

BMJ Open Moral uncertainty and distress about voluntary assisted dying prior to legalisation and the implications for post-legalisation practice: a qualitative study of palliative and hospice care providers in Queensland, Australia

David G Kirchhoffer ¹, Chi-Wai Lui ¹, Anita Ho ^{2,3}

To cite: Kirchhoffer DG, Lui C-W, Ho A. Moral uncertainty and distress about voluntary assisted dying prior to legalisation and the implications for post-legalisation practice: a qualitative study of palliative and hospice care providers in Queensland, Australia. *BMJ Open* 2023;**13**:e065964. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065964

► Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065964>).

Received 23 June 2022
Accepted 04 April 2023



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Queensland Bioethics Centre, Australian Catholic University, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

²Centre for Applied Ethics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

³Bioethics Program, University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), San Francisco, California, USA

Correspondence to

Associate Professor David G Kirchhoffer;
david.kirchhoffer@acu.edu.au

ABSTRACT

Objectives There is little research on moral uncertainties and distress of palliative and hospice care providers (PHCPs) working in jurisdictions anticipating legalising voluntary assisted dying (VAD). This study examines the perception and anticipated concerns of PHCPs in providing VAD in the State of Queensland, Australia prior to legalisation of the practice in 2021. The findings help inform strategies to facilitate training and support the health and well-being of healthcare workers involved in VAD.

Design The study used a qualitative approach to examine and analyse the perception and anticipated concerns of PHCPs regarding challenges of providing assisted dying in Queensland. Fourteen PHCPs were recruited using a purposive sampling strategy to obtain a broad representation of perspectives including work roles, geographical locations and workplace characteristics. Data were collected via one in-depth interview per participant. The transcripts were coded for patterns and themes using an inductive analysis approach following the tradition of Grounded Theory.

Setting The study was conducted in hospital, hospice, community and residential aged care settings in Queensland, Australia. These included public and private facilities, secular and faith-based facilities, and regional/rural and urban facilities.

Participants Interviews were conducted with fourteen PHCPs: 10 nurses and 4 physicians; 11 female and 3 male. The median number of years of palliative care practice was 17, ranging from 2 to 36 years. For inclusion, participants had to be practising palliative and hospice care providers.

Results PHCPs are divided on whether VAD should be considered part of palliative care. Expectations of moral distress and uncertainty about practising VAD were identified in five areas: handling requests, assessing patient capacity, arranging patient transfers and logistical issues, managing unsuccessful attempts, and dealing with team conflicts and stigma.

Conclusions The possibility of having to practise VAD causes moral distress and uncertainty for some PHCPs.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ This study investigates the perceptions and anticipated concerns of palliative and hospice care providers (PHCPs) regarding voluntary assisted dying (VAD) in Queensland, in a context of limited research on PHCPs working in jurisdictions prior to legalisation.
- ⇒ The study used a Grounded Theory qualitative approach through semistructured interviews to gather comprehensive participant perspectives on various issues related to the potential practice of VAD.
- ⇒ The sampling methodology ensured representation from various institutions and contexts where PHCPs work in Queensland, including religious and non-religious settings, offering valuable insight for policy development in both sectors with the introduction of VAD.
- ⇒ The participants were self-selected volunteers and may not represent the entire spectrum of the PHCP population in Queensland.

Procedural clarity can address some uncertainties; moral and psychological distress, however, remains a source of tension that needs support to ensure ongoing care of both patients and PHCPs. The introduction of VAD post-legalisation may present an occasion for further moral education and development of PHCPs.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, ever more jurisdictions have accepted the use of physician-assisted suicide—known in Australia as voluntary assisted dying (VAD)—as an end-of-life option. A 2020 review found 18 jurisdictions worldwide that allow some form of VAD and the practice has consistently increased in countries with long-standing legislation.¹

International research on palliative and hospice care providers (PHCPs)



participating in VAD-type processes found that the practice was perceived and experienced by many as qualitatively different to other palliative care procedures.²⁻⁴ Although there are reports that the practice of VAD was associated with feelings of professional autonomy and fulfilment,⁵ evidence also indicates that VAD continues to evoke intense reactions among care professionals.^{6,7} A review of literature on physicians providing VAD found that, among those studies that measured psychological impact, 30%–50% reported emotional burden or discomfort about participation, with 15%–20% describing ongoing adverse impacts.⁸ Such evidence demonstrates that the practice of providing VAD is far from a ‘normal’ procedure and it remains for a substantial proportion of practitioners a challenging experience characterised by moral distress and uncertainties. In the context of VAD, moral distress occurs when a care provider cannot act upon a moral judgement he or she made; moral uncertainty refers to the inability of the provider to choose the right course of action or outcome.⁹

Many existing studies were conducted in contexts where the practice is already underway.^{2-6,8} There is relatively little research on perceived distress and uncertainties among PHCPs working in jurisdictions before and anticipating legalisation of the practice. It is unknown whether these PHCPs have concerns like those observed in post-legalisation contexts.

This study aims to fill the gap in the evidence base by exploring perception and anticipated concerns of PHCPs in the State of Queensland in Australia prior to the legalisation of VAD in September 2021. Specifically, it identifies PHCPs’ perceptions of challenges they expect to face in their daily work and moral distress or uncertainty they expect to encounter if VAD were available as an end-of-life option. The findings are useful for understanding concerns and needs of palliative care professionals and for identifying resources that help them in providing person-centred end-of-life care after VAD becomes a lawful option in a particular jurisdiction. Insight into how PHCPs perceive challenges before legalisation can also inform strategies to address the ongoing moral distress and uncertainty still apparent in post-legalisation contexts.

VAD in the Australian context

The debate on legalising VAD has a long history in Australia.¹⁰ The Northern Territory was the first jurisdiction to pass such laws in 1995, but the legislation was overturned by the federal parliament 2 years later. Not until 2017 did the State of Victoria pass the VAD Act, which came into effect in 2019. Western Australia passed similar VAD laws in 2019 and so did South Australia and Tasmania in 2021. In December 2022, the federal parliament lifted a 25-year-old ban that stopped the Australian Capital Territory and Northern Territory from making VAD laws. It is likely that these two territories will follow the leads of other Australian states to legalise assisted dying soon.

Queensland is the second largest and the third most populous state in Australia, covering over 22% of the total Australian continent and about 20% of its population. In 2020, the Queensland Parliament conducted an inquiry into VAD and as part of the inquiry, it invited the general public to provide written submissions to express their opinions on this matter. An analysis of the content of these submissions reveals a deep-seated divide in public perception of assisted dying.¹¹ The inquiry report published by the government recommended the practice be legalised.¹² The Queensland Law Reform Commission then prepared a draft legislation, which was passed in September 2021.¹³ The VAD Act 2021 has come into force on 1 January 2023.

METHODS

This study investigates the concerns of PHCPs about providing VAD, before the legalisation of VAD in Queensland with data collection conducted from November 2020 to March 2021. PHCPs are defined as doctors and nurses who have direct involvement in the provision of end-of-life care in their daily work. The study adopts a qualitative design with an interpretive approach appropriate for illuminating meaning and capturing different perspectives on complex healthcare issues.¹⁴ Data were gathered via semistructured in-depth interviews, which are flexible, dynamic and sensitive to the social context.

Recruitment of participants

Recruitment was conducted between November 2020 and February 2021 using a purposive sampling strategy to include a broad representation of perspectives. The study was promoted in the newsletters of the Centre for Palliative Care Research & Education and Palliative Care Nurses Australia. Information sheets were also sent to all hospices and palliative care services on the website of Palliative Care Queensland. Several participants with rich information and experiences were recruited via the researchers’ prior professional contacts.

Data collection

Data were collected via one in-depth interview per participant that lasted for 45–60 min. The researchers used an interview guide to elicit discussion regarding participants’ experiences of handling end-of-life requests and potential challenges they may encounter if VAD were legalised in Queensland. The participants could direct and shape the discussion in line with their concerns. The interviews were conducted online using the Zoom platform. All interviews were recorded with consent. A self-administered form was employed to collect demographic information and work experience before the interview. The interview guide and self-administered form are available for download as online supplemental files 1 and 2.

Data analysis

All interviews were transcribed verbatim using the automated transcription service provided by Zoom and

checked for errors by a research assistant. The transcripts were read and coded repeatedly for patterns, categories and themes in NVivo V.12 using a Grounded Theory approach.¹⁵ Each transcript was read several times to achieve data immersion. The method of open coding was used to organise and sort the data into categories and patterns for interpretation. The analytical themes identified were fed back in the subsequent coding process and refined in a progressive manner.¹⁵ The inductive analysis reached a saturation point at the 11th participant. Three more interviews were conducted to make sure that no concerns of the PHCPs in Queensland were overlooked.

Rigour of analysis

The three researchers designed the study and interview guide together. C-WL conducted the interview and undertook the preliminary analysis. The transcripts were iteratively coded with emerging categories and interpretations refined in comparison with data collected from subsequent interviews.¹⁵ DGK and AH checked the coding and sets of themes identified. The research team continued with this process of coding and discussions until it arrived at a final set of themes and interpretation.

Findings are reported in accordance with the Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research Guidelines. To maintain confidentiality, identifying data has been anonymised and work setting and characteristics of an individual are only reported if the information does not reveal the identity of that participant.

Patient and public involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Reflexive statement

All three authors are academic researchers from non-clinical backgrounds. DGK is a theological ethicist specialised in biomedical and social ethics and the ideas of human dignity and autonomy. C-WL is a public health sociologist with research interests in social determinants of health and experiences of people living with chronic illnesses. AH is a bioethicist and health services researcher with a particular interest in social justice issues arising in healthcare. This research is based in the Queensland Bioethics Centre (QBC) at the Australian Catholic University and two of the authors, DGK and C-WL, are researchers at QBC.

The study does not reflect the Catholic Church's position on VAD and euthanasia. To ensure a broad representation of perspectives was captured, the researchers purposively recruited participants from both secular and faith-based institutions. The researchers are also aware that the association of the study with a Catholic university might have affected participants' willingness to talk openly about their experiences, or this knowledge might have shaped what was said in the interview. To minimise this impact, the interviewer (C-WL) reminded every

participant at the beginning of the interview that this study is not about for or against VAD or taking a Catholic perspective on the matter. Attention has also been paid in the processes of interpretation and writing up of the manuscript that opinions of the participants are analysed and reported in a balanced and unbiased manner.

RESULTS

Participants articulated their experience with requests to actively end life, their perception on the relation of VAD to palliative care and how legal changes may affect their daily work. Their viewpoints are summarised below in four overarching themes: VAD as a form of palliative care, perceived moral challenges and conflicts at work, anxiety and emotional distress, training needs and support.

Characteristics of participants

Fourteen PHCPs participated in the study: 10 nurses and 4 physicians (table 1). The median number of years of palliative care practice was 17, ranging from 2 to 36 years. Participants worked in various palliative care settings, including hospice, residential care, home and community care, and palliative care units in a hospital, with one participant working in multiple settings. Six worked in a secular institution and seven in a faith-based institution. One worked across these two settings.

Relationship between VAD and palliative care VAD as distinct from palliative care

The participants were divided in their perception of VAD as an end-of-life option. Eight (all four physicians and four of the nurses) rejected the practice as a form of palliative care as they believed VAD has nothing to do with relieving suffering or improving quality of life of the patient. Accessing VAD, as PW4 (a hospital physician) explained, may 'prevent someone from accessing palliative care and then being able to do things like planning for the future or supporting their family.' Other participants insisted palliative care was not to hasten death or intentionally end a life. There was also a fear that linking VAD and palliative care may reinforce long existing stereotypes that equate palliative care with euthanasia or 'the palliative care doctor will come with a big syringe and kill my father, things like that' (PW6, a community nurse).

VAD as part of palliative care

Six participants perceived some continuity between VAD and palliative care. They considered VAD as an additional tool or another possible result of end-of-life care and this is the responsibility of a palliative care practitioner 'to care for everybody and how they die at the end whether they choose that path [VAD] to go down, that's just another mechanism of them actually dying' (PW13, a hospice nurse). For participants holding this view, VAD fits into palliative care and the provision of it demonstrates a person-centred approach that cherishes choice and dignity of the patients.

**Table 1** Participant characteristics

Characteristics	Number of participants (n=14)
Gender	
Male	3
Female	11
Role in palliative care	
Nurse	10
Physician	4
Years of experience	
<10	4
10–20	6
>20	4
Place of work	
Hospice	3
Residential care	1
Home and community care	5
Hospital	4
Mixed	1
Geographical location	
Urban	9
Regional/rural	5
Workplace environment	
Secular	6
Faith based	7
Mixed	1
Nature of organisation	
Public	5
Private	3
Mixed	6

Reasons not to participate in VAD

Despite their disagreement on VAD as a form of care, most participants indicated they would not want to participate in assessment of eligibility and/or provision of drugs were it to become legal in Queensland: of the 14 participants, only 2 indicated that they are willing to participate in these practices, 10 said they would not be involved with 2 remaining undecided. Many agreed VAD should be implemented by a separate or special team. Perception of role incompatibility or conflict was the most common reason given for not participating for those who conceived VAD and palliative care as incompatible:

I don't think I should, as a palliative care nurse, do that, full stop ... palliative care nurses as such in the palliative care setting, shouldn't be in that position where they assess the patient. No way. (PW6, a community nurse)

Lack of skills and knowledge of making VAD assessment/decision, feeling uncomfortable, fear of legal risk or stigma were other reasons put forward by the participants to explain their decision not to practise VAD.

Perceived challenges and moral conflicts at work

Participants anticipated that legalisation of VAD would have a profound impact on palliative care. But when asked to what extent this change in legislation may affect their daily work and responsibility, many described the impact or change as minimal in their initial response to the question. Such a response is common among participants who said they would not participate in VAD or that the institution they worked in will have opted out of the practice if it becomes legal:

... our hospitals are Catholic hospitals, and it will be made quite clear that VAD will not, does not happen in this hospital. Even if it did, I would be a conscientious objector ... it would not affect my practice. (PW3, a hospital physician)

But as the interview went on, many participants showed awareness of and expressed concerns about various uncertainties or distress that VAD may bring into their work. As PW7 (a community nurse), who is working in a faith-based organisation that will opt out of the practice of VAD, remarked: 'How do you deal with it as a palliative care service if you're not involved in it, but you're kind of forced to be almost?'

The uncertainties and moral distress the participants reported during the interview fall into five domains:

Handling requests or conversations

Participants expected an increase in requests to discuss VAD should it become legal in Queensland. Many believed they should not avoid the topic or 'refuse to talk about anything the patient wants to talk about or at least hear them and be sympathetic' (PW8, a community nurse). Many expressed doubts and concerns about how to handle such a conversation properly: should they steer the talk into a palliative care scenario, or should they refer the patient to someone else? The matter became even more complicated when one considered the restrictions imposed by some VAD laws on initiating conversations with patients. As PW4 (a hospital physician) explained the challenges involved:

How do you explore that in a way that doesn't implicate you because you're not allowed to say about VAD first? So how do you explore someone's desire for death without implicating yourself by bringing it up because then you can be, I think you can be convicted or fined in any way for being the person who brings it up first with the patient.

Assessing patient capacity

Participants were uncertain about evaluating the ability of the patient to make a VAD request or choice. Not only was

this assessment complicated and controversial, but the results may also be challenged by the family or relatives at a later stage:

... in the same way many people challenge [a] will [based on mental] capacity after someone died, some relative could say, you know they were actually confused and stuff happening. So, I think that the stakes are so high when you're talking about voluntary assisted dying... I think that's a very scary thing to me. (PW4, a hospital physician)

There was also a concern about the ability of the patient to complete VAD and how this may implicate the palliative care worker in a VAD attempt. As PW9 (a hospital nurse) observed:

My dilemma is that they have capacity when they initiate but then if they deteriorate quickly, then what happens if the process [request access to VAD medication] is almost complete and they are not capable of doing it for themselves, then what happens? Will someone intervene or the process just finishes. That bothers me a bit that someone may feel obligated.

Arranging patient transfer and logistical issues

Participants were very worried about issues related to continuity of care that might arise because of a VAD request. For example, PW7 (a community nurse) outlined a concern as someone who carries out palliative care in a community setting: 'What do we do if a patient has gone through with VAD [application/assessment], who is a community palliative care patient? Are they still a community palliative care patient?' Many participants expressed a similar sentiment, namely, once a person chooses VAD, do they stop being a palliative care patient?

A related concern arises from the potential need to refer or transfer patients out of the present facility if it does not offer VAD for religious or logistical reasons. Besides wondering who they should refer the patient on to, the participants also expressed deep concern about the lack of continuity of care, which they would view as a professional failure as a palliative care specialist. PW1 (a hospice nurse) with more than 15 years of experience explained:

... it's not just the occupancy and the statistical things that would change, it would impact us professionally as healthcare workers, where you start to question, have you failed that person, have you provided them with enough information.

Participants were also uncertain about how and where VAD would take place and the logistical arrangements required to ensure a safe VAD programme:

If this had happened in hospital, I mean, do you need a separate ward? It would be abhorrent to think that it would be undertaken in a four-bed ward, for instance. You know, how would it work practically?

What about junior staff? Are junior staff obliged to look after people who are being euthanised? (PW3, a hospital physician)

Managing unsuccessful VAD attempts

A few participants pointed out that, like all medical procedures, there is a possible risk that the VAD attempt may fail, and this will leave the palliative care worker in a very delicate situation: 'You know, what happens then? Do you look after those patients? Do they go to ICU [intensive care unit]? Do you just leave them at home to suffer?' (PW3, a hospital physician) Or, what if the patient 'ends up with some complication where they are no longer able to even give consent to [another VAD attempt]?' (PW2, a hospital physician). Facing such problems in the workplace, as PW8 (a community nurse) admitted, will be 'really distressing for everyone concerned and then I guess we would be involved in some way looking after that person [after the unsuccessful attempt]'.

Dealing with team conflict and stigma

Participants perceived VAD as a controversial issue, and many were worried about possible resulting individual and/or team conflicts:

... there would be conflicts between professionals, you know, those who did and those who didn't. We all work in teams and the thought that some members of your team would be involved in this and some were not, it would be I can imagine great inter-professional conflict. (PW3, a hospital physician)

Given VAD is a matter loaded with deep-rooted personal, moral and religious views, participants were worried that the usual conflict resolution strategies will be ineffective in resolving these differences or clashes. Appeals to allegedly scientific evidence may also prove futile. As one participant observed, 'We'll be asking somebody to change their moral framework, their ethical framework or doing something that might contradict that, and so I suspect that would be very difficult' (PW13, a hospice nurse).

Some participants also reported their fear of social stigma surrounding those healthcare workers supporting voluntary assisted dying or the special team which will handle most of the VAD cases. These individuals or teams were at greater risk of being labelled as 'pariahs or Dr Death or something like that' (PW14, a community nurse) and isolated.

Anxiety and emotional distress

The prospect of engaging in VAD practice has taken a personal toll on the participants. Some described the experience of anxiety and struggle in making sense of the practice. As PW1 (a hospice nurse) observed:

I know that it's something that I'll take home with me and think about a lot because essentially it's similar to someone practising suicide, and although I don't



make judgements, I think I will always question if I failed as a nurse in caring for that person... I think I would genuinely struggle if it does come to pass and our facility facilitates that where I have to be involved.

PW11 (a residential nurse) was concerned about the psychological burden and emotional impact on healthcare workers of practising VAD:

This is dangerous work, mentally and in our hearts and people think by going with the right intention I'm doing this to relieve people's suffering. Well, that may be the first time, but the tenth time it starts, you start to feel a burden, like never before.

Concerns about emotional distress associated with the practice of VAD extended beyond those healthcare workers who directly provide the service. Participants worried that the death of a patient choosing VAD will also affect other professionals involved in the care of this patient. As PW7 (a community nurse) explained:

... if they have a patient who is on the palliative care service that chooses this, [it] is going to [have] hugely emotional impact on the clinicians having to deal with this. I think if a patient goes through with it and they do it at home under a palliative care service I think that's going to be really hard.... It can be quite ... it might be quite traumatic.

Training needs and support

Participants believed they were not prepared for the coming of VAD in Queensland. Some admitted they have not thought through or discussed the issue with their colleagues. PW5 (a hospice physician) explained the difficulties involved:

The majority [of healthcare care workers] will be less clear in their own minds about what approach to take until the legislation is through, until it's clear what's being asked of palliative care physicians working with other clinicians. It's quite difficult for people to be able to articulate exactly what their position is.

Participants agreed a lot of work needed to be done to prepare them for the challenges of VAD coming into Queensland. They highlighted areas where training and education are required. Top of the list was the need for information on the framework, structure and regulations of VAD practice. Participants wanted to clearly understand the process, pathway and their responsibility in VAD in Queensland.

Another area of training highlighted by the participants was the need for additional communication and assessment skill training that target VAD requests and dealing with the families on this matter. Participants suggested training for VAD be part of continuing education and training provision.

A few participants also stressed the need for further funding and support for basic palliative care training in

Queensland, highlighting the inadequacy of training in palliative care:

They certainly don't have enough training in palliative care. I used to train the medical students at [a local university]. They used to get four hours of palliative care training in their career. Now, I don't think they get any! How is it fair if they ever did get training in euthanasia but never get training in palliative care and end-of-life care? (PW3, a hospital physician)

Besides the need for information, guidelines and clinical skills, participants also emphasised the importance of resilience training and support to deal with the emotional and spiritual impacts of VAD, especially for those healthcare workers directly involved in the provision of the service. Many praised the value of a team or peer approach and collegial support in maintaining and improving psychological well-being of palliative care workers. But PW12 (a hospital nurse) was worried that such support networks are difficult to cultivate in VAD practice: 'You have to work in teams. In some ways, it's the complete opposite of the approach of the VAD, which will come out, which will be not being able to deal with helplessness and not dealing in a team.'

DISCUSSION

This study interviewed 14 PHCPs in Queensland to investigate their perception of VAD practice and possible challenges, uncertainties and moral distress prior to the legalisation of this practice in this jurisdiction.

In line with findings of previous research on PHCPs in Belgium⁵ and Canada,^{2 3 6} where assisted suicide was already legal, this study in Queensland found that many PHCPs did not think VAD should be part of palliative care. Regardless of their view on the relationship between VAD and palliative care, there was a general reluctance among the participants to provide VAD. Yet, these expectations of staying away from the practice may be unrealistic. While PHCPs in Queensland may not have to actively provide VAD, they likely cannot avoid anything to do with the practice given the complexities of transfer and logistical arrangements as reported above.

Although the lack of information and direct experience might make the challenges look less 'personal' to some participants at first sight, most associated the practice of VAD with moral uncertainties, tensions and distress. They worried that once VAD is legalised in Queensland, it would create new practical, professional and moral challenges to their daily work, whether they are directly involved in its implementation or not. So, given that complete avoidance of VAD is unlikely, education and support to relieve the moral distress and uncertainty identified in the study are necessary, and need to go beyond simple procedural measures.

Participants identified five domains of concerns: handling requests, assessing patient capacity, arranging patient transfers and logistical issues, managing

unsuccessful attempts, and dealing with team conflicts and stigma. Similar concerns have also been identified in recent studies in North America^{4 16} and systematic reviews on PHCPs providing VAD.^{8 17} Findings of this study have highlighted and elaborated in specific details the worries around assessing patient capacity, arranging patient transfer and logistical issues, and managing unsuccessful VAD attempts.

Participants of this study felt uncertainty and distress at having to face these challenges at work. Some of the concern came from the uncertainty about exactly how the law would operate and what procedures would need to be followed. This is to be expected in a pre-legislation context. In post-legislation contexts, where many of these rules are clear and procedures are in place, the uncertainty has been alleviated. For example, the Queensland VAD Act, which was passed after data collection of this study was completed, does not prohibit PHCPs from initiating conversations about VAD, and so alleviates the uncertainty expressed by PW4 related to handling requests.

Other distresses the participants described, however, were linked to value conflicts or institutional constraints that might prevent them from following what they believe to be the morally right course.⁹ Participants were worried that the legalisation of VAD may alter the nature of care they provided or the relationship with the patients they cherished—that they must terminate care and transfer the patient elsewhere or deny the patients the opportunity to experience all palliative care options available. This fear of failing the patient or breaching the personal duty of care and/or core principles of palliative care remains an important source of distress for many participants in this study. Similar concerns or anxieties were also reported in studies conducted in contexts where VAD is already underway.^{5–7 17} The persistence of these worries and fear post-legislation indicates that such concerns will not disappear with time or be alleviated by clearly written laws or practice guidelines.

Opposition to VAD is often associated with religious world views, but recent studies on factors influencing participation in assisted dying reveal that a simple dichotomy between religious opposition versus secular support is overly simplistic and not representative of PHCPs' concerns.^{7 18 19} Our findings highlighted a range of practical or personal considerations not directly linked to religious convictions, such as lack of professional competence/knowledge and negative emotions or fear of legal risk and stigma as reasons for non-participation in provision of VAD. Also, this was distinct from whether or not they supported the practice in principle. The practical realities and moral complexities of concrete situations will make it difficult in practice for PHCPs to reduce the question of participation in VAD to a simple yes/no matter. Even for those working in faith-based institutions, which would be expected to object to VAD on their premises, PHCPs may continue to encounter situations where their moral or professional convictions will be challenged.

This study has several limitations. The participants were self-selected volunteers and this may be a source of bias. A related limitation is that the sample of nurses and physicians may not represent the entire spectrum of the PHCP population in Queensland and this restricts the generalisability of the findings from the study. Finally, this study collected data only at the time prior to VAD legislation in Queensland. Given assisted dying is a rapidly developing topic, we recommend follow-up or comparative research to track changes in the needs of PHCPs and their perception of the practice over time.

CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

This study revealed that PHCPs in Queensland are divided in their perception of VAD and the prospect of engaging in such practice is a cause of anxiety. That similar challenges had also been reported by PHCPs working in jurisdictions that legalised VAD^{2–6 8 16 17} indicates that legislation and procedural regulation alone will not solve all these problems. There is a need to address them through multifaceted support to palliative and hospice care professionals. Findings of this study, especially those on perception of training needs and support, help pinpoint important areas where action and intervention are most needed.

In terms of resources and support:

- ▶ Clear clinical guidelines, and legal and policy frameworks should be provided and explained to organisations and care providers.
- ▶ Given the likelihood that PHCPs will encounter VAD even if they do not want to participate, PHCPs should be provided with access to ongoing training on communication and assessment skills related to VAD, regardless of their willingness to be involved. This will facilitate communication not only with patients but also with other practitioners, including those actively involved in VAD.
- ▶ Given the potential impacts of VAD on psychological well-being of PHCPs, including those who implement VAD, these workers should be provided with mental and emotional health support via peer-based networks and/or professional services.

Although clinical guidelines, skills and logistical support can help reduce uncertainties associated with VAD, they are unlikely to resolve moral distress that results from fundamental value conflicts or constraints. Given the strong normative valence associated with assisted dying, VAD will remain a contested concept that continues to arouse uncertainties and distress among PHCPs. As Charlie Kurth²⁰ has succinctly argued, the feeling of moral anxiety is not only an adverse emotional response but also a motivator for ethical deliberation and critical reflection, which are quintessential for moral agency and integrity. Moral distress around VAD thus might be a good thing for society, as it opens up an opportunity for ethical reflection and development at both the individual and organisational level.



Acknowledgements The authors want to thank all the palliative and hospice care providers for their participation in this study. They also want to thank Ann Heath for listening to the recordings of the interviews and checking the transcripts for errors.

Contributors DGK, as the principal investigator, is the guarantor, acquired the financial support and oversaw the direction and planning of the project. The three authors conceived and designed the study and interview guide together. C-WL conducted the interview and undertook the preliminary thematic analysis. DGK and AH reviewed the coding and interpretation of the data. DGK and C-WL prepared a draft manuscript and all three authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by the QBC. The operations of the QBC are supported by donations from the Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Brisbane and Catholic health and aged care agencies operating in Queensland.

Disclaimer All opinions in this manuscript belong to the authors alone, and not the institutions mentioned in Funding.

Competing interests DGK and C-WL are employees of the Queensland Bioethics Centre (QBC) at Australian Catholic University.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by the Australian Catholic University Human Research Ethics Committee (ref. 2019-108H). Written informed consent was obtained from the participants before the interview.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. The semistructured interview guide and the self-administered form for the collection of demographic information and work experience are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to them containing information that could compromise research participant privacy/consent. Please contact the corresponding author if you have questions about the availability of the materials.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

David G Kirchhoffer <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2902-2513>

Chi-Wai Lui <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4482-0396>

Anita Ho <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9797-1326>

REFERENCES

- 1 Mroz S, Dierickx S, Deliens L, *et al*. Assisted dying around the world: a status quaestio. *Ann Palliat Med* 2021;10:3540–53.
- 2 Koksvik G. Practical and ethical complexities of MAID: examples from Quebec. *Wellcome Open Res* 2020;5:227.
- 3 Freeman S, Banner D, Ward V. Hospice care providers experiences of grappling with medical assistance in dying in a hospice setting: a qualitative descriptive study. *BMC Palliat Care* 2021;20:55.
- 4 Ho A, Joolae S, Jameson K, *et al*. The seismic shift in end-of-life care: palliative care challenges in the era of medical assistance in dying. *J Palliat Med* 2021;24:189–94.
- 5 Bellens M, Debieu E, Claessens F, *et al*. "it is still intense and not unambiguous." nur'es' experiences in the euthanasia care process 15 years after legalisation. *J Clin Nurs* 2020;29:492–502.
- 6 Pesut B, Thorne S, Storch J, *et al*. Riding an elephant: a qualitative study of nurses' moral journeys in the context of medical assistance in dying (MAID). *J Clin Nurs* 2020;29:3870–81.
- 7 Wright DK, Chan LS, Fishman JR, *et al*. "reflection and soul searchi""": negotiating nursing identity at the fault lines of palliative care and medical assistance in dying. *Soc Sci Med* 2021;289:114366.
- 8 Kelly B, Handley T, Kissane D, *et al*. "an indelible mark" the response to participation in euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide among doctors: a review of research findings. *Palliat Support Care* 2020;18:82–8.
- 9 Dorman JD, Raffin Bouchal S. Moral distress and moral uncertainty in medical assistance in dying: a simultaneous evolutionary concept analysis. *Nurs Forum* 2020;55:320–30.
- 10 Duckett S. The long and winding road to assisted dying in Australia. *Aust J Soc Issues* 2019;54:386–400.
- 11 Kirchhoffer DG, Lui C-W. Public Reasoning about voluntary assisted dying: an analysis of submissions to the Queensland Parliament, Australia. *Bioethics* 2021;35:105–16.
- 12 Queensland Parliament. *Voluntary assisted dying: findings and recommendations. Report no.34*. Brisbane: Health, Communities, Disability Services and Domestic and Family Violence Prevention Committee, 2020.
- 13 The Parliament of Queensland. *Voluntary assisted dying bill 2021*. Brisbane: Queensland Law Reform Commission, 2021.
- 14 Mason J. *Qualitative researching*. Third Edition. London: Sage, 2018.
- 15 Corbin J, Strauss A. *Basics of qualitative research: techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory*. Fourth Edition. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage, 2015.
- 16 Mathews JJ, Hausner D, Avery J, *et al*. Impact of medical assistance in dying on palliative care: a qualitative study. *Palliat Med* 2021;35:447–54.
- 17 Patel T, Christy K, Grierson L, *et al*. Clinician responses to legal requests for hastened death: a systematic review and meta-synthesis of qualitative research. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2021;11:59–67.
- 18 Brown J, Goodridge D, Thorpe L, *et al*. "what is right for me, is not necessarily right for you": the endogenous factors influencing Nonparticipation in medical assistance in dying. *Qual Health Res* 2021;31:1786–800.
- 19 Haining CM, Keogh LA, Gillam LH. Understanding the reasons behind healthcare providers' conscientious objection to voluntary assisted dying in Victoria, Australia. *J Bioeth Inq* 2021;18:277–89.
- 20 Kurth C. Moral anxiety and moral agency. In: Timmons M, ed. *Oxford studies in normative ethics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015: Vol 5. 171–95.



Perceived Moral Challenges and Concerns in Providing Voluntary Assisted Dying: A Qualitative Study of Palliative and Hospice Care Providers in Queensland

Interview Schedule

Introduction

Given voluntary assisted dying (VAD) may become a legal option in Queensland in the future, we would like to hear your thoughts and concerns about providing (or being involved in providing) VAD in daily professional practice.

Sequence of contacts with respondent

1. Step 1
 - Standard greetings
 - Introduction of interviewer
2. Step 2
 - Reason for visit
 - Aim and objectives of the research project
 - Permission for interview and recording
 - Confidentiality of conversation and data
3. Step 3
 - The interview
4. Step 4
 - Thank you and exit



Interview Questions

1. We would like to hear a bit about your general experience engaging in end of life care discussions and providing end of life care in your current practice.

- a. Can you tell me about an experience you have had with a patient at your work place explicitly asking for help to actively end his or her life?

Prompts:

- i. Who initiated the conversation, and how did the issue come up?
 - ii. Known or suspected reasons for the patient's inquiry?
 - iii. Were there any particular circumstances (say health, financial or family situation) that may have prompted such a request?
 - iv. Family's responses/engagement in the process?
 - v. What is your approach to this conversation (e.g. questions you ask/ topics you explore, resources you use or refer to)?
 - vi. Who else was involved in any treatment, referral or assessment of the patient? How was this helpful or a hindrance?
 - vii. What were some surprising things about this or similar situations?
 - viii. Your personal thoughts about the patient's thinking processes in making such a request?
 - ix. Has the legalization of VAD in Victoria and the parliamentary inquiry into VAD in Queensland changed the frequency or nature of these kinds of requests in comparison with your earlier experience?
- b. What are the most positive aspects of your experiences in caring for people at the end of life? (e.g., personal strengths, institutional/systemic resources)
- c. Do you find anything particularly challenging, morally speaking (for you, the team involved, or the patient/their family), in relation to your current practice and the current legal frameworks?



- d. How may your personal background or characteristics (e.g., cultural and educational backgrounds, professional role, personal history) influence how you manage such requests and/or care for these patients? In what ways?
 - e. Has your professional education/training provided you with the skills and resources to deal with these situations? In what ways?
 - f. Have you encountered situations that have raised inter-professional team conflict/disagreement related to how such requests should be handled in your current practice?
2. We would like to hear your thoughts regarding voluntary assisted dying and its potential impacts on palliative and end-of-life care.
- a. What do you understand by the term/practice ‘voluntary assisted dying’?
Prompts:
 - i. How do you understand voluntariness?
 - ii. What kind of ‘assistance’ might it involve?
 - iii. How do think it differs from your current practice?
 - b. What effect do you think the legalization of VAD would have on your role and responsibilities as a Palliative or Hospice Care Provider? How would you compare your roles and responsibilities are now with what they might be if VAD were legalized?
Prompt:

How would working a context in which VAD is legal change the way you dealt with the kinds of situations and experiences we were talking about earlier from your current practice?

Reminders (Do Not Prompt):
 - i. Would VAD have any impact on who initiates EOL conversations, how you or your colleagues engage in EOL discussions?
 - ii. Providing consultation



- iii. Providing support (patient and/or family)
- iv. Assessing eligibility
- v. Prescribing/administrating the medication
- vi. Reporting the case

3. Some people believe VAD is another clinical tool or care management plan for patients at the EOL, whereas others think that they are in different categories. What are your thoughts about VAD in that regard?

- a. What are the potential challenges and moral issues you think you may encounter if VAD were legalised in Queensland?

Reminders (Do Not Prompt):

- i. Use elaboration prompts with morally distressing or ethically challenging issues. Use the Victorian model in case there is a need to specify contexts of VAD practice.
 - ii. Absence of guidelines
 - iii. Role ambiguity
 - iv. Lack of inter-professional collaboration, team conflict/disagreement
 - v. Conscientious objection
 - vi. Ensuring safeguards are in place
 - vii. Evaluating eligibility, capacity, and consent
 - viii. Lack of knowledge/training
 - ix. Emotional impact, sense making, moral distress
 - x. 'Initiate' discussion, handling request
 - xi. Mandatory notification
- b. When you first heard about Voluntary Assisted Dying possibly becoming a legal option in Queensland, what came to your mind regarding your role as a Palliative or Hospice Care Provider?
 - c. Given Queensland is a large and diverse state, do you think there are any



specific challenges that arise for regional and rural communities?

- d. If VAD were made a legal option in Queensland, and given your reflections on how this might affect your role, do you think your professional education/training has provided you with the skills and resources to navigate the challenges that you've identified? How?
- e. Are there additional resources or training that may help prepare and support you to tackle the challenges that may come with the legalisation of VAD in Queensland?
- f. If VAD were to become legal in Queensland, would you consider becoming an assessor or provider? Why?
- g. What are some areas of research regarding VAD and palliative/hospice care that you think should be explored further?

Exit

This is the end of the interview. Thank you very much for your contribution. Before we stop, is there anything else about VAD that you would like to share with us?



Self-administered Form

Socio-demographic Information and Work Experience

Name of Participant:

1. Gender (self-identify):
2. Age:
3. Country of Birth:
4. Years lived in Australia:
5. Religious identity (if any):
6. What is your highest achieved level of education:
7. Country or countries you received your training:
8. How many years have you been in palliative/hospice care:
9. Current role/occupation in palliative and end-of-life care:
10. Primary place of work:
 - Residential care facility
 - Acute care/Hospital
 - Home and community care
 - Hospice
 - Others _____



11. Which of these describe your current place of work (Tick as many as are relevant):

- Faith-based
- Secular

12. Which of these describe the setting of your current place of work (Tick as many as are relevant):

- Public
- Private

13. Which of these describe the geographical location of your current place of work (Tick as many as are relevant):

- Rural
- Regional
- Urban

14. How long have you been at your current institution?

15. Do you have any overseas training or experience in providing VAD? (If yes, please elaborate)



Perceived Moral Challenges and Concerns in Providing Voluntary Assisted Dying: A Qualitative Study of Palliative and Hospice Care Providers in Queensland

Interview Schedule

Introduction

Given voluntary assisted dying (VAD) may become a legal option in Queensland in the future, we would like to hear your thoughts and concerns about providing (or being involved in providing) VAD in daily professional practice.

Sequence of contacts with respondent

1. Step 1
 - Standard greetings
 - Introduction of interviewer
2. Step 2
 - Reason for visit
 - Aim and objectives of the research project
 - Permission for interview and recording
 - Confidentiality of conversation and data
3. Step 3
 - The interview
4. Step 4
 - Thank you and exit



Interview Questions

1. We would like to hear a bit about your general experience engaging in end of life care discussions and providing end of life care in your current practice.
 - a. Can you tell me about an experience you have had with a patient at your work place explicitly asking for help to actively end his or her life?

Prompts:

 - i. Who initiated the conversation, and how did the issue come up?
 - ii. Known or suspected reasons for the patient's inquiry?
 - iii. Were there any particular circumstances (say health, financial or family situation) that may have prompted such a request?
 - iv. Family's responses/engagement in the process?
 - v. What is your approach to this conversation (e.g. questions you ask/ topics you explore, resources you use or refer to)?
 - vi. Who else was involved in any treatment, referral or assessment of the patient? How was this helpful or a hindrance?
 - vii. What were some surprising things about this or similar situations?
 - viii. Your personal thoughts about the patient's thinking processes in making such a request?
 - ix. Has the legalization of VAD in Victoria and the parliamentary inquiry into VAD in Queensland changed the frequency or nature of these kinds of requests in comparison with your earlier experience?
 - b. What are the most positive aspects of your experiences in caring for people at the end of life? (e.g., personal strengths, institutional/systemic resources)
 - c. Do you find anything particularly challenging, morally speaking (for you, the team involved, or the patient/their family), in relation to your current practice and the current legal frameworks?



- d. How may your personal background or characteristics (e.g., cultural and educational backgrounds, professional role, personal history) influence how you manage such requests and/or care for these patients? In what ways?
 - e. Has your professional education/training provided you with the skills and resources to deal with these situations? In what ways?
 - f. Have you encountered situations that have raised inter-professional team conflict/disagreement related to how such requests should be handled in your current practice?
2. We would like to hear your thoughts regarding voluntary assisted dying and its potential impacts on palliative and end-of-life care.
- a. What do you understand by the term/practice ‘voluntary assisted dying’?
Prompts:
 - i. How do you understand voluntariness?
 - ii. What kind of ‘assistance’ might it involve?
 - iii. How do think it differs from your current practice?
 - b. What effect do you think the legalization of VAD would have on your role and responsibilities as a Palliative or Hospice Care Provider? How would you compare your roles and responsibilities are now with what they might be if VAD were legalized?
Prompt:

How would working a context in which VAD is legal change the way you dealt with the kinds of situations and experiences we were talking about earlier from your current practice?

Reminders (Do Not Prompt):
 - i. Would VAD have any impact on who initiates EOL conversations, how you or your colleagues engage in EOL discussions?
 - ii. Providing consultation



- iii. Providing support (patient and/or family)
- iv. Assessing eligibility
- v. Prescribing/administrating the medication
- vi. Reporting the case

3. Some people believe VAD is another clinical tool or care management plan for patients at the EOL, whereas others think that they are in different categories. What are your thoughts about VAD in that regard?

- a. What are the potential challenges and moral issues you think you may encounter if VAD were legalised in Queensland?

Reminders (Do Not Prompt):

- i. Use elaboration prompts with morally distressing or ethically challenging issues. Use the Victorian model in case there is a need to specify contexts of VAD practice.
 - ii. Absence of guidelines
 - iii. Role ambiguity
 - iv. Lack of inter-professional collaboration, team conflict/disagreement
 - v. Conscientious objection
 - vi. Ensuring safeguards are in place
 - vii. Evaluating eligibility, capacity, and consent
 - viii. Lack of knowledge/training
 - ix. Emotional impact, sense making, moral distress
 - x. 'Initiate' discussion, handling request
 - xi. Mandatory notification
- b. When you first heard about Voluntary Assisted Dying possibly becoming a legal option in Queensland, what came to your mind regarding your role as a Palliative or Hospice Care Provider?
 - c. Given Queensland is a large and diverse state, do you think there are any



specific challenges that arise for regional and rural communities?

- d. If VAD were made a legal option in Queensland, and given your reflections on how this might affect your role, do you think your professional education/training has provided you with the skills and resources to navigate the challenges that you've identified? How?
- e. Are there additional resources or training that may help prepare and support you to tackle the challenges that may come with the legalisation of VAD in Queensland?
- f. If VAD were to become legal in Queensland, would you consider becoming an assessor or provider? Why?
- g. What are some areas of research regarding VAD and palliative/hospice care that you think should be explored further?

Exit

This is the end of the interview. Thank you very much for your contribution. Before we stop, is there anything else about VAD that you would like to share with us?



Self-administered Form

Socio-demographic Information and Work Experience

Name of Participant:

1. Gender (self-identify):
2. Age:
3. Country of Birth:
4. Years lived in Australia:
5. Religious identity (if any):
6. What is your highest achieved level of education:
7. Country or countries you received your training:
8. How many years have you been in palliative/hospice care:
9. Current role/occupation in palliative and end-of-life care:
10. Primary place of work:
 - Residential care facility
 - Acute care/Hospital
 - Home and community care
 - Hospice
 - Others _____



11. Which of these describe your current place of work (Tick as many as are relevant):
- Faith-based
 - Secular
12. Which of these describe the setting of your current place of work (Tick as many as are relevant):
- Public
 - Private
13. Which of these describe the geographical location of your current place of work (Tick as many as are relevant):
- Rural
 - Regional
 - Urban
14. How long have you been at your current institution?
15. Do you have any overseas training or experience in providing VAD? (If yes, please elaborate)