Predicting functional outcomes after stroke : An observational study of acute single-channel EEG

Rogers, Jeffrey M., Middleton, Sandy, Wilson, Peter H. and Johnstone, Stuart J.

1

Predicting functional outcomes after stroke: An observational study of acute single-channel EEG

4

Jeffrey M. Rogers[a]**, Sandy Middleton**[b]**, Peter H. Wilson**[c]**, and Stuart J. Johnstone**[d]

[a]Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Sydney, NSW, Australia. ORCID 0000-0002-0320-969X

[b]Nursing Research Institute, St Vincent's Health Australia and Australian Catholic University, Sydney NSW, Australia. ORCID 0000-0002-7201-4394

[c]School of Behavioural and Health Sciences and Centre for Disability and Development Research, Australian Catholic University, Melbourne VIC, Australia. ORCID: 0000-0003-3747-0287

[d]School of Psychology and Brain & Behaviour Research Institute, University of Wollongong, Wollongong NSW, Australia. ORCID 0000-0001-5380-9952

Corresponding author: Jeffrey M Rogers, Faculty of Health Science, University of Sydney, Sydney NSW 2006, Australia. Tel.: +61 2 9351 9261; E-mail address: jeffrey.rogers@sydney.edu.au.

Word Count: 245 Abstract; 2,800 Body

1   **ABSTRACT**

2   **Background:** Early and objective prediction of functional outcome after stroke is an

3   important issue in rehabilitation. Electroencephalography (EEG) has long been utilized to

4   describe and monitor brain function following neuro-trauma, and technological advances

5   have improved usability in the acute setting. However, skepticism persists whether EEG can

6   provide the same prognostic value as neurological examination.

7   **Objective:** The current cohort study examined the relationship between acute single-channel

8   EEG and functional outcomes after stroke.

9   **Methods:** Resting-state EEG recorded at a single left pre-frontal EEG channel (FP1) was

10 obtained from 16 adults within 72 hours of first stroke. At 30- and 90-days, measures of

11 disability (modified Rankin Scale; mRS) and involvement in daily activities (modified

12 Barthel Index; mBI) were obtained. Acute EEG measures were correlated with functional

13 outcomes and compared to an early neurological examination of stroke severity using the

14 National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS). Classification of *good* outcomes (mRS ≤1

15 or mBI ≥95) were also examined using Receiver Operator Curve analyses.

16 **Results:** One-third to one-half of participants experienced incomplete post-stroke recovery,

17 depending on the time point and measure. Functional outcomes correlated with acute theta

18 values (r_s 0.45-0.60), with the strength of associations equivalent to previously reported

19 values obtained from conventional multi-channel systems. Acute theta values ≥0.25 were

20 associated with *good* outcomes, with positive (67-83%) and negative predictive values (70-

21 90%) comparable to those obtained using the NIHSS.

22 **Conclusions:** Acute, single-channel EEG can provide unique, non-overlapping clinical

23 information, which may facilitate objective prediction of functional outcome after stroke.

24

25 **Key words:** activities of daily living; cerebrovascular disease; disability; outcomes;

26 prognosis; stroke; quantitative electroencephalogram

1   **INTRODUCTION**

2   Stroke is associated with immediate brain changes resulting from the suppression of

3   oxygen and glucose supply, including a biochemical cascade that can lead to cell death and

4   cerebral infarction.[1-3] Electroencephalography (EEG) is sensitive to the effects of these acute

5   changes in cerebral blood flow[4,5] and neural metabolism.[6,7] Such changes can be identified

6   through the disruption or deterioration of normal electrical activity within the four classical

7   frequency bands: delta, theta, alpha, and beta. Delta and theta are primarily associated with a

8   low state of arousal, and a prominence of these slow frequency waves is reported in

9   individuals with neurological disease or injury.[8] Faster frequency alpha waves are associated

10   with a state of relaxation and readiness, while beta waves mainly occur when an individual is

11   actively engaged in mental effort.[8] In particular, EEG obtained in the acute stage after stroke

12   (i.e. <72 hours) is often associated with the rapid appearance of slow delta frequency waves

13   and attenuation of faster alpha frequency activity.[9-13]

14   Acute post-stroke EEG has demonstrated prognostic value, strongly correlating with

15   30-[9,10] and 90-day[12-16] mortality and morbidity. EEG measurements derived from frontal

16   electrodes may be particularly sensitive predictors of clinical outcome,[14] suggesting an

17   alternative to conventional multi-electrode arrays, with lengthy set-up times that are not well

18   tolerated by acute neurological patients.[17,18] Correspondingly, a single-channel, prefrontal

19   EEG system, offering rapid fitting and calibration procedures, is capable of detecting

20   associations between acute brain states and later cognitive performance,[19] and in

21   discriminating various forms of ischemia.[20] However, the utility of single-channel EEG in

22   predicting post-stroke functional outcomes remains unclear.

23   Early and accurate prediction of functional outcomes is important to support patients

24   and their families, and guide rehabilitation planning.[21] The aim of the current study was to

25   examine the prognostic value of acute, single-channel EEG with respect to 30- and 90-day

1 functional outcome. It was hypothesized that a single prefrontal channel of EEG data

2 collected within 72-hours of a first stroke would correlate with standardized scales of

3 disability (modified Rankin Scale; mRS) and daily living (modified Barthel Index; mBI),

4 commonly used to assess stroke outcomes.[22,23] The predictive strength of acute EEG was

5 expected to be comparable to that of a gold-standard stroke severity prognosis tool, the

6 National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS).[24]

7

8 **MATERIALS & METHODS**

9 **Participants**

10   From July 2014 to December 2015, 16 consecutive patients admitted to the acute

11 stroke ward of a tertiary hospital in Sydney, Australia were recruited for participation.

12 Patients admitted within 72-hours of a first-ever stroke were eligible for participation. Time

13 of stroke onset was defined as the last time the participant was seen without stroke symptoms,

14 as indicated in the medical records. Exclusion criteria included a previous history of

15 neurological or psychiatric disorder, non-English speaking, or <18 years of age.

16

17 **Outcome measures**

18   Baseline stroke severity was quantified with the NIHSS,[24] an 11-item scale assessing

19 level of consciousness, visual, motor, sensory, and language function. Higher scores (max =

20 42) indicate more severe symptoms. The mRS and mBI were collected to monitor post-

21 stroke functional outcomes. The mRS[25] measures global disability on a scale of 0 (no

22 symptoms) to 6 (deceased), with higher scores reflecting poorer outcome. The mBI[26]

23 assesses 10 domains of daily living (bowel control, bladder control, grooming, toilet use,

24 feeding, transfers, walking, dressing, climbing stairs, and bathing), with lower scores (range

25 0-100) indicative of greater dependence.

1   **EEG data acquisition and analysis**

2   Continuous EEG was obtained with the MindSet device (NeuroSky, San Jose,

3   California), a single-channel, wireless headset with demonstrated concurrent validity[18] and

4   re-test reliability.[27] The MindSet device (Figure 1) consists of a ThinkGear microchip and

5   firmware, 10 mm dry stainless steel active electrode, and material reference and ground

6   electrodes contained within a set of headphones. The reference and ground electrodes are

7   housed within the left ear pad, while the EEG electrode is embedded in a flexible arm

8   extending from the left headband, positioned at the International 10–20 system site FP1.

9   Electrical potentials at the active and reference electrodes are subtracted through common

10   mode rejection to derive a single EEG channel signal which is amplified 8000 times.

11   Sampling and amplification of the raw 128 Hz data are carried out within the embedded

12   microchip and transmitted wirelessly by Bluetooth© to a computer for recording and

13   subsequent off-line quantitative analysis.

14   Using SCAN Edit version 3 software (NeuroscanTM, USA), the raw EEG waveform

15   data was band-pass filtered (0.5-30 Hz), and manually inspected to identify any movement or

16   muscle artifact. Identified sections of artifact were excluded from further processing.

17   Remaining epochs containing amplitudes in excess of $\pm$ 100 µV were removed using an

18   artifact rejection filter included in the SCAN software. Artifact-free 4-sec EEG epochs (1/4

19   Hz resolution) were submitted to a Fast Fourier Transform with 10% Hamming window to

20   extract the absolute power in the delta (1.5–3.5 Hz), theta (3.5–7.5 Hz), alpha (7.5–12.5 Hz),

21   and beta (12.5–25 Hz) frequency bands. Relative power was calculated by summing absolute

22   power across the four bands to compute total power, and then dividing the absolute power for

23   each individual band by the total power, expressed as a percentage. EEG ratios previously

24   reported in the acute stroke literature, including delta/alpha ratio (DAR),[13] delta/theta ratio

1 (DTR),[19] and delta+theta/alpha+beta ratio (DTABR),[28] were also computed by summing and

2 dividing the relative power of the relevant frequency bands.

3

4 **Procedure**

5   The NIHSS and continuous EEG recordings were obtained at hospital bedside. After

6 minimizing signal impedance from the electrode, participants were asked to close their eyes

7 and relax for the 3-min EEG recording session. At 30- and 90-days post-ictus the mRS and

8 mBI were collected, with order counterbalanced. The research team were blind to acute EEG

9 results until analysis of the follow-up outcomes of all participants commenced. This research

10 was approved by University and Hospital Human Research Ethics Committees, and each

11 participant (or their carer/substitute decision maker) provided written informed consent. The

12 final manuscript was prepared in accordance with the 22-items of the Strengthening the

13 Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines.[29]

14

15 **Statistical analysis**

16   Spearman Rank Order Correlations (r_s) were calculated to test the relationship

17 between EEG measures and NIHSS data and the ordinal mRS, and mBI outcomes at 30- and

18 90-days. A bootstrapping method (sampled 1000 times) was used to estimate population

19 parameters and calculate 95% confidence intervals, bias corrected and accelerated (BCa).

20 One-tailed p values were selected to test directional *a priori* hypotheses. All analyses were

21 completed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows version 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y).

22 Receiver Operator Curve (ROC) analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism version 7

23 for Windows (GraphPad Software Inc, La Jolla, CA) to determine optimal EEG and NIHSS

24 criterion cut-offs for classifying post-stroke outcomes. *Good* outcomes were defined as an

25 mRS ≤1 or mBI ≥95.[22,23,30]

1   **RESULTS**

2   **Demographic and descriptive information**

3   Complete baseline and follow-up data was collected from 16 right-handed participants

4   (9 males and 7 females), with an average age of 66 ± 17 years (range 33-93 years). The

5   majority exhibited *minor* to *moderate* neurological impairment (81%), as classified by the

6   NIHSS on admission (range 0-10), and had sustained an ischemic stroke (75%). EEG was

7   obtained at bedside within the first 20-72 hours (mean 47 ± 21 hours). Participants were

8   subsequently followed up on average 37 ± 8 days and 96 ± 7 days post-ictus. See Table 1 for

9   additional demographic and baseline clinical characteristics.

10

11   **Disability and functional outcomes**

12   At 30-days, 44% of participants had a *poor* disability outcome (mRS >1) and 38%

13   had a *poor* daily functioning outcome (mBI <95). By 90-days post-stroke, the proportion

14   experiencing a *poor* disability outcome had increased to 50%. In contrast, the proportion

15   experiencing a *poor* daily functioning outcome had decreased to 31%. Baseline NIHSS

16   significantly correlated with the mRS and mBI at 30- and 90-days (Table 2), with lower

17   NIHSS scores (less neurological impairment) associated with less disability (lower mRS

18   scores) and superior daily functioning (higher mBI scores). Other demographic and clinical

19   characteristics (i.e. age, gender, years of education, stroke type, stroke hemisphere) were not

20   significantly associated ($p > 0.05$) with outcomes.

21

22   **EEG prediction of functional outcomes**

23   Relative power in the theta band significantly correlated with mRS and mBI outcomes

24   at 30- and 90-days (r_s range 0.45-0.60), with lower theta values associated with greater

25   disability (higher mRS scores) and dependence in daily activities (lower mBI scores).

1   Relative power in the delta, alpha, and beta bands, and the EEG ratios DAR, DTR, and

2   DTABR, were not correlated ($p > 0.05$) with either outcome measure at either time point

3   (Figure 2).

4   Baseline theta and NIHSS were not correlated [r_s = -0.42 (95% BCa CI -0.83 - 0.12),

5   $p = 0.11$]. For both the NIHSS and relative theta power, the strength of association with

6   outcomes diminished over the 30- to 90-day interval (Table 2). ROC analysis consistently

7   identified a theta band criterion cut-off of 0.25 as optimal for classifying post-stroke

8   outcomes (Table 3). Participants with theta values ≥0.25 were more likely to have an mRS

9   score ≤1 (*good* outcome) at 30-days ($p = 0.04$), and an mBI score ≥95 (*good* outcome) at 30-

10 ($p < 0.01$) and 90-days ($p = 0.05$). The cut-off failed to reach significance ($p = 0.09$) for 90-

11 day mRS scores. The sensitivity and specificity of the relative power theta criterion cut-off

12 was comparable to results obtained using an NIHSS cut-off score of ≤6 (Table 3).

13

14 **DISCUSSION**

15   EEG has long been available to monitor the effects of acute ischemic[1,31] and

16 hemorrhagic[32,33] stroke. However, broad adoption of EEG into acute stroke clinical care

17 remains limited,[34] and skepticism remains about whether EEG provides the same prognostic

18 value as neurological examination or imaging studies.[7,35] Recent technological advances in

19 wireless EEG have improved usability and streamlined data analysis. The current study

20 therefore examined the capability of acute, single-channel EEG biomarkers to predict the

21 effects of stroke on sub-acute functioning, compared with conventional neurological

22 assessment using the NIHSS. Results showed that for a sample of patients suffering a first

23 stroke, acute EEG provided a unique predictor of outcomes, uncorrelated with the NIHSS.

24 Insights regarding the performance of the NIHSS and EEG as possible assessment tools for

25 predicting functional outcomes after stroke are discussed, in turn, below.

Acute NIHSS Prognosis

In the current study, NIHSS scores ≤ 6 were associated with *good* mRS (≤1) and mBI (≥95) outcomes at follow-up (positive predictive power 67-100%; negative predictive power 80-90%). Higher NIHSS scores were associated with poorer functional outcomes. There is limited consensus regarding the optimal NIHSS cut-off: some reports suggest that scores up to 8-10 are associated with a more favorable outcome,[36-38] while others support thresholds as low as 2-4 after stroke.[39-41] The current threshold fits within these upper and lower bounds..

Several weaknesses of the NIHSS are evident when examining its value as a prognostic measure. First, it is not always possible to reliably assess neurological status with a behavioral examination because specific deficits are not always noticeable upon observation,[42] particularly in acute patients with minimal or fluctuating levels of consciousness. Second, as NIHSS scores are derived from behavioral observation, they are susceptible to examiner background, training, and experience.[43,44] Third, the NIHSS has been criticized for its complexity,[45] with items of poor inter-rater reliability (ataxia)[43] or questionable face validity (dysarthria).[46] Fourth, baseline NIHSS assessment may not be the optimal time point for predicting functional outcomes,[36,47,48] given variability in clinical observations over the first 24 hours after a stroke.[49,50] These weaknesses may explain the alarmingly low NIHSS completion rates (12-28%) in acute stroke settings.[51,52] Overall, the assessment validity and prognostic value of performing a neurological examination in isolation has been challenged by the view that other assessment methods and measures be included,[53] like concurrent physiological observations such as EEG.[54]

Acute EEG Prognosis

1   Capitalizing on advances in EEG hardware and software, the current study

2 demonstrated that a single pre-frontal channel of EEG can yield metrics that predict

3 functional outcomes to a level comparable to that obtained using the NIHSS. Stroke

4 survivors exhibiting acute attenuation of relative theta power subsequently demonstrated

5 greater disability and dependence at 30- and 90-days. Frontally distributed theta typically

6 features prominently at rest in healthy individuals,[27,55,56] with higher resting theta power

7 identified as a biomarker of healthy aging,[57] and greater likelihood of a benign course after

8 acute stroke.[58] In contrast, acute post-stroke reductions in theta are associated with

9 unfavorable stroke outcomes, and may reflect brain changes occurring as a result of

10 hypoperfusion below an ischemic threshold.[20]

11   The corollary was that stroke survivors who exhibited acute relative theta values

12 ≥0.25 tended to experience *good* 30- and 90-day functional outcomes, as measured by an

13 mRS ≤1 or an mBI score ≥95 (positive predictive value 67-83%, negative predictive value

14 70-90%). For the other participants who fell below this threshold, post-stroke recovery was

15 incomplete, with long-term follow-up studies suggesting that the observed restrictions in self-

16 care and physical function were likely to be persistent, and accompanied by diminished

17 participation and lower health-related quality of life.[59,60]

18   The mRS and mBI are the two most common instruments used in stroke outcome

19 studies,[23,61,62] and the strength of these preliminary prognostic relationships to acute single-

20 channel EEG data is promising. Specifically, using commonly accepted cut-off values to

21 define *good* and *poor* outcomes,[22,23,30] the predictive utility of acute theta values derived from

22 just a single pre-frontal EEG electrode were comparable to a gold standard prognostic tool.

23 Unlike the NIHSS, acquisition of EEG data is less likely to be susceptible to examiner bias

24 and experience.

1   Correlations between acute EEG data and sub-acute outcomes in the current study (r_s

2   0.45- 0.60) were also equivalent to those reported by recent studies[14,15,19,28,63-65] using

3   conventional, multi-channel arrays (r_s 0.35-0.66; Table 4). It is unclear why earlier EEG

4   studies[9,10,12] reported stronger associations with post-stroke functional outcomes (r_s 0.80-

5   0.91) than our study or contemporary multi-channel research. However, in an advancement

6   over both recent and older EEG research, the current study examined both disability and

7   participation outcomes. While measures of disability have traditionally been the primary

8   focus of practitioners, outcomes of participation in age-appropriate activities and valued roles

9   are typically of most importance to patients and their families,[66] and should also be

10   measured.[67,68]

11   Whereas earlier EEG studies have typically reported significant correlations (r 0.33-

12   0.90) between early EEG and NIHSS values,[10,28,34,64,69] our study found no relationship

13   between baseline NIHSS and relative theta power, suggesting EEG can provide non-

14   overlapping clinical information regarding brain function not captured by a neurological

15   exam.[63] The localized recording paradigm in the current study may have contributed to this

16   null finding, but this hypothesis requires deliberate testing.

17

18   **Limitations**

19   This study is based on a moderate sample of 16 participants. This sample size was

20   comparable with earlier studies in the area,[9,10,14,15,19,63,70] and produced *medium* to *large*

21   correlations (r values ranging from 0.42-0.87),[71] which suggests that our study was

22   adequately powered. However, replication in a larger sample is encouraged to allow an

23   analysis of potential moderators of *good* and *poor* functional outcomes (e.g. age, gender,

24   medical comorbidities, time to treatment, premorbid history),[72] and to enhance the

25   generalizability of results. In particular, the current cohort was predominantly of *mild* to

1   *moderate* severity, due to ischemic stroke. While these characteristics are representative of

2   the natural incidence of stroke,[73,74] it is uncertain how current findings apply to hemorrhagic

3   stroke and patients with severe neurological deficits at baseline. Notwithstanding this, even

4   individuals with ''minor strokes'' (e.g. NIHSS <5) experience significant problems that

5   impact their relationships with others, return to valued roles, and reintegration into

6   society,[39,75] as such, it is important to identify tools sensitive to their outcomes as well. As

7   well, the merging of clinical and neurophysiological data with neuroimaging information may

8   enhance outcome prediction,[76] however this was not examined in the current study.

9   In regard to outcome measurement, the domain validity of both the mBI and mRS

10   have limitations. The mBI only examines functional independence in self-care.[77] Similarly,

11   while the mRS is one of the most widely used instruments in stroke research,[61] outcomes

12   based on this instrument should be discussed in reference to physical disability and need for

13   physical assistance.[78] The current battery could be supplemented by other instruments to

14   provide a holistic examination of participation in instrumental activities of daily living and

15   quality of life.[79]

16

17   **Conclusions**

18   Biomarkers of brain function that are derived from a single pre-frontal channel of

19   EEG data are associated with post-stroke functional recovery. Indeed, the explanatory power

20   of the EEG metric is largely independent to that provided by a neurological exam. This acute

21   EEG data compares well with prognosis based on initial stroke severity but has the advantage

22   of being unaffected by subjective interpretation of clinical observations using NIHSS ratings.

23   Due to the multifactorial nature of functional recovery, a single parameter is unlikely to be

24   sufficient to define and predict individual outcomes. Overall, these preliminary results re-

25   affirm EEG can uniquely inform understanding of the clinical course following stroke,[80] and

1   could be used in conjunction with a neurological exam. Larger scale studies are encouraged

2   to further examine the potential for single-channel EEG data to augment early prediction of

3   post-stroke outcomes, and to isolate moderators.

4

5

6

7   **Abbreviations**: BCa: bias corrected and accelerated; DAR: delta/alpha ratio; DTABR:

8   delta+theta/alpha+beta ratio DTR: delta/theta ratio; EEG: electroencephalography; mBI:

9   modified Barthel Index; mRS: modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS: National Institute of Health

10   Stroke Scale; ROC: Receiver Operator Curve

11

12

13   **REFERENCES**

14   1.   Foreman B, Claassen J. Quantitative EEG for the detection of brain ischemia. *Crit*

15   *Care.* 2012;16(2):1.

16   2.   Goldstein LH, McNeil JE. *Clinical neuropsychology: A practical guide to assessment*

17   *and management for clinicians.* West Sussex, Eng: Wiley Online Library; 2013.

18   3.   Andersen KK, Olsen TS, Dehlendorff C, Kammersgaard LP. Hemorrhagic and

19   ischemic strokes compared stroke severity, mortality, and risk factors. *Stroke.*

20   2009;40(6):2068-2072.

21   4.   Sharbrough FW, Messick JM, Sundt TM. Correlation of continuous

22   electroencephalograms with cerebral blood flow measurements during carotid

23   endarterectomy. *Stroke.* 1973;4(4):674-683.

5. Schneider AL, Jordan KG. Regional attenuation without delta (RAWOD): A distinctive EEG pattern that can aid in the diagnosis and management of severe acute ischemic stroke. *Am J Electroneurodiagnostic Technol.* 2005;45(2):102-117.

6. Nagata K, Tagawa K, Hiroi S, Shishido F, Uemura K. Electroencephalographic correlates of blood flow and oxygen metabolism provided by positron emission tomography in patients with cerebral infarction. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol.* 1989;72(1):16-30.

7. Faught E. Current role of electroencephalography in cerebral ischemia. *Stroke.* 1993;24(4):609-613.

8. Andreassi JL. *Psychophysiology: Human behavior and psychological response (5th Edition).* 5th ed. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2007.

9. Finnigan SP, Rose SE, Walsh M, et al. Correlation of quantitative EEG in acute ischemic stroke with 30-day NIHSS score comparison with diffusion and perfusion MRI. *Stroke.* 2004;35(4):899-903.

10. Finnigan SP, Walsh M, Rose SE, Chalk JB. Quantitative EEG indices of sub-acute ischaemic stroke correlate with clinical outcomes. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2007;118:2525-2532.

11. Claassen J, Hirsch LJ, Kreiter KT, et al. Quantitative continuous EEG for detecting delayed cerebral ischemia in patients with poor grade subarachnoid haemorrhage. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2004;115:2699–2710.

12. Cuspineda E, Machado C, Galan L, et al. QEEG prognostic value in acute stroke. *Clin EEG Neurosci.* 2007;38(3):155-160.

13. Finnigan S, Wong A, Read S. Defining abnormal slow EEG activity in acute ischaemic stroke: Delta/alpha ratio as an optimal QEEG index. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2016;127(2):1452-1459.

14. Schleiger E, Sheikh N, Rowland T, Wong A, Read S, Finnigan S. Frontal EEG delta/alpha ratio and screening for post-stroke cognitive deficits: The power of four electrodes. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 2014;94(1):19-24.

15. Schleiger E, Wong A, Read S, Rowland T, Finnigan S. Poststroke QEEG informs early prognostication of cognitive impairment. *Psychophysiology.* 2017;54(2):301-309.

16. Cuspineda E, Machado C, Aubert E, Galan L, Llopis F, Avila Y. Predicting outcome in acute stroke: A comparison between QEEG and the Canadian Neurological Scale. *Clin Electroencephalogr.* 2003;34(1):1-4.

17. Badcock NA, Mousikou P, Mahajan Y, de Lissa P, Thie J, McArthur G. Validation of the Emotiv EPOC EEG gaming system for measuring research quality auditory ERPs. *PeerJ.* 2013;e38.

18. Johnstone SJ, Blackman R, Bruggemann JM. EEG from a single-channel dry-sensor recording device. *Clin EEG Neurosci.* 2012;43(2):112-120.

19. Aminov A, Rogers JM, Johnstone SJ, Middleton S, Wilson PH. Acute single channel EEG predictors of cognitive function after stroke. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(10):e0185841.

20. Rogers JM, Bechara J, Middleton S, Johnstone SJ. Acute EEG Patterns Associated With Transient Ischemic Attack. *Clin EEG Neurosci.* 2019;50(3):196-204.

21. Hakkennes SJ, Brock K, Hill KD. Selection for inpatient rehabilitation after acute stroke: A systematic review of the literature. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2011;92(12):2057-2070.

22. Uyttenboogaart M, Stewart RE, Vroomen PC, De Keyser J, Luijckx GJ. Optimizing cutoff scores for the Barthel Index and the modified Rankin Scale for defining outcome in acute stroke trials. *Stroke.* 2005;36(9):1984-1987.

23. Veerbeek JM, Kwakkel G, van Wegen EE, Ket JC, Heymans MW. Early prediction of outcome of activities of daily living after stroke: A systematic review. *Stroke.* 2011;42(5):1482-1488.

24. Brott T, Adams HP, Jr., Olinger CP, et al. Measurements of acute cerebral infarction: A clinical examination scale. *Stroke.* 1989;20(7):864-870.

25. Bonita R, Beaglehole R. Recovery of motor function after stroke. *Stroke.* 1988;19(12):1497-1500.

26. Shah S, Vanclay F, Cooper B. Improving the sensitivity of the Barthel Index for stroke rehabilitation. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1989;42:703-709.

27. Rogers JM, Johnstone SJ, Aminov A, Donnelly J, Wilson PH. Test-retest reliability of a single-channel, wireless EEG system. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 2016;106:87-96.

28. Sheorajpanday RV, Nagels G, Weeren AJ, van Putten MJ, De Deyn PP. Quantitative EEG in ischemic stroke: Correlation with functional status after 6 months. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2011;122:874-883.

29. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: Guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet.* 2007;370(9596):1453-1457.

30. Johnston KC, Connors AF, Jr., Wagner DP, Knaus WA, Wang X, Haley EC, Jr. A predictive risk model for outcomes of ischemic stroke. *Stroke.* 2000;31(2):448-455.

31. Jordan KG. Emergency EEG and continuous EEG monitoring in acute ischemic stroke. *J Clin Neurophysiol.* 2004;21:341-352.

32. Labar DR, Fisch BJ, Pedley TA, Fink ME, Solomon RA. Quantitative EEG monitoring for patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol.* 1991;78(5):325-332.

1   33.   Claassen J, Mayer SA, Hirsch LJ. Continuous EEG monitoring in patients with

2   subarachnoid hemorrhage. *J Clin Neurophysiol.* 2005;22(2):92-98.

3   34.   Wu J, Srinivasan R, Burke Quinlan E, Solodkin A, Small SL, Cramer SC. Utility of

4   EEG measures of brain function in patients with acute stroke. *J Neurophysiol.*

5   2016;115(5):2399-2405.

6   35.   Giaquinto S, Cobianchi A, Macera F, Nolfe G. EEG recordings in the course of

7   recovery from stroke. *Stroke.* 1994;25(11):2204-2209.

8   36.   Kwakkel G, Veerbeek JM, van Wegen EE, Nijland R, Harmeling-van der Wel BC,

9   Dippel DW. Predictive value of the NIHSS for ADL outcome after ischemic

10   hemispheric stroke: Does timing of early assessment matter? *J Neurol Sci.*

11   2010;294(1-2):57-61.

12   37.   Miyamoto N, Tanaka Y, Ueno Y, et al. Demographic, clinical, and radiologic

13   predictors of neurologic deterioration in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *J Stroke*

14   *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2013;22(3):205-210.

15   38.   Kwiatkowski TG, Libman RB, Frankel M, et al. Effects of tissue plasminogen

16   activator for acute ischemic stroke at one year. National Institute of Neurological

17   Disorders and Stroke Recombinant Tissue Plasminogen Activator Stroke Study

18   Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1999;340(23):1781-1787.

19   39.   Inoa V, Aron AW, Staff I, Fortunato G, Sansing LH. Lower NIH Stroke Scale scores

20   are required to accurately predict a good prognosis in posterior circulation stroke.

21   *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 2014;37(4):251-255.

22   40.   Sablot D, Belahsen F, Vuillier F, et al. Predicting acute ischaemic stroke outcome

23   using clinical and temporal thresholds. *ISRN Neurol.* 2011;2011:354642.

1   41.   Sato S, Toyoda K, Uehara T, et al. Baseline NIH Stroke Scale Score predicting

2   outcome in anterior and posterior circulation strokes. *Neurology.* 2008;70(24 Pt

3   2):2371-2377.

4   42.   Edwards DF, Hahn MG, Baum CM, Perlmutter MS, Sheedy C, Dromerick AW.

5   Screening patients with stroke for rehabilitation needs: Validation of the post-stroke

6   rehabilitation guidelines. *Neurorehab Neural Repair.* 2006;20(1):42-48.

7   43.   Dewey HM, Donnan GA, Freeman EJ, et al. Interrater reliability of the National

8   Institutes of Health Stroke Scale: Rating by neurologists and nurses in a community-

9   based stroke incidence study. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* 1999;9(6):323-327.

10   44.   Goldstein LB, Samsa GP. Reliability of the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale.

11   Extension to non-neurologists in the context of a clinical trial. *Stroke.*

12   1997;28(2):307-310.

13   45.   Meyer BC, Hemmen TM, Jackson CM, Lyden PD. Modified National Institutes of

14   Health Stroke Scale for use in stroke clinical trials: Prospective reliability and

15   validity. *Stroke.* 2002;33(5):1261-1266.

16   46.   Lyden PD, Lu M, Levine SR, Brott TG, Broderick J. A modified National Institutes

17   of Health Stroke Scale for use in stroke clinical trials: Preliminary reliability and

18   validity. *Stroke.* 2001;32(6):1310-1317.

19   47.   Reznik ME, Yaghi S, Jayaraman MV, et al. Baseline NIH Stroke Scale is an inferior

20   predictor of functional outcome in the era of acute stroke intervention. *Int J Stroke.*

21   2018:1747493018783759.

22   48.   Rangaraju S, Frankel M, Jovin TG. Prognostic Value of the 24-Hour Neurological

23   Examination in Anterior Circulation Ischemic Stroke: A post hoc Analysis of Two

24   Randomized Controlled Stroke Trials. *Interv Neurol.* 2016;4(3-4):120-129.

49. DeGraba TJ, Hallenbeck JM, Pettigrew KD, Dutka AJ, Kelly BJ. Progression in acute stroke: value of the initial NIH stroke scale score on patient stratification in future trials. *Stroke.* 1999;30(6):1208-1212.

50. Saver JL, Altman H. Relationship between neurologic deficit severity and final functional outcome shifts and strengthens during first hours after onset. *Stroke.* 2012;43(6):1537-1541.

51. Cadilhac DA, Lannin NA, Kim J, et al. *The Australian Stroke Clinical Registry Annual Report 2016. Report No 8.* The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health2017.

52. Richardson J, Murray D, House CK, Lowenkopf T. Successful implementation of the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale on a stroke/neurovascular unit. *J Neurosci Nurs.* 2006;38(4 Suppl):309-315.

53. Hirsch LJ. Brain monitoring: The next frontier of ICU monitoring. *J Clin Neurophysiol.* 2004;21(5):305-306.

54. Friedman D, Claassen J, Hirsch LJ. Continuous electroencephalogram monitoring in the intensive care unit. *Anesth Analg.* 2009;109(2):506-523.

55. Mitchell DJ, McNaughton N, Flanagan D, Kirk IJ. Frontal-midline theta from the perspective of hippocampal "theta". *Prog Neurobiol.* 2008;86(3):156-185.

56. Cavanagh JF, Frank MJ. Frontal theta as a mechanism for cognitive control. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2014;18(8):414-421.

57. Finnigan S, Robertson IH. Resting EEG theta power correlates with cognitive performance in healthy older adults. *Psychophysiology.* 2011;48(8):1083-1087.

58. Burghaus L, Hilker R, Dohmen C, et al. Early electroencephalography in acute ischemic stroke: Prediction of a malignant course? *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* 2007;109(1):45-49.

59. Crichton SL, Bray BD, McKevitt C, Rudd AG, Wolfe CD. Patient outcomes up to 15 years after stroke: Survival, disability, quality of life, cognition and mental health. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2016:1091-1098.

60. Meyer S, Verheyden G, Brinkmann N, et al. Functional and motor outcome 5 years after stroke is equivalent to outcome at 2 months: Follow-up of the collaborative evaluation of rehabilitation in stroke across Europe. *Stroke.* 2015;46(6):1613-1619.

61. Lees KR, Bath PM, Schellinger PD, et al. Contemporary outcome measures in acute stroke research: Choice of primary outcome measure. *Stroke.* 2012;43(4):1163-1170.

62. Quinn TJ, Dawson J, Walters MR, Lees KR. Functional outcome measures in contemporary stroke trials. *Int J Stroke.* 2009;4(3):200-205.

63. Xin X, Gao Y, Zhang H, Cao K, Shi Y. Correlation of continuous electroencephalogram with clinical assessment scores in acute stroke patients. *Neurosci Bull.* 2012;28(5):611-617.

64. Zappasodi F, Olejarczyk E, Marzetti L, Assenza G, Pizzella V, Tecchio F. Fractal dimension of EEG activity senses neuronal impairment in acute stroke. *PLoS ONE.* 2014;9(6):e100199.

65. Bentes C, Peralta AR, Viana P, et al. Quantitative EEG and functional outcome following acute ischemic stroke. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2018;129(8):1680-1687.

66. Katzan IL, Thompson NR, Lapin B, Uchino K. Added value of patient-reported outcome measures in stroke clinical practice. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2017;6(7):e005356.

67. Taylor-Rowan M, Wilson A, Dawson J, Quinn TJ. Functional assessment for acute stroke trials: Properties, analysis, and application. *Front Neurol.* 2018;9:191.

68. Harrison JK, McArthur KS, Quinn TJ. Assessment scales in stroke: Clinimetric and clinical considerations. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2013;8:201-211.

69. van Putten MJ, Tavy DL. Continuous quantitative EEG monitoring in hemispheric stroke patients using the brain symmetry index. *Stroke.* 2004;35(11):2489-2492.

70. Sainio K, Stenberg D, Keskimäki I, Muuronen A, Kaste M. Visual and spectral EEG analysis in the evaluation of the outcome in patients with ischemic brain infarction. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol.* 1983;56:117-124.

71. Cohen J. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences (2nd Ed).* 2nd ed. New Jersey: Erlbaum Hilldale; 1988.

72. Ntaios G, Papavasileiou V, Michel P, Tatlisumak T, Strbian D. Predicting functional outcome and symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage in patients with acute ischemic stroke: A glimpse into the crystal ball? *Stroke.* 2015;46(3):899-908.

73. Favate AS, Younger DS. Epidemiology of ischemic stroke. *Neurol Clin.* 2016;34(4):967-980.

74. Reeves M, Khoury J, Alwell K, et al. Distribution of National Institutes of Health stroke scale in the Cincinnati/Northern Kentucky Stroke Study. *Stroke.* 2013;44(11):3211-3213.

75. Marsh EB, Lawrence E, Gottesman RF, Llinas RH. The NIH Stroke Scale has limited utility in accurate daily monitoring of neurologic status. *Neurohospitalist.* 2016;6(3):97-101.

76. Pinto A, McKinley R, Alves V, Wiest R, Silva CA, Reyes M. Stroke lesion outcome prediction based on MRI imaging combined with clinical information. *Front Neurol.* 2018;9:1060-1060.

77. MacIsaac RL, Ali M, Taylor-Rowan M, Rodgers H, Lees KR, Quinn TJ. Use of a 3-item short-form version of the Barthel Index for use in stroke: Systematic review and external validation. *Stroke.* 2017;48(3):618-623.

78. Wolfe CD, Taub NA, Woodrow EJ, Burney PG. Assessment of scales of disability and handicap for stroke patients. *Stroke.* 1991;22(10):1242-1244.

79. Vargus-Adams JN, Majnemer A. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) as a framework for change: Revolutionizing rehabilitation. *J Child Neurol.* 2014;29(8):1030-1035.

80. Finnigan S, van Putten MJAM. EEG in ischaemic stroke: Quantitative EEG can uniquely inform (sub-) acute prognoses and clinical management. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2013;124(1):10-19.

81. Cillessen J, Van Huffelen A, Kappelle L, Algra A, Van Gijn J. Electroencephalography improves the prediction of functional outcome in the acute stage of cerebral ischemia. *Stroke.* 1994;25(10):1968-1972.

82. Finnigan SP, Rose SE, Chalk JB. Contralateral hemisphere delta EEG in acute stroke precedes worsening of symptoms and death. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2008;119(7):1690-1694.

1

2

3

4

5

Table 1. Demographic and neurological characteristics of participants

Age[a]	65.75 (16.98), 33-93
Gender[b]	
Male	9 (56)
Female	7 (44)
Education Level[b]	
≤ 12 years	10 (62)
> 12 years	6 (38)
Baseline NIHSS score[a]	6.56 (6.45), 0-18
Minor (< 5)[b]	7 (44)
Moderate (5-15)[b]	6 (38)
Moderately severe (16-20)[b]	3 (18)
Ischemic Stroke[b]	12 (75)
Hemorrhagic Stroke[b]	4 (25)
Left-sided lesion[b]	7 (44)
Right-sided lesion[b]	9 (56)
Time to EEG recording (hours)[a]	46.63 (20.63), 20-72
30 day follow-up (days)[a]	37.06 (8.23), 28-45
90 day follow-up (days)[a]	95.56 (7.15), 88-111

[a]Mean (SD) range; [b]No (%). Note: EEG: electroencephalography; NIHSS: National Institute of Health Stroke Scale.

Table 2. Spearman's *rho* correlations of prognostic EEG and functional outcome variables (mBI 0-100; mRS 0-6)

	NIHSS	RP Theta	30-day mRS	30-day mBI	90-day mRS	90-day mBI
NIHSS	1.00	-0.42 [-0.80, 0.07]	0.87** [0.73, 0.94]	-0.66** [-0.87, -0.32]	0.74** [0.39, 0.93]	-0.59** [-0.86, -0.06]
RP Theta		1.00	-0.54* [-0.88, -0.08]	0.60** [0.07, 0.86]	-0.53* [-0.86, 0.01]	0.45* [-0.03, 0.79]
30-day mRS			1.00	-0.78** [-0.93, -0.49]	0.86** [0.67, 0.96]	-0.79** [-0.95, -0.39]
30-day mBI				1.00	-0.69** [-0.92, -0.28]	0.82** [0.56, 1.00]
90-day mRS					1.00	-0.83** [-0.94, -0.45]
90-day mBI						1.00

Note: *$p < 0.05$ (one-tailed). **$p < 0.01$ (one-tailed). Bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals reported in brackets. EEG: electroencephalography; mBI: modified Barthel Index; mRS: modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS: National Institute of Health Stroke Scale.

Table 3. Optimal Receiver Operator Curve criterion cut-offs for classifying good post-stroke functional outcomes (mRS ≤1; mBI ≥ 95)

Criterion and EEG Threshold		AUC	*p* value	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
30-day mRS	≥ 0.25	0.81	0.04	71.4%	88.9%	83.3%	80.0%
30-day mBI	≥ 0.25	0.90	<0.01	83.3%	90.0%	83.3%	90.0%
90-day mRS	≥ 0.25	0.75	0.09	62.5%	87.5%	83.3%	70.0%
90-day mBI	≥ 0.25	0.82	0.05	80.0%	81.8%	66.7%	90.0%
Criterion and NIHSS Threshold		**AUC**	***p* value**	**Sensitivity**	**Specificity**	**PPV**	**NPV**
30-day mRS	≤ 6	0.98	<0.01	85.7%	100%	100%	90.0%
30-day mBI	≤ 6	0.90	<0.01	83.3%	90.0%	83.3%	90.0%
90-day mRS	≤ 6	0.84	0.02	71.4%	88.9%	83.3%	80.0%
90-day mBI	≤ 6	0.87	0.02	80.0%	81.8%	66.7%	90.0%

Note: AUC: area under curve; EEG: electroencephalography; mBI: modified Barthel Index; mRS: modified Rankin Scale; NIHSS: National Institute of Health Stroke Scale; NPV: negative predictive power; PPV: positive predictive power.

Table 4. Summary of previous studies (in chronological order) examining the relationship between acute EEG data and post-stroke outcomes. Where available, the strength of outcome prediction using an alternate "gold standard" tool is provided, for comparison. All reported analyses were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).

Author, Year (Location)	Study population, time to EEG	Primary EEG Metric	Primary Outcome Measure	Statistical Analysis	Comparator Analysis
Sainio[70] 1983 (Finland)	15 ischemic stroke, within 48 hours	resting state global delta	30 month return to work	Fisher's exact test $p < 0.01$	NR
Cillessen[81] 1994 (Netherlands)	55 ischemic stroke, within 1-10 days	resting state alpha asymmetry	12-month mRS	PPV 0.85-0.86	baseline mRS, PPV 0.52-1.00
Classen[11] 2004 (USA)	34 hemorrhagic stroke, within 2-6 days	serial resting state global DAR	vasospasm and cerebral ischemia over first 2-weeks	PPV 0.60-0.67	NR
Finnigan[9] 2004 (Australia)	11 ischemic stroke, within 16 hours	resting state global delta	30-day NIHSS	$r_s = 0.80$	baseline MRI, $r_s = 0.79$
Cuspineda[12] 2007 (Cuba)	28 ischemic stroke, within 72 hours	resting state global delta	90-day mRS	$r = 0.89$	NR
Finnigan[10] 2007 (Australia)	13 ischemic stroke, within 48 hours	resting state global DAR	30-day NIHSS	$r_s = 0.91$	baseline NIHSS, $r_s = 0.92$
Finnigan[82] 2008 (Australia)	2 ischemic stroke, within 4-8 hours	contralateral resting state global delta	rapid mortality	NR	NR
Sheorajpanday[28] 2011 (Belgium)	110 ischemic stroke, within 1 week	resting state global DTABR	6-month mRS	$r_s = 0.47$	baseline NIHSS, $r_s = 0.59$
Xin[63] 2012 (China)	22 stroke, within 48 hours	resting state brain symmetry index	28-day mRS	$r = 0.44$	baseline NIHSS, $r = 0.74$
Schleiger[14] 2014 (Australia)	20 ischemic stroke, within 4 days	resting state frontal DAR	90-day cognitive items from FIM/FAM	$r_s = -0.66$	NR

Zappasodi[64] 2014 (Italy)	36 ischemic stroke, within 4-10 days	resting state fractal dimension asymmetry	6-month NIHSS	$r = 0.35$	NR
Aminov[19] 2017 (Australia)	19 stroke, within 72 hours	resting state frontal DTR	90-day MoCA	$r = -0.57$	baseline NIHSS, $r = -0.74$
Schleiger[15] 2017 (Australia)	23 ischemic stroke, within 2-10 days	resting state posterior alpha	90-day MoCA	$r = 0.66$	NR
Bentes[65] 2018 (Portugal)	150 ischemic stroke, within 72 hours	resting state global alpha	12-month mRS	$r = 0.54$	baseline NIHSS, $r = 0.63$

Note: DAR: delta/alpha ratio; DTABR: delta-theta/alpha-beta ratio; DTR: delta/theta ratio; EEG: electroencephalography; FIM/FAM: Functional Independence Measure/Functional Assessment Measure; NIHSS: National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; NR: not reported; PPV: positive predictive value; MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; mRS: modified Rankin Scale; TBI: traumatic brain injury.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. The NeuroSky MindSet consists of a single electrode embedded in a flexible arm extending from the left side of a pair of headphones (Image available via Creative Commons License BY-NC-SA 4.0).

Figure 2. Absolute values of the strength of association (Spearman's *rho*) between EEG parameters and function outcome measures. *Only correlations with relative theta power were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). Note: mBI: modified Barthel Index; mRS: modified Rankin Scale.