared to the contralateral uninjured

278 limbs. There was also limited and strong evidence to suggest no change in semimembranosus and biceps femoris

279 ultrasound derived muscle size, respectively (Table 7). Similar to the meta-analysis on these measures, studies

280 included or provided subgroup results for participants with the semitendinosus and/or gracilis tendon harvested

for reconstruction.

282 3.6.3 Gluteus maximus – ACL Reconstructed Limb Compared to Contralateral Uninjured Limb

When comparing the ACL reconstructed limbs to the contralateral uninjured limbs there was moderate evidence to suggest reduced muscle size in the gluteus maximus of the reconstructed limb (Table 7). The single study that presented this data included participants with mixed graft types (patella tendon and semitendinosus-gracilis tendon).

287 3.6.4 Hamstrings - ACL Reconstructed Limb Compared to Healthy Control Group

When comparing the ACL reconstructed limb to a healthy control group, there was strong evidence to suggest an increase in semitendinosus size in the reconstructed limb (Table 7), however, studies included participants with mixed graft types (patella tendon and semitendinosus tendon). There was also moderate evidence to suggest reduced muscle size in the biceps femoris of the reconstructed limb from a single study that included participants with patella tendon grafts. Finally, there is moderate evidence to suggest there is no difference in

- semimembranosus size between the groups with the one study using this measure including participants with
- 294 patella tendon grafts.
- 295 4 Discussion

296 4.1 Statement of Main Findings

297 The main findings of this systematic review and meta-analyses are:

1) ACL deficient limbs (without subsequent surgical repair) have lower quadriceps femoris CSA and volume thanthe contralateral uninjured limb.

2) Regardless of graft site, ACL reconstructed limbs have lower quadriceps femoris CSA and deficits inquadriceps femoris, vastus medialis and vastus lateralis volume compared to the contralateral uninjured limb.

- 302 3) ACL reconstructed limbs have lower semitendinosus and gracilis CSA and muscle volume as well as lower
- 303 total hamstring muscle volume compared to the contralateral uninjured limbs, when the respective tendons are 304 harvested for reconstruction.

305 4.2 ACL Deficient Limbs

306 Suffering an ACL rupture causes knee joint instability, which is commonly treated with a surgical reconstruction. 307 However, there is evidence to suggest approximately 25% of ACL injured individuals have successful two year 308 outcomes and comparable knee function to non-injured individuals, without reconstructive surgery [86]. 309 Conservative management of ACL injury carries some benefits over a surgical approach, namely avoiding the 310 trauma and extensive healing time-frame associated with reconstructive surgery, as well as graft site morbidity. 311 However, the results from the current meta-analysis highlight the atrophic effects the initial injury has on selected 312 lower limb muscles. In ACL deficient limbs, quadriceps femoris muscle size appears significantly impacted from 313 injury, showing reductions in both CSA and volume compared to the contralateral uninjured limb. It remains 314 unclear if this reduction in quadriceps femoris size influences an individual's ability to successfully undergo 315 conservative management.

316 4.3 ACL Reconstructed Populations

317 Similar to the ACL deficient limbs, reconstructed limbs showed significantly reduced quadriceps femoris muscle 318 size. This is in agreement with the results from a recent meta-analysis that investigated changes in quadriceps 319 CSA and volume following ACL reconstruction [39]. It is beyond the scope of this meta-analysis to assess any 320 differences between graft types, but it appears all grafts (semitendinosus/gracilis and patella tendon) result in 321 significantly reduced quadriceps size. This raises an important question of whether graft choice impacts any 322 reduction in quadriceps size following ACL reconstruction. The meta-regression results suggested that quadriceps 323 femoris CSA did not change as a function of time, but volume did appear to improve showing a reduction in effect 324 size between limbs. This finding combined with the results from post-operative subgrouping in CSA and volume 325 suggests that this reduction in muscle size may be most pronounced during early recovery, slowly returning to 326 near that of the uninjured limb over the first two years following surgery.

- 327 Further breakdown of the individual muscles within the quadriceps group show that reduced muscle size is most 328 likely concentrated in the vasti muscles, in particular vastus medialis and vastus lateralis which showed 329 significantly reduced volume compared to the uninjured limb. Rectus femoris CSA and volume data showed no 330 significant differences within the meta-analyses. However, the best evidence synthesis suggested strong evidence 331 towards reduced rectus femoris muscle size in the ACL reconstructed limb when compared to the uninjured limb. 332 These findings suggest that a percentage of ACL reconstructed individuals present with reduced rectus femoris 333 size, though, it may not present as commonly as reductions in the vasti muscles. The reason for this remains 334 unclear, however, it may be in part due to the differing action of the rectus femoris. Unlike the vasti muscles, the 335 rectus femoris is a hip flexor, potentially exposing it to a unique stimulus (relative to the vasti) in the post-operative
- period and thus protecting it against atrophy.
- In the ACL reconstructed limbs there was evidence of reduced total hamstring muscle volume when compared to the contralateral uninjured limb. This seems to be solely attributed to a large reduction in the semitendinosus muscle size, when its tendon is harvested for reconstruction. Similar to the quadriceps femoris CSA findings, semitendinosus meta-regression analysis showed no significant impact of time since surgery on semitendinosus CSA and volume. However, subgrouping for time suggests that reductions in semitendinosus muscle size occurs within the first few months following surgery but, unlike the quadriceps femoris findings, does not recover, with the latest available data (348 weeks post-surgery) showing large deficits in the reconstructed limb [66, 27].
- Similarly, the gracilis of the ACL reconstructed limbs have significantly reduced CSA and volume when compared to the contralateral uninjured limb when the gracilis tendon is harvested for reconstruction. This is also strongly supported within the best evidence synthesis. Whilst the meta-regression-analyses showed no significant impact of time since surgery on gracilis CSA and volume, when subgrouping for time, the findings suggest that that a reduction in muscle size is apparent within the first few months following surgery and does not recover up to 348 weeks later.

350 4.4 Clinical Implications

351 Reductions in quadriceps muscle size is potentially impacted through two key mechanisms: 1) disuse atrophy 352 associated with the period of unloading post-injury and surgery [87, 88]; 2) Neuromuscular inhibition, which 353 lowers neural drive and fibre recruitment, leading to a lessened stimulus for adaptation, which is shown to 354 significantly impact the quadriceps post-injury and surgery [28, 26, 23]. The quadriceps contribute the majority 355 of force to knee joint compressive loading [35], as well supporting, braking and redirecting the centre of mass 356 during sports specific movements such as side step cutting [89]. Quadriceps dysfunction therefore may impact the 357 development of both knee joint osteoarthritis, and potential for secondary ACL injury. Addressing the atrophy of 358 the quadriceps muscle group in ACL deficient and reconstructed limbs, through exercise based interventions 359 should be of high importance and started as early as possible. However, care needs to be taken when aiming to 360 restore quadriceps muscle size following reconstruction as time is needed for biological healing post-surgery, as 361 well as allowing for the incorporation of grafted tissue into the ACL. Exposure to eccentrically biased [75] and 362 blood flow restricted resistance training [90, 91] have been shown to be safe and effective approaches to improve 363 muscle size in the early post-operative period. Subsequently both of these approaches represent an opportunity to

- address the potential quadriceps atrophy in the early period post-surgery and prepare the individual for the higher
- resistance training loads in the later parts of the program which will also promote optimum muscle mass gains.
- 366 Restoration of semitendinosus and gracilis size following tendon harvest for ACL reconstruction may be limited, 367 as the structural integrity of the muscle-tendon unit is severely disrupted due to the surgical intervention. This is 368 supported by our results with the longest period post-surgery that was available (348 weeks) still showing 369 significant deficits in the ACL reconstructed limb. The choice of graft remains a key consideration for surgeons 370 to factor in when initially choosing a harvest site for reconstruction, as it is possible that the reduction in size and 371 therefore the force producing capacity of the semitendinosus and gracilis may be permanent. Although work 372 appears to be underway looking to address these deficits [92], to date, the authors are not aware of any published 373 exercise interventions showing a restoration of semitendinosus and gracilis muscle size following tendon harvest 374 for ACL reconstruction. Future research should continue to investigate if exercise based interventions in these 375 muscles might be a useful approach to offset the extent of what seems inevitable atrophy.

376 4.5 Quality Assessment

377 Overall, only 55% of articles included in this review were deemed to be of a high quality. There were two areas 378 of poorer performance in the quality assessment that may have the potential to significantly impact the findings 379 of included articles. These were: 1) there was a lack of control in post-operative rehabilitation, with only 55% of 380 all articles included in this review controlling for rehabilitation and 2) there were low percentages of external 381 validity, with only 22% reporting the source of the population and how they were selected, and only 8% reporting 382 the proportion of participants asked who agreed to participate. The low percentage scoring in these areas suggests 383 that a number of articles included in this review may be unintentionally biasing their results by not controlling for 384 these factors.

385 4.6 Limitations

One of the main limitations of this systematic review was an inability to split any meta-analyses based on the graft 386 387 type of included participants. Advantageously, the studies included in the meta-analyses undertaken on hamstring 388 volume, as well as semitendinosus and gracilis volume and CSA, were made up of studies which only included 389 participants with semitendinosus/gracilis tendon harvests. The lack of data within the quadriceps specific studies 390 limited the ability to split by graft types, without large reductions in the power of the meta-analyses. Furthermore, 391 some studies included mixed cohorts with multiple graft types. The lack of data within some meta-analyses was 392 a further limitation, therefore certain results may have suffered from sparse data bias. Additionally, visual 393 inspection of funnels plots for meta-analysis with over ten included articles showed evidence of potential 394 publication bias (Electronic Supplementary Material Figure S2). However, we reported all analysis to provide 395 transparency of our methods and limit biased reporting. Another limitation was the time-point subgrouping of 396 data from individual studies. Grouping was done via the mean 'time since surgery' values reported, however, 397 studies often included populations from a larger range (e.g. six to twelve months). Additionally, the vast majority 398 of comparisons made were between the injured limb and the contralateral uninjured limb. As such, the true 399 reduction in size of muscles may be masked, as changes in strength, activation and functional performance are 400 known to occur bilaterally in ACL injured individuals [38, 93] and the same may be true of CSA and volume.

- 401 There was also a strong focus from the majority of articles towards quadriceps, hamstrings and gracilis size. No
- 402 included articles investigating ACL deficient populations included measures of muscle size from the lower leg or
- 403 proximal hip. Only two articles investigating ACL reconstructed individuals included measures of gastrocnemius
- size, and only one article included measures of gluteus maximus size. Emerging research has highlighted the
- 405 importance of the muscles of the shank and hip in opposing anterior shear and valgus knee forces [36, 37, 89] as
- 406 well as contributing to knee joint compressive loading [35]. If these muscles groups are shown to be reduced in
- 407 size following ACL injury, this may pose serious implications towards both the development of knee joint
- 408 osteoarthritis and subsequent injury. Future work should look to investigate the effect of ACL injury and surgery
- 409 on these muscles, specifically the gluteals, gastrocnemius and soleus.

410 5 Conclusion

411 This review highlights the overall differences in lower limb muscle size when comparing the ACL injured limb 412 to the uninjured contralateral limb, in ACL reconstructed and deficient populations. Regardless of whether an 413 individual chooses a conservative or surgical rehabilitation approach, the quadriceps femoris of the injured limb 414 appear to show significantly reduced muscle size in the short-term, with the potential to recover to levels matching 415 their contralateral limb in the long-term. However, if an individual undergoes reconstructive surgery with a 416 semitendinosus and/or gracilis tendon graft, the harvested muscle display long term deficits in muscle size that 417 may not be fully reversible. These findings suggest the need to focus the hypertrophic plans of rehabilitation 418 around regaining quadriceps femoris muscle size, whilst considering the potential for possible permanent 419 reductions in semitendinosus and gracilis muscle size if these tendons are harvested for reconstruction.

420 Acknowledgments

- 421 We would like to thank Jessica Dickson, Senior Librarian at Australian Catholic University, Melbourne, Australia,
- 422 for help preparing the literature search strategy.

423 Table and Figure Captions

- 424 Table 1: Key search terms. Boolean term OR was used within categories, AND was used between categories.
- 425 Table 2: Inclusion and exclusion criteria applied to retrieved articles.
- Table 3: Meta-analysis results for muscle cross sectional area of the ACL deficient limb compared to thecontralateral uninjured limb.
- Table 4: Meta-analysis results for muscle volume of the ACL deficient limb compared to the contralateraluninjured limb.
- Table 5: Meta-analysis results for muscle cross sectional area of the ACL reconstructed limb compared to thecontralateral uninjured limb.
- Table 6: Meta-analysis results for muscle volume of the ACL reconstructed limb compared to the contralateraluninjured limb.
- 434 Table 7: Results of the best evidence synthesis for ACL reconstructed populations.

- 435
- Figure 1: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) flowchart outliningstudy selection process.
- 438 Figure 2: Results of the meta-analysis for a) quadriceps femoris muscle cross sectional area, and b) quadriceps
- femoris muscle volume, in the ACL deficient limb compared to the contralateral uninjured limb. Negative effect
- 440 size indicates the ACL injured limb measure is less than the contralateral uninjured limb.
- 441 Figure 3: Results of the meta-analysis for a) quadriceps femoris cross sectional area, and b) quadriceps femoris
- 442 muscle volume, in the ACL reconstructed limb compared to the contralateral uninjured limb. Negative effect size
- indicates the ACL injured limb measure is less than the contralateral uninjured limb.
- 444 Figure 4: Results of the meta-analysis for a) vastus medialis, and b) vastus lateralis muscle volume, in the ACL
- 445 reconstructed limb compared to the contralateral uninjured limb. Negative effect size indicates the ACL injured
- limb measure is less than the contralateral uninjured limb.
- 447 Figure 5: Results of the meta-analysis for total hamstring muscle volume, in the ACL reconstructed limb compared
- to the contralateral uninjured limb. Negative effect size indicates the ACL injured limb measure is less than the
- 449 contralateral uninjured limb.
- 450 Figure 6: Results of the meta-analysis for, a) semitendinosus muscle cross sectional area, and b) semitendinosus
- 451 muscle volume, in the ACL reconstructed limb compared to the contralateral uninjured limb. Negative effect size
- 452 indicates the ACL injured limb measure is less than the contralateral uninjured limb.
- Figure 7: Results of the meta-analysis for, a) gracilis muscle cross sectional area, and b) gracilis muscle volume in the ACL reconstructed limb compared to the contralateral uninjured limb. Negative effect size indicates the
- 455 ACL injured limb measure is less than the contralateral uninjured limb.
- 456

457 Supplementary Content Captions

- Electronic Supplementary Material Table S1: Modified quality assessment tool derived from Downs and Black[41].
- 460 Electronic Supplementary Material Table S2: Quality assessment scores of included studies.
- 461 Electronic Supplementary Material Table S3: Meta-analysis results for muscle volume of the ACL reconstructed
- limb compared to a healthy control group.
- 463 Electronic Supplementary Material Table S4: Meta-regression results comparing between the ACL reconstructed
- 464 limb and the contralateral uninjured limb.
- 465 Electronic Supplementary Material Figure S1: Meta-regression plot comparing between the ACL reconstructed
- limb and the contralateral uninjured limb for quadriceps femoris muscle volume.

- 467 Electronic Supplementary Material Figure S2: Funnel plots assessing publication bias for meta-analyses with
- 468 >10 included studies. a) Quadriceps femoris cross sectional area, and b) semitendinosus cross sectional area. Trim-
- 469 fill (metafor) was used to estimate missing studies, however, both plots returned 0 inputted studies.

470

- 471 References
- 472 1. Ardern CL, Taylor NF, Feller JA, Webster KE. Fifty-five per cent return to competitive sport following anterior
- cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis including aspects of
 physical functioning and contextual factors. Br J Sports Med. 2014;48(21):1543. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2013093398.
- 2. Welling W, Benjaminse A, Seil R, Lemmink K, Zaffagnini S, Gokeler A. Low rates of patients meeting return
 to sport criteria 9 months after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a prospective longitudinal study. Knee
 Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2018:1-9. doi:10.1007/s00167-018-4916-4.
- 3. Sanders TL, Maradit Kremers H, Bryan AJ, Larson DR, Dahm DL, Levy BA, et al. Incidence of anterior
 cruciate ligament tears and reconstruction: a 21-year population-based study. Am J Sports Med. 2016;44(6):15027. doi:10.1177/0363546516629944.
- 482 4. Sutherland K, Clatworthy M, Fulcher M, Chang K, Young SW. Marked increase in the incidence of anterior
 483 cruciate ligament reconstructions in young females in New Zealand. ANZ J Surg. 2019;89(9):1151-5.
 484 doi:10.1111/ans.15404.
- 5. Abram SGF, Price AJ, Judge A, Beard DJ. Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction and meniscal repair
 rates have both increased in the past 20 years in England: Hospital statistics from 1997 to 2017. Br J Sports Med.
 2020;54(5):286-91. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2018-100195.
- 488 6. Zbrojkiewicz D, Vertullo C, Grayson JE. Increasing rates of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in young
 489 Australians, 2000-2015. Med J Aust. 2018;208(8):354-8. doi:10.5694/mja17.00974.
- 490 7. Janssen KW, Orchard JW, Driscoll TR, van Mechelen W. High incidence and costs for anterior cruciate
 491 ligament reconstructions performed in Australia from 2003-2004 to 2007-2008: time for an anterior cruciate
 492 ligament register by Scandinavian model? Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2012;22(4):495-501. doi:10.1111/j.1600493 0838.2010.01253.x.
- 494 8. Webster KE, Feller JA, Kimp AJ, Whitehead TS. Low Rates of Return to Preinjury Sport After Bilateral
 495 Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. Am J Sports Med. 2018:0363546518813901.
 496 doi:10.1177/0363546518813901.
- 497 9. Webster KE, Hewett TE. What is the evidence for and validity of return-to-sport testing after anterior cruciate
 498 ligament reconstruction surgery? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sports Med. 2019;49(6):917-29.
 499 doi:10.1007/s40279-019-01093-x.
- 500 10. Culvenor AG, Cook JL, Collins NJ, Crossley KM. Is patellofemoral joint osteoarthritis an under-recognised
 501 outcome of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction? A narrative literature review. Br J Sports Med.
 502 2013;47(2):66-70. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2012-091490.
- 11. Lohmander LS, Englund PM, Dahl LL, Roos EM. The long-term consequence of anterior cruciate ligament
 and meniscus injuries: osteoarthritis. Am J Sports Med. 2007;35(10):1756-69. doi:10.1177/0363546507307396.

- 505 12. Kim HJ, Lee JH, Ahn SE, Park MJ, Lee DH. Influence of anterior cruciate ligament tear on thigh muscle
 506 strength and hamstring-to-quadriceps ratio: A meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2016;11(1).
 507 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146234.
- 508 13. Larsen JB, Farup J, Lind M, Dalgas U. Muscle strength and functional performance is markedly impaired at
- 509 the recommended time point for sport return after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in recreational athletes.
- 510 Hum Mov Sci. 2015;39:73-87. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2014.10.008.
- 511 14. Kuenze CM, Hertel J, Weltman A, Diduch D, Saliba SA, Hart JM. Persistent neuromuscular and corticomotor
 512 quadriceps asymmetry after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Athl Train. 2015;50(3):303-12.
 513 doi:10.4085/1062-6050-49.5.06.
- 514 15. Lepley AS, Gribble PA, Thomas AC, Tevald MA, Sohn DH, Pietrosimone BG. Quadriceps neural alterations
 515 in anterior cruciate ligament reconstructed patients: a 6-month longitudinal investigation. Scand J Med Sci Sports.
 516 2015;25(6):828-39. doi:10.1111/sms.12435.
- 517 16. Lepley LK, Palmieri-Smith RM. Pre-operative quadriceps activation is related to post-operative activation,
 518 not strength, in patients post-ACL reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2016;24(1):236-46.
 519 doi:10.1007/s00167-014-3371-0.
- 520 17. Ward SH, Blackburn JT, Padua DA, Stanley LE, Harkey MS, Luc-Harkey BA, et al. Quadriceps
 521 neuromuscular function and jump-landing sagittal-plane knee biomechanics after anterior cruciate ligament
 522 reconstruction. J Athl Train. 2018;53(2):135-43. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-306-16.
- 523 18. Gumucio JP, Sugg KB, Enselman ERS, Konja AC, Eckhardt LR, Bedi A, et al. Anterior cruciate ligament tear
 524 induces a sustained loss of muscle fiber force production. Muscle Nerve. 2018;58(1):145-8.
 525 doi:10.1002/mus.26075.
- 19. Konrath JM, Vertullo CJ, Kennedy BA, Bush HS, Barrett RS, Lloyd DG. Morphologic characteristics and
 strength of the hamstring muscles remain altered at 2 years after use of a hamstring tendon graft in anterior cruciate
 ligament reconstruction. Am J Sports Med. 2016;44(10):2589-98.
- 20. Lindström M, Str, berg S, Wredmark T, Felländer-Tsai L, Henriksson M. Functional and muscle morphometric
 effects of ACL reconstruction. A prospective CT study with 1 year follow-up. Scand J Med Sci Sports.
 2013;23(4):431-42.
- 532 21. Nomura Y, Kuramochi R, Fukubayashi T. Evaluation of hamstring muscle strength and morphology after
 533 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2015;25(3):301-7.
- 534 22. Macleod TD, Snyder-Mackler L, Buchanan TS. Differences in neuromuscular control and quadriceps
 535 morphology between potential copers and noncopers following anterior cruciate ligament injury. J Orthop Sports
 536 Phys Ther. 2014;44(2):76-84.
- 537 23. Williams GN, Buchanan TS, Barrance PJ, Axe MJ, Snyder-Mackler L. Quadriceps weakness, atrophy, and
 538 activation failure in predicted noncopers after anterior cruciate ligament injury. Am J Sports Med. 2005;33(3):402539 7.

- 540 24. Friedmann-Bette B, Profit F, Gwechenberger T, Weiberg N, Parstorfer M, Weber M-A, et al. Strength Training
- 541 Effects on Muscular Regeneration after ACL Reconstruction. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2018;50(6):1152-61.
- 542 25. Grapar Žargi T, Drobnič M, Vauhnik R, Koder J, Kacin A. Factors predicting quadriceps femoris muscle
- 543 atrophy during the first 12weeks following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Knee. 2017;24(2):319-28.
- 544 26. Lepley AS, Grooms DR, Burl, JP, Davi SM, Kinsella-Shaw JM et al. Quadriceps muscle function following
- anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: systemic differences in neural and morphological characteristics. Exp
- 546 Brain Res. 2019.

548

547 27. Messer DJ, Shield AJ, Williams MD, Timmins RG, Bourne MN. Hamstring muscle activation and

morphology are significantly altered 1-6 years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with semitendinosus

- 549 graft. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2020;28(3):733-41. doi:10.1007/s00167-019-05374-w.
- 28. Kuenze CM, Blemker SS, Hart JM. Quadriceps function relates to muscle size following ACL reconstruction.
 J Orthop Res. 2016;34(9):1656-62.
- 29. Thomas AC, Wojtys EM, Br, on C, Palmieri-Smith RM. Muscle atrophy contributes to quadriceps weakness
 after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Sci Med Sport. 2016;19(1):7-11.
- 30. Saxby DJ, Bryant AL, Modenese L, Gerus P, Killen BA, Konrath J, et al. Tibiofemoral contact forces in the
 anterior cruciate ligament-reconstructed knee. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2016;48(11):2195-206.
 doi:10.1249/mss.00000000001021.
- 31. Wellsandt E, Gardinier ES, Manal K, Axe MJ, Buchanan TS, Snyder-Mackler L. Decreased knee joint loading
 associated with early knee osteoarthritis after anterior cruciate ligament injury. Am J Sports Med. 2016;44(1):14351. doi:10.1177/0363546515608475.
- 32. Pietrosimone B, Pfeiffer SJ, Harkey MS, Wallace K, Hunt C, Blackburn JT, et al. Quadriceps weakness
 associates with greater T1rho relaxation time in the medial femoral articular cartilage 6 months following anterior
 cruciate ligament reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2018. doi:10.1007/s00167-018-5290-y.
- 33. Øiestad BE, Juhl CB, Eitzen I, Thorlund JB. Knee extensor muscle weakness is a risk factor for development
 of knee osteoarthritis. A systematic review and meta-analysis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2015;23(2):171-7.
 doi:10.1016/j.joca.2014.10.008.
- 34. Ithurburn MP, Paterno MV, Ford KR, Hewett TE, Schmitt LC. Young athletes with quadriceps femoris
 strength asymmetry at return to sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction demonstrate asymmetric
 single-leg drop-landing mechanics. Am J Sports Med. 2015;43(11):2727-37. doi:10.1177/0363546515602016.
- 35. Maniar N, Bryant AL, Sritharan P, Schache AG, Opar DA. Muscle contributions to medial and lateral
 tibiofemoral compressive loads during sidestep cutting. J Biomech. 2020;101.
 doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2020.109641.
- 36. Maniar N, Schache AG, Pizzolato C, Opar DA. Muscle contributions to tibiofemoral shear forces and valgus
 and rotational joint moments during single leg drop landing. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2020;30(9):1664-74.
 doi:10.1111/sms.13711.

- 37. Maniar N, Schache AG, Sritharan P, Opar DA. Non-knee-spanning muscles contribute to tibiofemoral shear
 as well as valgus and rotational joint reaction moments during unanticipated sidestep cutting. Sci Rep.
 2018;8(1):2501. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-19098-9.
- 57838. Lisee C, Lepley AS, Birchmeier T, O'Hagan K, Kuenze C. Quadriceps strength and volitional activation after
- anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sports Health.
 2019:1941738118822739. doi:10.1177/1941738118822739.
- 39. Birchmeier T, Lisee C, Kane K, Brazier B, Triplett A, Kuenze C. Quadriceps muscle size following acl injury
 and reconstruction: a systematic review. J Orth Res. 2020;38(3):598-608. doi:10.1002/jor.24489.
- 40. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and metaanalyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6(7):e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097.
- 585 41. Downs SH, Black N. The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality
 586 both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. J Epidemiol Community Health.
 587 1998;52(6):377-84. doi:10.1136/jech.52.6.377.
- 42. Maniar N, Shield AJ, Williams MD, Timmins RG, Opar DA. Hamstring strength and flexibility after
 hamstring strain injury: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med. 2016;50(15):909-20.
 doi:10.1136/bjsports-2015-095311.
- 43. Grapar Zargi T, Drobnic M, Jkoder J, Strazar K, Kacin A. The effects of preconditioning with ischemic
 exercise on quadriceps femoris muscle atrophy following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a quasirandomized controlled trial. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2016;52(3):310-20.
- 44. Noehren B, Andersen A, Hardy P, Johnson DL, Irel, ML, et al. Cellular and morphological alterations in the
 vastus lateralis muscle as the result of acl injury and reconstruction. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2016;98(18):1541-7.
- 596 45. Setuain I, Izquierdo M, Idoate F, Bik, i E, Gorostiaga EM, et al. Differential effects of 2 rehabilitation programs
 597 following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Sport Rehabil. 2017;26(6):544-55.
- 46. Wigerstad-Lossing I, Grimby G, Jonsson T, Morelli B, Peterson L, Renström P. Effects of electrical muscle
 stimulation combined with voluntary contractions after knee ligament surgery. Med Sci Sport Exerc.
 1988;20(1):93-8.
- 47. Viechtbauer W. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. 2010. 2010;36(3):48.
 doi:10.18637/jss.v036.i03.
- 48. Schwarzer G. Meta: general package for meta-analysis. 2015.
- 49. Cohen J. A power primer. Psychol Bull. 1992;112(1):155-9. doi:10.1037//0033-2909.112.1.155.
- 50. Slavin RE. Best evidence synthesis: an intelligent alternative to meta-analysis. J Clin Epidemiol 1995;48(1):918. doi:10.1016/0895-4356(94)00097-a.
- 51. Arangio GA, Chen C, Kalady M, Reed JF, 3rd. Thigh muscle size and strength after anterior cruciate ligament
 reconstruction and rehabilitation. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 1997;26(5):238-43.

- 52. Arvidsson I, Arvidsson H, Eriksson E, Jansson E. Prevention of quadriceps wasting after immobilization: an
 evaluation of the effect of electrical stimulation. Orthopedics. 1986;9(11):1519-28.
- 611 53. Burks RT, Crim J, Fink BP, Boylan DN, Greis PE. The effects of semitendinosus and gracilis harvest in
- anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Arthroscopy. 2005;21(10):1177-85.
- 54. Eriksson K, Hamberg P, Jansson E, Larsson H, Shalabi A, Wredmark T. Semitendinosus muscle in anterior
 cruciate ligament surgery: Morphology and function. Arthroscopy. 2001;17(8):808-17.
- 615 55. Flück M, Viecelli C, Bapst AM, Kasper S, Valdivieso P, Franchi MV, et al. Knee extensors muscle plasticity
- over a 5-years rehabilitation process after open knee surgery. Front Physiol. 2018;9:1343-.
- 617 56. Gandolfi M, Ricci M, Sambugaro E, Valè N, Dimitrova E, Meschieri A, et al. Changes in the sensorimotor
- system and semitendinosus muscle morphometry after arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a
 prospective cohort study with 1-year follow-up. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2018;26(12):3770-9.
- 620 doi:10.1007/s00167-018-5020-5.
- 57. Garcia SA, Curran MT, Palmieri-Smith RM. Longitudinal assessment of quadriceps muscle morphology
- 622 before and after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and its associations with patient-reported outcomes.
- 623 Sports Health. 2020:1941738119898210-. doi:10.1177/1941738119898210.
- 58. Janssen RPA, van der Velden MJF, Pasmans HLM, Sala HAGM. Regeneration of hamstring tendons after
 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2013;21(4):898-905.
- 59. Kariya Y, Itoh M, Nakamura T, Yagi K, Kurosawa H. Magnetic resonance imaging and spectroscopy of thigh
 muscles in cruciate ligament insufficiency. Acta Orthop Scand. 1989;60(3):322-5.
- 60. Konishi Y, Fukubayashi T. Relationship between muscle volume and muscle torque of the hamstrings after
 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Sci Med Sport. 2010;13(1):101-5.
- 630 61. Konishi Y, Ikeda K, Nishino A, Sunaga M, Aihara Y, Fukubayashi T. Relationship between quadriceps
 631 femoris muscle volume and muscle torque after anterior cruciate ligament repair. Scand J Med Sci Sports.
 632 2007;17(6):656-61.
- 633 62. Konishi Y, Kinugasa R, Oda T, Tsukazaki S, Fukubayashi T. Relationship between muscle volume and muscle
 634 torque of the hamstrings after anterior cruciate ligament lesion. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.
 635 2012;20(11):2270-4.
- 636 63. Konishi Y, Oda T, Tsukazaki S, Kinugasa R, Fukubayashi T. Relationship between quadriceps femoris muscle
 637 volume and muscle torque at least 18 months after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Scand J Med Sci
 638 Sports. 2012;22(6):791-6.
- 639 64. Lopresti C, Kirkendall DT, Street GM, Dudley AW. Quadriceps insufficiency following repair of the anterior640 cruciate ligament. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 1988;9(7):245-9.

- 65. Lorentzon R, Elmqvist LG, Sjöström M, Fagerlund M, Fuglmeyer AR. Thigh musculature in relation to
- 642 chronic anterior cruciate ligament tear: muscle size, morphology, and mechanical output before reconstruction.
- 643 Am J Sports Med. 1989;17(3):423-9.
- 64. Macleod TD, Snyder-Mackler L, Axe MJ, Buchanan TS. Early regeneration determines long-term graft site
- 645 morphology and function after reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament with semitendinosus-gracilis
- autograft: a case series. Int J Sports Phys Ther . 2013;8(3):256-68.
- 647 67. Marcon M, Ciritsis B, Laux C, Nanz D, Fischer MA, Andreisek G, et al. Quantitative and qualitative MR-
- 648 imaging assessment of vastus medialis muscle volume loss in asymptomatic patients after anterior cruciate
- 649 ligament reconstruction. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2015;42(2):515-25.
- 650 68. Marcon M, Ciritsis B, Laux C, Nanz D, Nguyen-Kim TDL, Fischer MA et al. Cross-sectional area
- measurements versus volumetric assessment of the quadriceps femoris muscle in patients with anterior cruciate
 ligament reconstructions. Eur Radiol. 2014;25(2):290-8.
- 653 69. Nishino A, Sanada A, Kanehisa H, Fukubayashi T. Knee-flexion torque and morphology of the semitendinosus
 654 after ACL reconstruction. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2006;38(11):1895-900.
- 70. Reeves ND, Maganaris CN, Maffulli N, Rittweger J. Human patellar tendon stiffness is restored following
 graft harvest for anterior cruciate ligament surgery. J Biomech. 2009;42(7):797-803.
- 657 71. Simonian PT, Harrison SD, Cooley VJ, Escabedo EM, Deneka DA, Larson RV. Assessment of morbidity of
 658 semitendinosus and gracilis tendon harvest for ACL reconstruction. Am J Knee Surg. 1997;10(2):54-9.
- 659 72. Strandberg S, Lindström M, Wretling M-L, Aspelin P, Shalabi A. Muscle morphometric effect of anterior
 660 cruciate ligament injury measured by computed tomography: aspects on using non-injured leg as control. BMC
 661 Musculoskelet Disord. 2013;14:150-.
- 73. Williams GN, Snyder-Mackler L, Barrance PJ, Axe MJ, Buchanan TS. Muscle and tendon morphology after
 reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament with autologous semitendinosus-gracilis graft. J Bone Joint Surg
 Am. 2004;86(9):1936-46.
- 74. Williams GN, Snyder-Mackler L, Barrance PJ, Buchanan TS. Quadriceps femoris muscle morphology and
 function after ACL injury: a differential response in copers versus non-copers. J Biomech. 2005;38(4):685-93.
- 667 75. Gerber JP, Marcus RL, Dibble L, E., Greis PE, Burks RT, et al. Effects of early progressive eccentric exercise
 668 on muscle structure after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2007;89(3):559-70.
- 669 76. Hunnicutt JL, Gregory CM, McLeod MM, Woolf SK, Chapin RW, Slone HS. Quadriceps recovery after
 670 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with quadriceps tendon versus patellar tendon autografts. Orthop J Sports
 671 Med. 2019;7(4):1-7. doi:10.1177/2325967119839786.
- 672 77. Hunnicutt JL, McLeod MM, Slone HS, Gregory CM. Quadriceps muscle strength, size, and activation and
- 673 physical function after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. J Athl Train. 2020. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-516-
- **674** 18.

- 675 78. Irie K, Tomatsu T. Atrophy of semitendinosus and gracilis and flexor mechanism function after hamstring
- tendon harvest for anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Orthopedics. 2002;25(5):491-5.
- 677 79. Kellis E, Karagiannidis E, Patsika G. Patellar tendon and hamstring moment-arms and cross-sectional area in
- patients with anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and controls. Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin.
- **679** 2015;18(10):1083-9.
- 80. Snow BJ, Wilcox JJ, Burks RT, Greis PE. Evaluation of muscle size and fatty infiltration with MRI nine to
 eleven years following hamstring harvest for ACL reconstruction. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2012;94(14):1274-82.
- 81. Takahashi K, Hayashi M, Fujii T, Kawamura K, Ozaki T. Early rehabilitation with weight-bearing standingshaking-board exercise in combination with electrical muscle stimulation after anterior cruciate ligament
 reconstruction. Acta Med Okayama. 2012;66(3):231-7.
- 685 82. Karagiannidis E, Kellis E, Galanis N, Vasilios B. Semitendinosus muscle architecture during maximum
 686 isometric contractions in individuals with anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction and controls. Muscles
 687 Ligaments Tendons J. 2017;7(1):147-51.
- 688 83. Kilgas MA, Lytle LLM, Drum SN, Elmer SJ. Exercise with blood flow restriction to improve quadriceps
 689 function long after acl reconstruction. International Journal of Sports Medicine. 2019;40(10):650-6.
 690 doi:10.1055/a-0961-1434.
- 691 84. Longo UG, Rizzello G, Frnaceschi F, Campi S, Maffulli N, Denaro V. The architecture of the ipsilateral
 692 quadriceps two years after successful anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with bone-patellar tendon-bone
 693 autograft. Knee. 2014;21(3):721-5.
- 694 85. Timmins RG, Bourne MN, Shield AJ, Williams MD, Lorenzen C, Opar DA. Biceps femoris architecture and
 695 strength in athletes with a previous anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Med Sci Sports Exerc.
 696 2016;48(3):337-45.
- 697 86. Grindem H, Wellsandt E, Failla M, Snyder-Mackler L, Risberg MA. Anterior cruciate ligament injury-who
 698 succeeds without reconstructive surgery? The Delaware-Oslo acl cohort study. Orthop J Sports Med.
 699 2018;6(5):2325967118774255. doi:10.1177/2325967118774255.
- 700 87. Bodine SC. Disuse-induced muscle wasting. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2013;45(10):2200-8.
 701 doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2013.06.011.
- 88. Brooks N, Myburgh K. Skeletal muscle wasting with disuse atrophy is multi-dimensional: the response and
 interaction of myonuclei, satellite cells and signaling pathways. Front Physiol. 2014;5(99).
 doi:10.3389/fphys.2014.00099.
- 89. Maniar N, Schache AG, Cole MH, Opar DA. Lower-limb muscle function during sidestep cutting. J Biomech.
 2018. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2018.10.021
- 90. Hughes L, Rosenblatt B, Haddad F, Gissane C, McCarthy D, Clarke T, et al. Comparing the effectiveness of
 blood flow restriction and traditional heavy load resistance training in the post-surgery rehabilitation of anterior

- cruciate ligament reconstruction patients: a UK national health service randomised controlled trial. Sports Med.
 2019;49(11):1787-805.
- 91. Ohta H, Kurosawa H, Ikeda H, Iwase Y, Satou N, Nakamura S. Low-load resistance muscular training with
 moderate restriction of blood flow after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Acta Orthop Scand.
 2003;74(1):62-8.
- 92. Bregenhof B, Jørgensen U, Aagaard P, Nissen N, Creaby MW, Thorlund JB et al. The effect of targeted
 exercise on knee-muscle function in patients with persistent hamstring deficiency following ACL reconstruction
 study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2018;19(1):75. doi:10.1186/s13063-018-2448-3.
- 717 93. Patterson BE, Crossley KM, Perraton LG, Kumar AS, King MG, Heerey JJ et al. Limb symmetry index on a
- 718 functional test battery improves between one and five years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction,
- primarily due to worsening contralateral limb function. Phys Ther Sport. 2020;44:67-74.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2020.04.031.
- 20 doi.intps://doi.org/10.1010/j.ptsp.2020.04.031.