

Title: Seasonal changes in soccer players' body composition and dietary intake practices

Submission Type: Original investigation.

Authors: Brooke L Devlin¹, Michael Kingsley², Michael D Leveritt³, Regina Belski¹

Affiliations: ¹Department of Rehabilitation, Nutrition and Sport, La Trobe University, Bundoora, VIC, Australia. ² La Trobe Rural Health School, La Trobe University, Bendigo, VIC, Australia. ³ School of Human Movement and Nutrition Sciences, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD, Australia.

Corresponding Author: Brooke L Devlin. La Trobe University, Kingsbury Drive, Bundoora, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 3086.

Phone: +61 3 9479 5601. Fax: +61 3 9479 5737. Email: b.devlin@latrobe.edu.au

Preferred running head: Soccer players' body composition and diet

1 ABSTRACT

2 The aims of this study were two-fold: to determine seasonal
3 changes in dietary intake and body composition in elite soccer
4 players and to evaluate the influence of self-determined
5 individual body composition goals on dietary intake and body
6 composition. This longitudinal, observational study assessed
7 body composition (total mass, fat-free soft tissue mass and fat
8 mass) using dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry and dietary
9 intake (energy and macronutrients) via multiple pass 24-hour
10 recalls, at four time points over a competitive season in elite
11 soccer players from one professional club in the Australian A-
12 League competition. Self-reported body composition goals
13 were also recorded. Eighteen elite male soccer players took part
14 (25 ± 5 years, 180.5 ± 7.4 cm, 75.6 ± 6.5 kg). Majority ($\geq 67\%$)
15 reported the goal to maintain weight. Fat-free soft tissue mass
16 increased from the start of preseason (55278 ± 5475 g) to the
17 start of competitive season (56784 ± 5168 g; $p < 0.001$) and
18 these gains were maintained until the end of the season. Fat
19 mass decreased over the preseason period (10072 ± 2493 g to
20 8712 ± 1432 g; $p < 0.001$), but increased during the latter part of
21 the competitive season. Dietary intake practices on training
22 days were consistent over time and low compared to sport
23 nutrition recommendations. The self-reported body
24 composition goals did not strongly influence dietary intake
25 practices or changes in body composition. This study has

26 demonstrated that body composition changes over the course of
27 a soccer season are subtle in elite soccer players despite
28 relatively low self-reported intakes of energy and carbohydrate.

29 *Keywords:* nutrition, sport, athlete, dual-energy x-ray
30 absorptiometry, body composition, soccer

31

32 INTRODUCTION

33 Serial measurements of body composition and dietary intake
34 are important to evaluate athletic status, contribute to training
35 and nutrition program design, and monitor athlete progression
36 ^{4,5,10,24}. Soccer (football) is a popular, high-intensity,
37 intermittent field-based team sport where low body mass, as a
38 result of low body fat, is beneficial to performance ^{8,11,24}.

39 Whole body dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans are
40 becoming increasingly popular and accessible to assess small
41 changes in body composition that may occur over time ^{4,9}.

42 Seasonal changes in body composition as assessed via DXA
43 have been reported in a cohort of English Premier League
44 soccer players ¹². Specifically, fat mass reduced during
45 preseason training period, but increased towards the end of the
46 competitive season. Meanwhile, lean mass decreased towards
47 the end of the competitive season. However, no studies have
48 reported body composition of soccer players from Australia
49 with the use of DXA technology over a competitive season.

50

51 Elite athletes can feel substantial pressure to conform to body
52 composition ideals and decisions to alter body composition can
53 be dictated by 'accepted' physique ranges ⁶. Athletes, who are
54 forced, encouraged or feel they need to meet unrealistic body
55 weight and fat mass targets can resort to extreme and
56 inappropriate diets ⁶. Consequently, performance is possibly
57 negatively influenced much more than the purported
58 undesirable effect of the initial body weight or fat mass. As
59 such, body composition goals need to be individualised and
60 based on a comprehensive assessment including, but not
61 limited to sport, playing position, past experience and
62 competition timing ³. Considering this, individualised body
63 composition goals, in combination with body composition
64 assessments, are essential to designing and monitoring nutrition
65 interventions.

66
67 Sport nutrition recommendations guide dietary intake practices
68 of soccer players ^{13,14,15}. Energy, macronutrient, and fluid
69 requirements vary according to specific training and
70 competition demands, stage of competitive season, body
71 composition goals (i.e. gain or lose weight), playing position,
72 genetic differences as well as environmental factors such as
73 temperature and humidity ^{14,30}. Recently, dietary intake
74 practices of elite and sub-elite soccer players in Australia were
75 reported as being suboptimal when compared to

76 recommendations and international reports ¹. However, these
77 authors noted that only a small number of players completed
78 food records appropriately, with 15 of the 29 (52%) elite soccer
79 players returning adequate food records. Furthermore, the
80 authors were only able to report dietary intake relative to body
81 weight for 10 of the 72 (14%) soccer players recruited.
82 Consequently, these findings might not be representative of
83 soccer players in Australia due to reporting bias. Further
84 systematic data are necessary to determine the dietary intake
85 practices of elite soccer players in Australia compared to
86 recommendations and in combination with individual body
87 composition goals to elucidate if suboptimal dietary intake
88 practices are purposeful.

89
90 Therefore, the aim of the current study was to assess and report
91 on the dietary intake practices and body composition of
92 Australian soccer players over a competitive season, whilst
93 taking into consideration players self-reported body
94 composition goals.

96 **METHODS**

97 *Experimental Approach to the Problem*

98 This longitudinal, observational study assessed body
99 composition (DXA), dietary intake (multiple pass 24-hour
100 dietary recall) and self-reported body composition goals of elite

101 soccer players in Australia over a competitive season.
102 Participants attended testing sessions on four occasions over the
103 2014/2015 competitive season (Table 1). All data were
104 collected in a single session at each time point and all visits
105 took place in the same laboratory, using the same equipment
106 and performed by the same trained technician.

107 TABLE 1 PLACED HERE.

108 *Subjects*

109 Eighteen elite male soccer players (25 ± 5 years, 180.5 ± 7.4
110 cm, 75.6 ± 6.5 kg) were recruited from one A-League soccer
111 club competing in the Australian competition, run by the
112 Football Federation Australia. Each participant was provided
113 with verbal and written communication of the scope and risks
114 of the study prior to signing an approved consent form. The
115 study was approved by La Trobe University Human Research
116 Ethics Committee.

118 *Methods and Procedures*

119 At each time point, participants were asked to report their
120 individual self-reported body composition goals from three
121 options; 'aim to gain weight/muscle mass', 'aim to lose
122 weight/fat mass', or 'aim to maintain current weight'. Body
123 mass was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using digital scales
124 (WM203; Wedderburn, Willawong, QLD, Australia). Stretch
125 stature measured according to ISAK protocol ²⁰ by an ISAK

126 accredited technician using a wall-mounted stadiometer
127 (SE206; SECA, Seven Hills, NSW, Australia) was recorded to
128 the nearest 0.1 cm.

129

130 Body composition was measured from a whole-body scan using
131 a fan beam densitometer (Discovery W; Hologic, US). Analysis
132 was performed using QDR for Windows to quantify fat mass
133 (FM; total adipose tissue), bone mineral content (BMC; bone
134 tissue) and lean mass (LM; fat-free soft tissue mass). Consistent
135 with previous research in athletic cohorts, the term 'lean mass'
136 will be exchanged with 'fat-free soft tissue mass' (FFSTM) as
137 it provides a more appropriate description of the measurement
138 obtained^{4,23}.

139

140 Procedures were standardised according to recommendations of
141 the Australian and New Zealand Bone and Mineral Society and
142 best practice protocol for DXA measurements in athletes^{2,23}.

143 Before testing, the DXA instrument was calibrated according to
144 the manufacturer guidelines. All scans were analysed
145 automatically by the software and confirmed by the same
146 technician.

147

148 Participants presented to the laboratory after an overnight fast
149 and rested (no exercise on morning of measurements) prior to
150 10:30 am. Participants were instructed to wear minimal

151 clothing and all jewellery and metal objects were removed. A
152 mid-stream urine sample soon after waking on the morning of
153 each measurement was collected to assess and control for
154 hydration. The urine specific gravity (USG) was measured
155 using a digital refractometer (UG-1; ATAGO co. Ltd., Tokyo,
156 Japan). No differences in USG was detected over time (Start of
157 preseason 1.016 ± 0.008 ; Start of season 1.017 ± 0.007 ; Mid-
158 season 1.018 ± 0.007 ; End of season 1.020 ± 0.006 ; $F_{(3,12)} =$
159 0.788 , $p = 0.212$). Prior to each scan participants were asked to
160 void their bladder.

161

162 Based on the immediate repositioning of 31 active adults prior
163 to conducting this research, the technical errors of measurement
164 are approximately TM (g) = 0.3%, BMC (g) = 0.7%, FFSTM
165 (g) = 0.5% and FM (g) = 0.7%, expressed as coefficients of
166 variation for the DXA machine used in this study.

167

168 Reported dietary intake was obtained via multiple-pass 24-hour
169 dietary recalls at each time point (Table 1). This involved three
170 passes through the 24-hour recall, providing participants with
171 additional memory cues, thus increasing accuracy. Details have
172 been described previously ^{16,17}. Multiple 24-hour recalls have
173 been reported to be a valid measure of energy intake in young
174 children ¹⁶; however, men have been found to under-report
175 dietary intake via this method ¹⁷. Common household measures

176 (e.g. cups, tablespoons) were used to quantify portion sizes.
177 Recipes and information regarding any food or drink items
178 provided by the club was obtained from the club caterer. The
179 24-hour period was a scheduled training/practice day for all
180 participants at all time points.

181
182 Dietary intake data were subsequently entered into
183 Foodworks© Software (Xyris, Brisbane, QLD) to estimate
184 nutrient intake composition. This was performed by the same
185 dietitian who conducted all 24-hour recalls to ensure
186 consistency, reduce possible error and variability in
187 interpretation, coding and entering of all data. All food and
188 beverages were analysed, including protein powders, liquid
189 meal supplements and sports drinks. For sports foods not listed
190 in databases, nutrient composition was obtained from the
191 product label. Vitamin and mineral supplements were excluded
192 from analysis. Average energy and macronutrient intakes
193 (carbohydrate, protein, fat) for all participants were obtained.
194 Throughout the multiple pass 24-hour recall process, qualitative
195 information was obtained and documented regarding
196 participants food choice preferences, food availability and food
197 preparation.

198

199

200

201 *Statistical analysis*

202 All statistical analysis was conducted on IBM SPSS Statistics
203 for Windows, Version 22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, New York,
204 USA, 2013) with significance set at $p \leq 0.05$. All variables
205 were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov
206 statistic and visual assessment of histogram and appropriate
207 statistical tests were subsequently conducted. Data with a
208 Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic p value of less than 0.05
209 suggests violation of the assumption of normality and thus
210 median and range are presented ²⁵. Otherwise, data are
211 presented as percentages, means and standard deviations.
212 Participants were categorised at each time point into subgroups
213 based on self-reported body composition goals (gain weight,
214 maintain weight and lose weight) for analysis.

215
216 Changes over time in body composition (TM, FFSTM, FM,
217 %BF, BMC) and mean total energy and macronutrient
218 (carbohydrate, protein, fat) intake were assessed via one way
219 repeated measures ANOVA for all players ($n = 18$), with
220 pairwise comparisons and Bonferroni adjustment when
221 statistically significant differences were detected.

222
223 Differences in body composition (TM, FFSTM, FM, %BF,
224 BMC) and mean total energy and macronutrient (carbohydrate,
225 protein, fat) intake between self-reported body composition

226 goal groups at each separate time point were determined via
227 one-way between groups analysis of variance (ANOVA), with
228 Tukey post-hoc comparisons conducted when statistical
229 differences were detected.

230

231 The changes in body composition (percentage change in TM,
232 FFSTM and FM) were calculated for each individual player
233 between time points over the season. Comparisons were made
234 between preseason to start of the season, preseason to end of
235 season, start of season to mid-season, start of season to end of
236 season and mid-season to end of season. Median and range of
237 the percentage change in body composition variables are
238 presented for all players and according to self-reported body
239 composition goal group, which were based on the body
240 composition goal reported at the start of preseason. A Kruskal
241 Wallis Test was performed to detect differences in the
242 percentage change in body composition between the self-
243 reported body composition goal groups. Follow up Mann
244 Whitney U tests were conducted when statistical significance
245 was detected with Bonferroni adjustment applied to alpha
246 values.

247

248

249

250

251 RESULTS

252 Eighteen elite male soccer players completed all of the study
253 requirements. The median duration of experience at the elite
254 level was 5 years (range: 2 to 19 years).

255

256 Participants self-reported body composition goals and the
257 number of players (%) aiming to gain weight, maintain current
258 weight, or lose weight are presented in Table 2. The majority of
259 players reported the aim to maintain current weight at all time
260 points ($\geq 67\%$) and no players reported the aim to gain weight.

261

262 TABLE 2 PLACED HERE

263

264 Results obtained from whole body DXA analysis (TM,
265 FFSTM, FM, %BF, BMC) are presented in Table 3 for all
266 players and according to self-reported body composition goal
267 groups. The FFSTM of all players increased during the
268 preseason period and these gains were maintained until the end
269 of the competitive season ($p < 0.001$). Conversely, FM of all
270 players decreased over the preseason period ($p < 0.001$) and
271 changes were maintained until mid-season time point. By the
272 end of the competitive season, FM returned to start of
273 preseason values with no significant difference in FM between
274 preseason and end of season ($p = 0.761$).

275

TABLE 3 PLACED HERE

Table 4 outlines reported mean energy and macronutrient intakes over the competitive season for all players and according to self-reported body composition goal groups. Reported dietary intake was consistent over time ($p > 0.05$). The self-reported body composition goals did not influence reported dietary intake except players aiming to lose weight at the start of the season consumed significantly more fat (total, $\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ and %TEI) than players reporting the aim to maintain weight.

TABLE 4 PLACED HERE

Throughout the dietary recall, qualitative comments regarding food choice preferences, food availability and other comments were recorded. Over half of the elite soccer (10 of 18 participants; 56%) players noted dissatisfaction with the foods provided; 'lack of choice', 'bland tasting' and 'minimal variation' were commonly reported comments throughout the season.

Table 5 presents percentage change in whole body TM, FM and FFSTM for all players and according to self-reported body composition goal groups. Players reporting the aim to lose weight ($n = 6$) lost significantly more FM than players

301 reporting the aim to maintain weight from preseason to end of
302 season. No other differences in the percentage change in body
303 composition variables were detected between the self-reported
304 weight goal groups.

305

306 TABLE 5 PLACED HERE

307

308 **DISCUSSION**

309 The main findings of this study were: 1) body composition of
310 elite soccer players changed throughout the competitive season,
311 2) dietary intake practices were low compared to
312 recommendations, 3) majority of elite soccer players reported
313 the goal to maintain weight and these goals remained fairly
314 consistent over time, and 4) dietary intake practices and body
315 composition changes were not largely influenced by body
316 composition goals in this cohort.

317

318 The body composition (FM and FFSTM) of elite soccer players
319 changed throughout the competitive season. Specifically, FM
320 decreased from the start of preseason to the start of the
321 competitive season. By the end of the competitive season, FM
322 returned to start of preseason values. FFSTM significantly
323 increased from start of preseason until the start of the season
324 and these changes were maintained over the entire competitive
325 season.

326

327 Decreases in FM and increases in FFSTM are considered
328 beneficial changes for soccer players. Lower FM reduces a
329 player's energy demands during training and competition and
330 higher FM is detrimental to speed^{8,24}. Many aspects of a soccer
331 game, including pursuit for the ball or creating opportunities to
332 score are reliant on speed^{8,24}. Furthermore, FFSTM has been
333 shown to be moderately correlated with vertical jump height,
334 another important skill in soccer and a strong predictor of
335 overall power²⁸. Thus, the body composition changes
336 described in this cohort from start of preseason and maintained
337 until mid-season are likely to impact performance. However,
338 these changes in FM were not maintained until the end of the
339 season. These findings probably reflect a substantial skills
340 focus in training. Maintaining the focus on body composition,
341 in combination with skills, may assist in improving
342 performance through the latter half of a competitive season.
343 Importantly, the end of season DXA scans were conducted on
344 the day following the last game of the season and therefore the
345 increase in FM back to preseason values is not due to timing of
346 the scans.

347

348 No previous reports of Australian soccer players' body
349 composition via DXA are available. Soccer players in the
350 current study appeared to have similar fat mass during the

351 season as English Premier League players, but lower levels of
352 FFSTM²². Nevertheless, American collegiate soccer players
353 have similar FFSTM and FM to the players in the current study
354²⁹. Additional research assessing and monitoring the body
355 composition of elite soccer players from Australia is required to
356 develop normative values although the current study provides
357 initial insight into the current body composition ranges of
358 soccer players competing within the Australian competition.

359
360 Overall, dietary intake practices of the soccer players in this
361 study appeared suboptimal compared to current
362 recommendations^{13,14,15}. Average energy intakes in the current
363 study ranged between 9 and 10 MJ, yet previous research in
364 international cohorts report energy intakes between 11 and 16
365 MJ^{14,21,27}. The low average energy intake in the current study
366 at each time point over the season did not seem to result in any
367 adverse effects to body composition such as loss of FFSTM. Of
368 importance would be reported carbohydrate intake in relation to
369 athletic performance although this was not measured in the
370 current study.

371
372 During the 24-hour dietary recall interviews, the majority of
373 participants commented that they disliked the food provided by
374 the club for numerous reasons such as lack of variety (e.g., only
375 one flavour of yoghurt available) and foods not in line with

376 personal preferences (e.g., disliked the flavour of yoghurt
377 provided or eggs provided for breakfast and player preferred
378 cereal). This might explain the low reported total energy
379 intakes of the players in the current study. By providing players
380 with food, professional clubs attempt to assist players to meet
381 nutritional requirements and highlight the importance of
382 nutrition. However, without consulting players on personal
383 preferences and usual dietary habits, as well as considering the
384 range of taste preferences, cultural beliefs and dietary
385 requirements that would exist amongst a group of elite soccer
386 players, food service provision may not be advantageous.
387 Furthermore, practical strategies to maintain appropriate intake
388 such as provision of fluid-based recovery snacks might need to
389 be incorporated into the food service provision and nutrition
390 education to ensure dietary intake practices are close to optimal
391 ¹⁴.

392
393 The reported carbohydrate intake of players in the current study
394 ($2\text{--}4\text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$) were below the most recent IOC sport
395 nutrition guidelines (approximately $6\text{--}10\text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ for the
396 team-based sport athletes, or between $3\text{--}12\text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$
397 including low-intensity/skill based activities through to very
398 high training loads) ⁷. They were also lower than football
399 (soccer) specific recommendations ($5\text{--}7\text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ for low
400 intensity sessions and $7\text{--}10\text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$ for moderate to heavy

401 training and pre-match loading)¹³. The reported intakes might
402 be appropriate for light, skill-based activities, but suboptimal
403 for heavy, endurance based sessions. Low carbohydrate intakes
404 could be a result of catering choices and food palatability, or
405 due to players intentionally restricting carbohydrate due to
406 perceptions this may influence or help control and maintain
407 appropriate body composition. More detailed assessment of the
408 dietary intakes of elite soccer players in Australia, involving
409 longer periods of data collection and specific details regarding
410 timing of dietary intake in relation to training and competition
411 is required in order to elucidate the reasoning for low reported
412 carbohydrate intakes to address the issues and optimise dietary
413 intakes.

414
415 While reported carbohydrate intakes were lower than
416 recommendations, the reported protein intakes were at the
417 upper limit or exceeded protein recommendations^{18,26}. The
418 protein intakes of players in the current study were consistent
419 with previous reports in soccer players, ranging from
420 approximately 1.2-2.3 g·kg⁻¹·day⁻¹^{1,14,21}. Protein consumed in
421 excess is likely to be of limited benefit and at the cost of
422 carbohydrate intake which has been shown to be important for
423 running and the endurance nature of soccer¹⁹.

424

425 The soccer players in the current study reported consistent
426 dietary intake over time. This may be due to the 24-hour dietary
427 recall method used. Variation in intake might not have been
428 captured and longer period of dietary intake assessment may be
429 required. Recent research assessing Australian Football players
430 reported differences in dietary intake practices over a season
431 when recording dietary intake practices over three days at each
432 time point ³. Additionally, consistent intake could be a result of
433 stable environment and professional setting of the club as well
434 as training day dietary intake information obtained at each time
435 point. However, from the data available, it appears the increase
436 in FM back to preseason values at the end of season is not
437 likely due to dietary intake changes (based on training day
438 dietary intake data obtained), but possibly a result of change in
439 training focus in the latter half of the season.

440
441 The majority of the soccer players within this study reported
442 the aim to maintain weight, with no players reporting to aim to
443 gain weight. There was no difference in the body composition
444 of the players according to their self-reported goals except at
445 the start of preseason. Players reporting the aim to lose weight
446 had significantly greater FM than those aiming to maintain
447 weight indicating the broad body composition goals reported
448 were likely realistic. Furthermore, the self-reported body
449 composition goals did not largely influence reported dietary

450 intake or changes in body composition detected. Of note,
451 participants aiming to lose weight at the start of season did
452 appear to lose more fat mass than those aiming to maintain
453 weight over the competitive season. Minimal differences in
454 dietary intake were detected between the self-reported body
455 composition goal groups. To lose weight, players require a
456 decrease in total energy intake, protein intakes as close as
457 possible to recommendations to prevent loss of FFSTM.
458 However, in the current study, at the start of the season, players
459 reporting the aim to lose weight actually consumed more fat
460 than players reporting the aim to maintain weight. This
461 highlights players self-reportedly desire to change body
462 composition yet may not have the nutrition support, knowledge
463 or skills required to follow appropriate dietary practices to
464 achieve such goals.

465
466 When interpreting the findings the following limitations need to
467 be considered. The sample within this study may not be
468 representative as is based on one elite soccer club in Australia.
469 As this is the first published report in Australia for elite soccer
470 players, this data set provides a reference for future work
471 designing interventions to modify body composition or dietary
472 intake to assist with performance. Obtaining more information
473 regarding dietary intake would be of value. In particular,
474 numerous multiple pass 24-hour recalls were the method a

choice due to limited time and already high demands placed on the elite players. Although the multiple pass method provides many opportunities for participants to recall intake and assesses dietary intake at numerous time points, under-reporting is acknowledged as a limitation of this method. Consequently, this method of dietary assessment might have partially contributed to the low reported energy intake reported in this study. Additionally, even though all athletes were players from one elite club and the training patterns were consistent throughout the study, individual energy expenditures were not determined.

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

- Food service provision should take into consideration players' individual preferences to assist with improved dietary intake practices.
- Provide players with practical strategies to assist with managing appetites to ensure dietary intake is optimal for training and competition.
- Taking into consideration individual player's body composition goals is required in order to appropriately assess both body composition and dietary intake over time.

500 **CONCLUSIONS**

501 Body composition of soccer players changed over a
 502 competitive season, with a decrease in FM and increase in
 503 FFSTM during the preseason period, likely to be favourable for
 504 performance. However, by the end of the competitive season,
 505 FM values had returned to similar to preseason FM values.
 506 Although statistically significant, the changes in body
 507 composition detected were subtle. Reported dietary intake was
 508 low compared to recommendations yet consistent over time.
 509 Suboptimal dietary intake reported in this study may be a result
 510 of the food service provided to players on the day of each
 511 dietary recall and food service provision should ideally
 512 consider players personal preferences.

513

514 **REFERENCES**

- 515 1. Andrews, MC, and Itsiopoulos C. Room for Improvement in
 516 Nutrition Knowledge and Dietary Intake of Male Football
 517 (Soccer) Players in Australia. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab 26:
 518 55-64, 2016.
- 519 2. Australia and New Zealand Bone Mineral Society
 520 (ANZBMS). Accreditation Guidelines for Bone Densitometry
 521 Retrieved
 522 https://www.anzbms.org.au/downloads/densitometry_guideline
 523 s.pdf on 13/1/2014

- 524 3. Bilsborough, JC, Greenway, K, Livingstone, S, Cordy, J, and
525 Coutts, AJ. Changes in Anthropometry, Upper Body Strength
526 and Nutrient Intake in Professional Australian Football Players
527 During a Season. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 11: 290-300,
528 2016.
- 529 4. Bilsborough, JC, Greenway, K, Opar, D, Livingstone, S,
530 Cordy J, and Coutts, AJ. (2014). The accuracy and precision of
531 DXA for assessing body composition in team sport athletes. *J*
532 *Sports Sci* 32: 1821-1828, 2014.
- 533 5. Broad, EM, and Cox, GR. What is the optimal composition
534 of an athlete's diet? *Eur J Sport Sci* 8: 57-65, 2008.
- 535 6. Burke, LM, and Deakin, V. *Clinical Sports Nutrition (4th*
536 *edition)*. Sydney: The McGraw-Hill Companies; 2010.
- 537 7. Burke, LM, Hawley, JA, Wong, SHS, and Jeukendrup, AE.
538 Carbohydrates for training and competition. *J Sports Sci* 29:
539 S17-S27, 2011.
- 540 8. Cureton, KJ, Hensley, LD, and Tiburzi, A. Body fatness and
541 performance differences between men and women. *Research*
542 *Quarterly. American Alliance for Health, Physical Education,*
543 *Recreation and Dance* 50: 333-340, 1979.
- 544 9. De Lorenzo, A, Andreoli, A, and Candeloro, N. Within-subject
545 variability in body composition using dual-energy X-ray
546 absorptiometry. *Clin Physiol* 17: 383-388, 1997.

- 547 10. De Lorenzo, A, Bertini, I, Iacopino, L, Pagliato, E, Testolin, C,
548 and Testolin, G. Body composition measurement in highly trained
549 male athletes. A comparison of three methods. J Sports Med Phys
550 Fitness 40: 178, 2000.
- 551 11. Di Salvo, V, Gregson, W, Atkinson, G, Tordoff P, and Drust, B.
552 Analysis of high intensity activity in Premier League soccer. Int J
553 Sports Med 30:205-212, 2009.
- 554 12. Egan, E, Wallace, J, Reilly, T, Chantler, P, and Lawlor, J.
555 Body composition and bone mineral density changes during a
556 premier league season as measured by dual-energy X-ray
557 absorptiometry. Int J Body Compos Res 4: 61-66, 2006.
- 558 13. F-MARC. Nutrition For Football. Retrieved
559 [http://www.fifa.com/mm/document/footballdevelopment/medic](http://www.fifa.com/mm/document/footballdevelopment/medical/51/55/15/nutritionbooklet_neue2010.pdf)
560 [al/51/55/15/nutritionbooklet_neue2010.pdf](http://www.fifa.com/mm/document/footballdevelopment/medical/51/55/15/nutritionbooklet_neue2010.pdf) on 13/10/2015
- 561 14. Holway FE, and Spriet LL. Sport-specific nutrition:
562 Practical strategies for team sports. J Sports Sci 29: 115-125,
563 2011.
- 564 15. International Olympic Committee. IOC consensus
565 statement on Sports Nutrition. J Sports Sci 29: S3-S4, 2016.
- 566 16. Johnson, RK, Driscoll, P, and Goran, MI. Comparison of
567 multiple-pass 24-hour recall estimates of energy intake with total
568 energy expenditure determined by the doubly labeled water method
569 in young children. J Am Diet Assoc 96: 1140-1144, 1996.
- 570 17. Jonnalagadda, SS, Mitchell, DC, Smiciklas-Wright, H, Meaker,
571 KB, Van Heel, N, Karmally, W, . . . Kris-Etherton, PM. (2000).

- 572 Accuracy of energy intake data estimated by a multiplepass, 24-hour
- 573 dietary recall technique. J Am Diet Assoc 100: 303-311, 2000.
- 574 18. Lemon, P. Protein requirements of soccer. J Sports Sci 12:
- 575 S17-S22, 1993.
- 576 19. MacKenzie, K, Slater, G, King, N, and Byrne, N. The
- 577 Measurement and Interpretation of Dietary Protein Distribution
- 578 During a Rugby Pre-season. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab 25:
- 579 353-358, 2015.
- 580 20. Marfell-Jones, M, Olds, T, Stewart, AD, and Carter, L.
- 581 *International Standards for Anthropometric Assessment*. South
- 582 Africa: International Society for the Advancement of
- 583 Kinanthropometry (ISAK); 2006.
- 584 21. Maughan, R. Energy and macronutrient intakes of
- 585 professional football (soccer) players. Br J Sports Med 31: 45-
- 586 47, 1997.
- 587 22. Milsom, J, Naughton, R, O'Boyle, A, Iqbal, Z, Morgans, R,
- 588 Drust, B, and Morton, JP. Body composition assessment of
- 589 English Premier League soccer players: a comparative DXA
- 590 analysis of first team, U21 and U18 squads. J Sports Sci: 1-8,
- 591 2015.
- 592 23. Nana, A, Slater, GJ, Stewart, AD, and Burke LM.
- 593 Methodology Review: Using Dual-Energy X-Ray
- 594 Absorptiometry (DXA) for the Assessment of Body
- 595 Composition in Athletes and Active People. Int J Sport Nutr
- 596 Exerc Metab 25: 198-215, 2015.

- 597 24. Nevill, A, Holder, R, and Watts, A. The changing shape of
598 "successful" professional footballers. *J Sports Sci*, 27: 419-426,
599 2009.
- 600 25. Pallant, J. *SPSS Survival Manual*. United Kingdom:
601 McGraw-Hill Education; 2013.
- 602 26. Phillips, SM, and Van Loon, LJ. Dietary protein for
603 athletes: from requirements to optimum adaptation. *J Sports Sci*
604 29: S29-S38, 2011.
- 605 27. Rico-Sanz, J. Body composition and nutritional
606 assessments in soccer. *Int J Sport Nutr* 8: 113-123, 1998.
- 607 28. Silvestre, R, Kraemer, WJ, West, C, Judelson, DA,
608 Spiering, BA, Vingren, JL, . . . Maresh, CM. Body composition
609 and physical performance during a national collegiate athletic
610 association division I men's soccer season. *J Strength Cond Res*
611 20: 962-970, 2006.
- 612 29. Silvestre, R, West, C, Maresh, CM, and Kraemer, WJ.
613 Body composition and physical performance in men's soccer: A
614 study of a national collegiate athletic association Division I
615 team. *J Strength Cond Res* 20: 177-183, 2006.
- 616 30. Taylor, L, and Rollo, I. Impact of altitude and heat on
617 football performance. *Sport Science Exchange* 27: 1-9, 2014.

618

619

620

621

622

623 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

624 No external financial support was received for this study. The
625 authors declare no conflict of interest. The authors would like
626 to thank the A-league soccer club and players for cooperating
627 with researchers to enable this study to take place.

ACCEPTED

Table 1. Data collection time points over a competitive season

Stage of season	Month of visit	Days between visits
Start of preseason (Pre)	June 2014	
Start of season (Start)	September 2014	96 ± 3 (Pre to Start)
Mid-season (Mid)	January 2015	135 ± 4 (Start to Mid)
End of season (End)	April 2015	104 ± 1 (Mid to End)
Days between visits recorded as (Mean ± SD)		

Table 2. Self-reported body composition goals of elite male soccer athletes over a competitive season (number and percentage of athletes)

	Self-reported body composition goals		
	Gain weight	Maintain weight	Lose weight
Preseason (n = 18)	0 (0%)	12 (67%)	6 (33%)
Start of season (n = 18)	0 (0%)	12 (67%)	6 (33%)
Mid-season (n = 18)	0 (0%)	13 (72%)	5 (28%)
End of season (n = 18)	0 (0%)	15 (83%)	3 (17%)

Table 3. DXA whole body analysis for elite male soccer athletes over a competitive season (mean \pm SD)

	TM (g)	FFSTM (g)	FM (g)	% BF	BMC (g)
<i>Preseason</i>					
All athletes (n = 18)	68536 \pm 6615	55278 \pm 5475 ^{a b c}	10072 \pm 2493 ^{a b}	14.7 \pm 3.0 ^{a b}	2707 \pm 244
Maintain weight (n = 12)	66719 \pm 6775	55225 \pm 5704	8732 \pm 1559	13.1 \pm 1.8	2718 \pm 247
Lose weight (n = 6)	72172 \pm 4897	56474 \pm 4334	12980 \pm 1460 [^]	18.0 \pm 1.9 [^]	2717 \pm 205
<i>Start of season</i>					
All athletes (n = 18)	69233 \pm 5698	56784 \pm 5168	8712 \pm 1432	12.8 \pm 1.9	2717 \pm 242
Maintain weight (n = 12)	67780 \pm 4908	56849 \pm 4457	8281 \pm 9590	12.2 \pm 1.4	2650 \pm 227
Lose weight (n = 6)	72422 \pm 5240	59114 \pm 4710	10535 \pm 1328	14.6 \pm 1.8	2773 \pm 266
<i>Mid-season</i>					
All athletes (n = 18)	69166 \pm 6151	56761 \pm 5480	8528 \pm 1353	12.6 \pm 1.9	2734 \pm 239
Maintain weight (n = 13)	69506 \pm 5541	58121 \pm 5063	8683 \pm 1326	12.6 \pm 1.9	2756 \pm 260
Lose weight (n = 5)	69590 \pm 10827	57503 \pm 9522	9221 \pm 1838	13.3 \pm 2.2	2867 \pm 367
<i>End of season</i>					
All athletes (n = 18)	69609 \pm 6617	56363 \pm 5490	9504 \pm 1647 ^{a b}	13.8 \pm 2 ^{a b}	2749 \pm 257
Maintain weight (n = 15)	69460 \pm 5521	57130 \pm 4984	9632 \pm 1359	13.9 \pm 1.9	2699 \pm 253
Lose weight (n = 3)	73988 \pm 6729	60047 \pm 7090	10960 \pm 1116	14.9 \pm 2.5	2981 \pm 413

Note: DXA = Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry; TM = Total mass; FFSTM = Fat free soft tissue mass; FM = Fat mass; % BF = Percentage of body fat; BMC = Bone mineral content. Technical error of measurement: TM (g) = 0.3%, BMC (g) = 0.7%, FFSTM (g) = 0.5% and FM (g) = 0.7%. No soccer athletes reported the aim to gain weight. ^a significantly different to start of season ^b significant different from mid-season ^c significantly different from end of season (p < 0.05). [^] significantly different from athletes reporting aim to maintain weight (p < 0.05).

Table 4. Total energy and macronutrient intake (mean \pm SD) of elite soccer athletes obtained via 24-hour recalls at different time points over a competitive football season for all athletes and according to self-reported body composition goals

	Energy	Protein			Carbohydrate			Fat		
	Total (MJ)	Total (g)	$\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$	%TEI	Total (g)	$\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$	%TEI	Total (g)	$\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\cdot\text{day}^{-1}$	%TEI
<i>Preseason</i>										
All athletes (n = 18)	9.2 \pm 2.3	137 \pm 40	1.9 \pm 0.6	26 \pm 4	210 \pm 76	2.9 \pm 1.3	38 \pm 12	86 \pm 35	1.1 \pm 0.5	34 \pm 12
Maintain weight (n = 12)	9.7 \pm 2.7	139 \pm 46	1.9 \pm 0.7	25 \pm 4	224 \pm 88	3.2 \pm 1.4	38 \pm 15	91 \pm 42	1.2 \pm 0.6	34 \pm 14
Lose weight (n = 6)	8.4 \pm 0.8	133 \pm 27	1.7 \pm 0.3	27 \pm 4	183 \pm 33	2.4 \pm 0.5	36 \pm 5	78 \pm 16	1.0 \pm 0.2	35 \pm 7
<i>Start of season</i>										
All athletes (n = 18)	9.4 \pm 2.3	140 \pm 35	1.9 \pm 0.5	26 \pm 6	220 \pm 76	2.9 \pm 1.1	38 \pm 8	83 \pm 31	1.1 \pm 0.4	33 \pm 9
Maintain weight (n = 12)	8.8 \pm 1.1	144 \pm 29	2.0 \pm 0.5	28 \pm 7	223 \pm 56	3.0 \pm 0.8	41 \pm 6	65 \pm 16	0.9 \pm 0.2	28 \pm 7
Lose weight (n = 6)	9.6 \pm 3.0	131 \pm 46	1.6 \pm 0.6	23 \pm 5	200 \pm 92	2.5 \pm 1.1	33 \pm 7	101 \pm 25*	1.3 \pm 0.3*	40 \pm 6*
<i>Mid-season</i>										
All athletes (n = 18)	9.6 \pm 2.3	149 \pm 40	2.0 \pm 0.5	27 \pm 8	222 \pm 87	2.9 \pm 1.1	40 \pm 16	84 \pm 34	1.1 \pm 0.5	32 \pm 11
Maintain weight (n = 13)	9.3 \pm 2.2	151 \pm 33	2.0 \pm 0.5	29 \pm 9	221 \pm 101	2.9 \pm 1.4	43 \pm 21	75 \pm 30	1.0 \pm 0.4	30 \pm 11
Lose weight (n = 5)	10.6 \pm 2.5	147 \pm 53	1.9 \pm 0.6	24 \pm 8	239 \pm 84	3.1 \pm 0.7	37 \pm 5	105 \pm 24	1.4 \pm 0.4	37 \pm 8
<i>End of season</i>										
All athletes (n = 18)	9.7 \pm 2.1	157 \pm 51	2.1 \pm 0.7	29 \pm 14	213 \pm 107	2.8 \pm 1.4	35 \pm 13	86 \pm 31	1.2 \pm 0.5	33 \pm 11
Maintain weight (n = 15)	10.2 \pm 2.2	159 \pm 54	2.1 \pm 0.7	28 \pm 16	232 \pm 122	3.1 \pm 1.5	36 \pm 14	88 \pm 34	1.2 \pm 0.5	32 \pm 12
Lose weight (n = 3)	8.5 \pm 1.6	148 \pm 55	1.9 \pm 0.7	29 \pm 8	150 \pm 46	1.9 \pm 0.5	30 \pm 9	88 \pm 20	1.1 \pm 0.3	39 \pm 7

Note: %TEI = Percentage of total energy intake.

No statistically significant differences in dietary intake variables over time based on all athletes ($p > 0.05$).

*Statistically significant to athletes reporting the aim to maintain weight ($p < 0.05$)

Table 5. Percentage change in body composition over time according to self-reporting body composition goals (median and range)

	% change in TM Median (range)	% change in FM Median (range)	% change in FFSTM Median (range)
<i>Preseason to Start of season</i>			
All (n = 18)	0.5 (-3.9, 3.6)	-10.2 (-24.7, 4.1)	2.3 (0.9, 5.3)
Maintain weight (n = 12)	1.1 (-2.0, 3.6)	-7.6 (-19.7, 4.1)	2.0 (0.9, 5.3)
Lose weight (n = 6)	-0.9 (-3.9, 1.7)	-21.2 (-24.7, -3.4)	2.8 (1.0, 4.2)
<i>Preseason to End of season</i>			
All (n = 18)	0.3 (-2.3, 6.0)	-6.4 (-22.2, 21.4)	2.1 (-1.1, 5.2)
Maintain weight (n = 12)	1.6 (-0.6, 6.0)	-2.2 (-15.4, 21.4)	2.8 (0.5, 4.2)
Lose weight (n = 6)	0.8 (-2.3, 1.1)	-13.7 (-22.2, -10.0)*	1.8 (-1.1, 5.2)
<i>Start of season to End of season</i>			
All (n = 18)	0.8 (-3.4, 4.6)	-0.4 (-29.4, 39.9)	1.4 (-4.8, 6.5)
Maintain weight (n = 12)	1.8 (-3.4, 4.6)	0.3 (-29.4, 39.9)	1.4 (-3.8, 5.5)
Lose weight (n = 6)	0.5 (-3.0, 4.2)	-2.2 (-17.6, 14.5)	1.3 (-4.8, 6.5)
<i>Mid-season to End of season</i>			
All (n = 18)	0.3 (-10.2, 24.4)	0.1 (-10.3, 24.4)	0.1 (-3.1, 4.1)
Maintain weight (n = 12)	0.3 (-10.2, 24.4)	0.2 (-10.2, 18.44)	0.1 (-3.1, 3.8)
Lose weight (n = 6)	-0.1 (-2.0, 4.1)	-0.1 (-10.3, 24.4)	0.0 (-3.0, 4.1)

*significantly different to maintain weight group (p < 0.05). Assessed via Kruskal-Wallis Test.