

Research Bank

Journal article

Autistic symptoms predict social cognitive performance in patients with schizophrenia

Deste, Giacomo, Vita, Antonio, Penn, David L., Pinkham, Amy E., Nibbio, Gabriele and Harvey, Philip D.

This is the accepted manuscript version. For the publisher's version please see:

Deste, G., Vita, A., Penn, D. L., Pinkham, A. E., Nibbio, G. and Harvey, P. D. (2020). Autistic symptoms predict social cognitive performance in patients with schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, 215, pp. 113-119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2019.11.008>

This work © 2020 is licensed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



Published in final edited form as:

Schizophr Res. 2020 January ; 215: 113–119. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2019.11.008.

Autistic symptoms predict social cognitive performance in patients with schizophrenia.

Giacomo Deste¹, Antonio Vita^{1,2}, David L. Penn^{3,4}, Amy E. Pinkham^{5,6}, Gabriele Nibbio², Philip D. Harvey^{7,8}

¹Spedali Civili Hospital, Brescia, Italy

²University of Brescia, School of Medicine, Italy

³Department of Psychology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, United States of America

⁴School of Psychology, Australian Catholic University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

⁵School of Behavioral and Brain Sciences, The University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX, United States of America

⁶Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, TX, United States of America

⁷Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, United States of America

⁸Research Service, Miami VA Healthcare System, United States of America

Abstract

Schizophrenia spectrum disorders and Autism Spectrum Disorders (ASD) share many similarities. Among those features, social cognitive impairment is recognized as a key characteristic of both ASD and schizophrenia. In this study, the role of ASD symptoms, measured with the PANSS Autism Severity Score (PAUSS), was investigated as a predictor of social cognitive performance in patients with Schizophrenia spectrum disorders. Existing databases from 2 studies (SCOPE Phase 3 and SCOPE Phase 5), in which a total of 361 patients (mean age 41.7 years; 117 females) were assessed with tests of mental state attribution and emotion recognition, were analyzed. Less severe

giacomodeste@mac.com.

Contributors

PH designed the project, reviewed and discussed the data and statistical analyses, and the final version of the paper; AP and DP participated in the design of the study; GN prepared the database and participated in the analyses; GD participated in the analyses and wrote the paper; AV participated in the design of the project, and discussion of the data and manuscript. All authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

Role of the authors

PH designed the project, reviewed and discussed the data and statistical analyses, and the final version of the paper; AP and DP participated in the design of the study; GN prepared the database and participated in the analyses; GD participated in the analyses and wrote the paper; AV participated in the design of the project, and discussion of the data and manuscript. All authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ASD symptoms, as well as younger age, better premorbid IQ, and neurocognition were identified as individual predictors of better social cognitive performance. These results suggest a role of ASD symptoms in affecting social cognitive performance in schizophrenia.

Keywords

Schizophrenia; schizoaffective; autism spectrum disorder; social cognition; PAUSS; neurocognition

1. Introduction

In recent years, a growing interest for the issue of the overlap between autism spectrum disorders (ASD) and schizophrenia emerged. The earliest conceptions of schizophrenia (Bleuler, 1950) focused on autistic features as a central element of schizophrenia, before ASD was defined as a separate entity. Several specific ASD features, such as difficulties in social interaction, communication, emotion processing, and motor abnormalities have often been found in patients with schizophrenia (Cheung et al., 2010; King and Lord, 2011; Kästner et al., 2015). In fact, a number of studies highlighted the similarities between ASD and schizophrenia spectrum disorders, regarding pathophysiological, genetic, neuroimaging, and clinical characteristics (Barlatti et al., 2016; Sasson et al., 2011). Since then, the existence of ASD features in patients with schizophrenia has been investigated (Barlatti et al., 2019), and instruments for the assessment of autistic symptoms in schizophrenia have been developed. In particular, the PANSS Autism Severity Score (PAUSS) (Kästner et al., 2015), a scale derived from the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) (Kay et al., 1987), for the measurement of autistic symptoms in patients with schizophrenia, has been used in genetic studies, to demonstrate the association between the autistic genotype and phenotype (Ehrenreich et al., 2018; Stepniak et al., 2015), and in neuroimaging studies, to demonstrate the association between autistic symptoms and structural and functional imaging features (Oliveira et al., 2018; Parellada et al., 2017). As such, autistic features in schizophrenia reflect a potential alternative strategy for conceptualizing symptoms, with some overlap between a limited set of features of disorganized behavior (e.g., mannerisms and posturing) and negative symptoms (e.g., emotional withdrawal) that form the definitional core of ASD.

In a recent study by our group (Deste et al., 2018), the use of the PAUSS allowed us to corroborate the hypothesis, already tested in a previous study performed with more complex and time consuming autism scales (Barlatti et al., 2019), of the existence of related cognitive characteristics of patients with schizophrenia and ASD features. In particular, patients with schizophrenia and ASD features were found to manifest lower IQ and poorer performance in a number of cognitive domains, including processing speed, working memory, and executive functions. However, in that study, including patients with schizophrenia, poor performance in face emotion recognition, a domain of social cognition, was not detected in the group of patients with ASD features, and emerged only at a trend level.

Nevertheless, the issue of the relation between ASD and social cognition in schizophrenia still represents a relevant topic of interest. In fact, as reported in the DSM-5 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), autism is characterized by the presence of deficits in social

interactions across multiple contexts, including deficits in social reciprocity and understanding relationships, and social cognitive deficits are recognized in patients with ASD (Baxter et al., 2015; Lai et al., 2014; Zwick, 2017). However, impairment in social cognition is not only present in ASD, but it's also considered a key feature in schizophrenia (Pinkham, 2014), both for its implication in the pathophysiology of the condition (Sergi et al., 2007), and for its impact on functioning (Harvey et al., 2018; Harvey and Penn, 2010).

Moreover, deficits in social cognition have been observed and compared between patients with ASD and schizophrenia. A recent review and meta-analysis (Fernandes et al., 2018) including 482 patients with ASD and 558 patients with schizophrenia, in which social cognition performance was compared in patients with schizophrenia and ASD, no significant differences between schizophrenia and ASD patients emerged in most social cognitive tasks analyzed, while better performance in patients with schizophrenia compared to ASD emerged only in the facial emotion perception domain (8 studies analyzed), but this effect was mediated by age, with greater effect sizes observed in studies including younger patients.

Furthermore, it has been also demonstrated that the severity of deficits in social cognition is variable in people with schizophrenia, being more pronounced in older people, with less education, poorer cognitive performance and with lower functioning (Hajdúk et al., 2018). This evidence leads to the interesting hypothesis that in patients with schizophrenia and ASD features, poorer social cognitive performance, and other specific characteristics, may be identified. Indeed, different clinical aspects, such as not only the already mentioned poorer cognitive performance, but also earlier age of onset, lower IQ and lower education levels have been found to be associated with ASD features in patients with schizophrenia (Deste et al., 2018, Kästner et al., 2015).

In this study, we wanted to investigate the association between ASD symptoms and social cognitive performance in a sample of patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorder. We hypothesize that ASD symptoms could be, among other factors, an individual predictor of poorer social cognitive performance in patients with schizophrenia.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

Data for this study were gathered from two datasets, originally composed for two previous studies, in which patients with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder were assessed with clinical, psychosocial, neuro- and social-cognitive measures. These studies are part of the Social Cognition Psychometric Evaluation (SCOPE) research project: SCOPE Phase 3 (Pinkham et al., 2016), and SCOPE Phase 5 (Pinkham et al., 2018). Patients included in the first dataset were recruited for the third phase of the research program (SCOPE Phase 3). The study took place at 2 sites, Southern Methodist University and the Miami Miller School of Medicine. A total of 179 patients were recruited for this study. Patients included in the second dataset were recruited for a later phase of the research program (SCOPE Phase 5). This study took place at 3 sites, the University of Texas at Dallas, the University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, and the University on North Carolina at Chapel Hill. A total of

218 patients were recruited for this study. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were identical for both studies. Inclusion criteria were: (I) diagnosis of Schizophrenia or Schizoaffective Disorder, according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV, (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) criteria. The diagnoses were confirmed by a clinical interview with the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (Sheehan et al., 1998), and the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders Psychosis Module (First et al., 2002), (II) patients had to be clinically stable and they could not have any hospitalization within the last two months, and had to be on a stable medication regimen for a minimum of 6 weeks, with no dosage changes for a minimum of 2 weeks. Exclusion criteria were: (I) presence or history of pervasive developmental disorder or mental retardation, defined by an IQ < 70, according to DSM-IV criteria, (II) presence or history of medical or neurological conditions that could interfere with central nervous system functioning, such as seizures, central nervous systems tumors, autoimmune inflammatory disorders affecting the central nervous system, (III) presence of sensory limitations, in particular visual or hearing impairment, that could limit the performance of the patient or interfere with the assessment, (IV) no or very scarce proficiency with English language, limiting the patient's performance on the evaluation tests, (V) presence of substance abuse in the past month, (VI) presence of substance dependence not in remission for the past 6 months. Since the tests administered in the two studies were only partially overlapping, when the databases from the two studies were joined, only those measures of social cognition that were used in both studies were included. Patients who participated to both SCOPE Phase 3 and Phase 5 studies, were omitted from the Phase 5 database. This resulted in a smaller sample size than the sum of the two SCOPE studies.

2.2 Measures

All patients were assessed at the beginning of the studies (SCOPE, Phases 3 and 5) with measures of social cognition, neurocognition and clinical symptoms. The following demographic variables were collected and included in the analyses: age, sex and years of education. As for social cognition, the following tests were used in the present analyses. The *Bell Lysaker Emotion Recognition Task* (BLERT) (Bryson et al., 1997) is a test designed to measure the ability to correctly identify emotional states. Seven basic emotions are represented: happiness, sadness, fear, disgust, surprise, anger, or no emotion. The test is composed of a series of 21 video clips, presenting a male actor providing dynamic facial, vocal-tonal, and upper-body movement cues. Participants have to identify the emotion expressed by the actor after each videoclip. Performance is rated as the total number of correctly identified emotions, with the total score ranging from 0 to 21. The BLERT total score was included in the analyses.

The *Penn Emotion Recognition Test* (ER-40) (Kohler et al., 2003) is a test in which a set of 40 color pictures of static faces is used. Each picture presents one of 4 basic emotions (happiness, sadness, anger, or fear) or a neutral expression, and are balanced for model's sex, age, and ethnicity. For each emotion category, 4 high-intensity and 4 low-intensity emotion expressions are provided. Participants observe one picture at a time and have to identify the emotion presented. The ER-40 total score (total number of correct responses, ranging from 0 to 40) was included in the analyses.

The *Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test* (Eyes) (Baron-Cohen et al., 2001) is used to evaluate the ability to discriminate the mental state of other people, by observing the expression in the eye region of the face. It is composed of 36 pictures of the eye region of different faces. After viewing each picture, participants have to identify the mental state presented, among 4 possible options. Patients are also provided with a brief glossary of mental state terms for reference. The Eyes total score, calculated as the sum of the correct responses, ranging from 0 to 36, was included in the analyses.

The Awareness of Social Inferences Test (TASIT) (McDonald et al., 2003) is a task for the assessment of the ability to identify different social exchanges, such as lies and sarcasm. It is composed by a series of short video clips presenting everyday social interactions. After watching each video, participants have to answer four standard questions aimed to assess the understanding of intentions, beliefs, and meaning of the speakers and their interactions. The TASIT total score (number of correct responses, ranging from 0 to 64) was included in the analyses.

The *Hinting Task* (Hinting) (Corcoran et al., 1995) is a test designed to assess the ability to infer the true intent of indirect speech. A series of ten short passages, describing an interaction between two characters, is read aloud by the experimenter. Each passage ends with one of the two characters dropping a hint, and the participant is asked what the character truly means. If the participant provides an incorrect answer, a second hint is presented, allowing the participant to earn partial credit. The Hinting total score (ranging from 0 to 20) was included in the analyses.

As for the neuropsychological assessment, the following tests from the MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery (MCCB) (Nuechterlein et al., 2008) were administered: Trail Making Test - Part A (TMT-A); BACS Symbol Coding; BACS Category Fluency (Animal Naming); Letter-Number Span; and Hopkins Verbal Learning Test - Revised (HVLT-R). These tests assess different cognitive domains, among those recognized to be impaired in schizophrenia (Nuechterlein et al., 2004), such as processing speed, working memory, verbal memory (Dickinson et al., 2007; Knowles et al., 2010; Lee and Park, 2005). A mean global cognitive composite score was calculated, by averaging the t-scores of the single tests (Nuechterlein and Green, 2006). The mean global cognitive composite score was included in the analyses.

In order to assess the estimated patients' premorbid IQ, the Wide Range Achievement Test-3 Reading subscale (WRAT-3) (Weickert et al., 2000) was administered to all patients. The WRAT-3 Standard Score was included in the analyses.

For clinical assessment, patients were evaluated with the Positive And Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) (Kay et al., 1987), which allows for the calculation of the PANSS Autism Severity Score (PAUSS), a scale for the measure of autistic symptoms in patients with schizophrenia (Kästner et al., 2015). The PAUSS is derived from the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale by performing the sum of the following PANSS items: N1 ("blunted affect"), N3 ("poor rapport"), N4 ("social withdrawal"), N5 ("difficulties in abstract thinking"), N6 ("lack of spontaneity and flow of conversation"), N7 ("stereotyped

thinking”), G5 (“mannerism”), G15 (“preoccupation”). Its validity in identifying ASD symptoms in patients with schizophrenia has been already demonstrated, and was found to be satisfying, with the PAUSS strongly correlating with other more established diagnostic tools for the assessment of ASD (Kästner et al., 2015), and showing even better sensitivity than such scales in detecting ASD symptoms in patients with schizophrenia (Deste et al., 2018). Being the PAUSS derived from PANSS items, the two scales share a number of characteristics, also due to the rigor needed for the administration of the PANSS. In fact, the PANSS is performed after a structured interview and the scoring also takes into account information collected from standardized objective clinical observation. In the SCOPE study, the PANSS scales were performed by expert psychiatrists with good inter-rater reliability. The PAUSS total score was included in the analyses as a measure of ASD symptoms. The PANSS total score was also included in the analyses as a measure of symptoms severity.

2.3 Statistical analysis

To identify predictors of performance in specific domains of social cognition, multivariate linear regression analyses were performed, including specific social cognition measures as dependent variables, and demographic variables, PAUSS total score, and global cognitive score variables used as potential predictors. Potential predictors were included in the regression if they were found to be significant in univariate exploratory analyses, performed by correlating continuous variables with dependent variables, and by using t-test for dichotomous potential predicting variables (sex). Multiple linear regressions followed a backward procedure, excluding at each step, the less significant independent variable, to identify the most parsimonious model.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 14.0 software. P-values <0.05 (2 tailed) were considered significant.

3. Results

The characteristics of the sample are presented in Table 1. The univariate correlations are shown in Table 2. No differences in any of the social cognition tests analysed emerged between male and female patients at the univariate t-tests, as shown in Table 3. Table 4 shows the predictors of social cognitive tests.

Potential predictors of better emotion processing performance, as measured by the ER40, that emerged at the univariate analysis were younger age, more education years, higher premorbid IQ, better performance in global cognition and less severe ASD symptoms (PAUSS score).

Individual predictors of better emotion processing performance, as measured by the ER40, were younger age ($p=0.002$), higher premorbid IQ (WRAT-3) ($p<0.001$), better performance in global cognition ($p<0.001$) and less severe ASD symptoms (PAUSS) ($p=0.002$) (Model $F = 27.031$, $R^2 = 0.241$, $p<0.001$).

Potential predictors of better mental state attribution, as measured by the Hinting task, that emerged at the univariate analysis were more education years, higher premorbid IQ, better

performance in global cognition, less severe ASD symptoms (PAUSS score) and less severe schizophrenia symptoms (PANSS total score).

Better mental state attribution, as measured by the Hinting task, was predicted by better performance in global cognition ($p < 0.001$) and less severe ASD symptoms (PAUSS) ($p < 0.001$) (Model $F = 35.770$, $R^2 = 0.173$, $p < 0.001$).

Potential predictors of better mental state attribution, as measured by the Eyes task, that emerged at the univariate analysis were younger age, more education years, higher premorbid IQ, better performance in global cognition and less severe ASD symptoms (PAUSS score).

Mental state attribution, as measured by better scores on the Eyes task, was predicted by younger age ($p = 0.049$), higher premorbid IQ ($p < 0.001$) and better performance in global cognition ($p < 0.001$) (Model $F = 87.362$, $R^2 = 0.434$, $p < 0.001$).

Potential predictors of better emotion processing performance, as measured by the BLERT, that emerged at the univariate analysis were younger age, more education years, higher premorbid IQ, better performance in global cognition and less severe ASD symptoms (PAUSS score).

Better emotion processing performance, as measured by the BLERT, was predicted by younger age ($p < 0.001$), higher premorbid IQ ($p < 0.001$) and better performance in global cognition ($p < 0.001$) (Model $F = 70.269$, $R^2 = 0.381$, $p < 0.001$).

Finally, potential predictors of better mental state attribution, as measured by the TASIT, that emerged at the univariate analysis were more education years, higher premorbid IQ, better performance in global cognition, less severe ASD symptoms (PAUSS score) and less severe schizophrenia symptoms (PANSS total score). Better mental state attribution, as measured by the TASIT, was predicted by younger age ($p < 0.001$), higher premorbid IQ ($p < 0.001$), better performance in global cognition ($p < 0.001$) and less severe ASD symptoms (PAUSS) ($p < 0.001$) (Model $F = 43.784$, $R^2 = 0.340$, $p < 0.001$).

In order to adjust for our previously reported finding of overlap between social cognition and neurocognition, we ran a forced entry regression analysis. After entering neurocognition as the first step, where it was found to be a significant predictor for all 5 social cognition variables (all $t > 4.01$, all $p < 0.001$), we entered PAUSS scores, age, and WRAT scores to the equation. PAUSS scores were found to be significant predictors of scores on the ER-40 ($t = -3.05$, $p = 0.019$), Hinting Task ($t = -3.70$, $p = 0.031$), and the TASIT ($t = -4.03$, $p = 0.028$) after consideration of all other variables.

In order to further exclude the possibility that the PAUSS could represent an indirect proxy of clinical severity, a post-hoc analysis was performed, in which a clinical construct, calculated by subtracting the PAUSS total score to the PANSS total score (PANSS-minus-PAUSS), was included in the regressions and was not found to be a significant individual predictor of any social cognitive performance measure.

4. Discussion

The mean PAUSS total score of the sample was 15.3 ($SD = 5.4$). This value (15) was proposed as the cut-off yielding an optimal balance between sensitivity (0.723) and specificity (0.711) for ASD, while a PAUSS total score of 30 has been proposed as a cut-off for “autistic schizophrenia” (Kästner et al., 2015). This reflects the characteristics of the particular sample of patients included in this study, representative of clinically stable patients referring to the U.S. academic and clinical psychiatric services of Texas, Florida, and North Carolina (Pinkham et al., 2016; 2018). In fact, in this study, a presence or history of pervasive developmental disorder was an exclusion criterion, and participants included in this sample should thus be considered patients with psychosis with sub-clinical levels of ASD symptoms.

In general, social cognition, as measured by different tests used for the assessment of specific social cognitive domains, was predicted by younger age, better premorbid IQ, better global cognitive performance, and less severe autistic symptoms. Less severe ASD symptoms were identified as individual predictors of social cognitive performance, measured with tests of mental state attribution and emotion processing, two key domains of social cognition (Penn et al., 2008). In particular, PAUSS score emerged as an individual predictor of social cognition in 3 out of 5 models, when the ER40, the TASIT and the Hinting tasks were included in the analyses, but not when the Eyes and the BLERT were used. This predictive effect of the PAUSS on social cognitive performance, should be considered a specific effect of ASD symptoms rather than an indirect proxy of symptoms severity, because we included both scales in the analyses, to exclude the possibility that the PAUSS could only represent an indirect proxy of symptoms severity, rather than an individual predictor of social cognition. However, the PANSS total score emerged as a potential predictor at the univariate analyses only for two social cognitive tests, and did not emerge as an individual predictor of social cognition in any model. Moreover, a post hoc analysis allowed to further demonstrate the specificity of the PAUSS, compared to other PANSS.

These results are in line with literature about ASD patients showing deficits in emotion processing and mental state attribution (Golarai et al., 2006; Kana et al., 2009). In a study by Eack SM et al., (2013), in which neurocognition and social cognition were assessed in people with schizophrenia, ASD, and healthy controls, similar levels of impairment in emotion recognition were demonstrated in ASD and in schizophrenia, and such social cognitive deficits were among the most relevant in both ASD and schizophrenia.

In a meta-analysis by Bliksted et al., (2016), mental state attribution ability was demonstrated to be more impaired in people with ASD compared to patients with schizophrenia, being even poorer in people with chronic schizophrenia compared to first episode patients.

It has been hypothesized that the deficits in emotion recognition in ASD patients may be mediated by impaired visual attention, with evidences indicating an eye-gaze fixation to the

mouth region but not to the eye region in patients with ASD and impaired emotion recognition compared to healthy controls (Wieckowski and White, 2017).

Moreover, in a meta-analysis by Peñuelas-Calvo et al. (2018), patients with ASD showed a poorer performance in the Eyes Task, compared to healthy controls, and the performance in such task was predicted by full scale and verbal IQ and age in healthy controls, and was negatively correlated with performance IQ in people with ASD.

These results are in contrast with those of Vaskinn and Abu-Akel (2018), that found, in a sample of 81 people with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder, a better performance in Theory of Mind in patients with higher PAUSS scores and more severe positive psychotic symptoms.

Literature concerning ASD features and social cognitive abilities in patients with schizophrenia is limited. However, neuro- and social cognitive deficits in ASD and schizophrenia spectrum disorders show remarkable similarities, with some domains, such as processing speed or emotion recognition, that are particularly impaired in both disorders (Abdi and Sharma, 2004; Eack et al., 2013). Interestingly, similarities in deficits of mirror neuron systems of ASD and schizophrenia have been identified (King and Lord, 2011), and could partly explain the relationship between ASD features and social cognitive deficits in patients with schizophrenia.

Even though in most models the PAUSS emerged as an individual predictor of social cognitive performance, this was not the case in two of the models analyzed. This could be due to the characteristics of the social cognition tests used, in fact, the PAUSS emerged in other models, including different tests used for the measure of the same social cognitive domains. Also, in the case of the BLERT not being predicted by the PAUSS, it could be due to the fact that it uses multiple modalities, thus offering participants many sources of information to use when making a decision. Indeed, the BLERT task requires participants to recognize the presented emotion in a series of short videos, thus offering different and dynamic modalities such as facial, verbal, vocal-tonal, and body movement cues, whereas the ER-40 is based only on static pictures, with no additional information.

As for the EYES task, its performance in patients with autism has been already demonstrated to be also related to other factors such as age and IQ (Peñuelas-Calvo et al., 2018) and performance on that task in this schizophrenia sample shared 35% of the variance with an intelligence measure (Pinkham et al., 2016; 2018).

Premorbid IQ emerged as a predictor of most of the social cognitive abilities analyzed. This is in line with the notion, already demonstrated in literature, of the close relationship between IQ and different social cognitive domains in schizophrenia (Bliksted et al., 2014; Akiyama et al., 2016), as well as the often-reported lower levels of intelligence in many individuals with ASD.

This study has limits: first, it was not designed for the specific purpose of assessing the impact of ASD symptoms on social cognitive abilities; second, the specific characteristics of the sample, including patients with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder, with a

relatively low mean PANSS total score, may limit the possibility to apply these results to a wider population of patients with psychotic disorders; third, the choice to join two already existing datasets limited the number of social cognitive tasks assessed to those that were already present in both phases 3 and 5 of SCOPE; fourth, being an exploratory study, and in order to avoid type II errors, all the potential predictors emerged at the univariate analyses were included in the regressions, regardless of possible collinearity, as they all were considered of clinical interest; finally, another possible limitation is represented by the fact that the variance accounted for by the PAUSS, among the various predictors, is small. However, patients were not selected for having elevations on the PAUSS, which could be an important goal for future research.

Even with these limitations, the results of this study suggest a relevant role of ASD symptoms in affecting social cognitive dysfunctions in schizophrenia, such that those individuals with more ASD symptoms are likely to show greater difficulties. Previous work has indicated that the presence and severity of social cognitive impairment in schizophrenia varies at the level of the individual (Hajdúk et al., 2018), and it now seems likely that ASD symptoms may contribute to this variation.

These results, if confirmed by more specific studies, may have possible clinical implications, as far as ASD features emerge as a relevant first-line assessment target, given their potential role in as predictors of key clinical features, also potentially implied in real-life outcomes. This perspective could be pursued due to the practicality of the use of the PAUSS in the clinical practice.

Additional work should continue to examine factors that can help to predict who will be likely to show social cognitive impairment, and to explore the impact of ASD symptoms in other key features of schizophrenia.

Acknowledgements

PH designed the project, reviewed and discussed the data and statistical analyses, and the final version of the paper; AP and DP participated in the design of the study; GN prepared the database and participated in the analyses; GD participated in the analyses and wrote the paper; AV participated in the design of the project, and discussion of the data and manuscript. All authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was funded by Grant number RO1MH093432 to Drs. Harvey, Pinkham, and Penn from the US National Institute of Mental Health.

In the last year Dr. Harvey has received consulting fees or travel reimbursements from: Alkermes, Boehringer Ingelheim, Intra-Cellular Therapies, Jazz Pharma, Lundbeck Pharma, Minerva Pharma, Otsuka America (Otsuka Digital Health), Sanofi Pharma, Sunovion Pharma, and Teva. He receives royalties from the Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia and the MATRICS Consensus Battery. He has a research grant from Takeda and from the Stanley Medical Research Foundation. In the last two years Dr. Vita has received directly or indirectly support for clinical studies or trials, conferences, consultancies, congress presentations, advisory boards from: Angelini, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Fidia, Forum Pharmaceutical, Innovapharma, Janssen-Cilag, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Recordati, and Takeda. In the last year, Dr. Pinkham has received consulting fees and travel reimbursements from Roche.

References

- Abdi Z, Sharma T, 2004 Social cognition and its neural correlates in schizophrenia and autism. *CNS Spectr* 9, 335–343. [PubMed: 15115945]
- Akiyama K, Saito S, Saito A, Ozeki Y, Watanabe T, Fujii K, Honda G, Shimoda K, 2016 Predictive value of premorbid IQ, negative symptoms, and age for cognitive and social functions in Japanese patients with schizophrenia: A study using the Japanese version of the Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res* 246, 663–671. 10.1016/j.psychres.2016.10.070. [PubMed: 27838018]
- American Psychiatric Association, 2013 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5®). American Psychiatric Association, Arlington, VA.
- American Psychiatric Association, 1994 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders Fourth edition (DSM-IV). American Psychiatric Association, Washington, DC.
- Barlatti S, Deste G, Ariu C, Vita A, 2016 Autism Spectrum Disorder and Schizophrenia: Do They Overlap? *Int. J. Emerg. Ment. Health Hum. Resil* 18, 760–763. 10.4172/1522-4821.1000318
- Barlatti S, Deste G, Gregorelli M, Vita A, 2019 Autistic traits in a sample of adult patients with schizophrenia: prevalence and correlates. *Psychol. Med* 49, 140–148. 10.1017/S0033291718000600 [PubMed: 29554995]
- Baron-Cohen S, Wheelwright S, Hill J, Raste Y, Plumb I, 2001 The “Reading the Mind in the Eyes” Test revised version: a study with normal adults, and adults with Asperger syndrome or high-functioning autism. *J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry* 42, 241–251. [PubMed: 11280420]
- Baxter AJ, Brugha TS, Erskine HE, Scheurer RW, Vos T, Scott JG, 2015 The epidemiology and global burden of autism spectrum disorders. *Psychol. Med* 45, 601–613. 10.1017/S003329171400172X [PubMed: 25108395]
- Bleuler E, 1950 *Dementia praecox or the group of schizophrenias, Dementia praecox or the group of schizophrenias*. International Universities Press, Oxford, England.
- Bliksted V, Fagerlund B, Weed E, Frith C, Videbech P, 2014 Social cognition and neurocognitive deficits in first-episode schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res* 153, 9–17. 10.1016/j.schres.2014.01.010 [PubMed: 24480016]
- Bliksted V, Ubukata S, Koelkebeck K, 2016 Discriminating autism spectrum disorders from schizophrenia by investigation of mental state attribution on an on-line mentalizing task: A review and meta-analysis. *Schizophr. Res* 171, 16–26. 10.1016/j.schres.2016.01.037 [PubMed: 26817402]
- Bryson G, Bell M, Lysaker P, 1997 Affect recognition in schizophrenia: a function of global impairment or a specific cognitive deficit. *Psychiatry Res.* 71, 105–113. [PubMed: 9255855]
- Cheung C, Yu K, Fung G, Leung M, Wong C, Li Q, Sham P, Chua S, McAlonan G, 2010 Autistic disorders and schizophrenia: related or remote? An anatomical likelihood estimation. *PLoS ONE* 5, e12233. 10.1371/journal.pone.0012233
- Corcoran R, Mercer G, Frith CD, 1995 Schizophrenia, symptomatology and social inference: investigating “theory of mind” in people with schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res* 17, 5–13. [PubMed: 8541250]
- Deste G, Barlati S, Gregorelli M, Lisoni J, Turrina C, Valsecchi P, Vita A, 2018 Looking through autistic features in schizophrenia using the PANSS Autism Severity Score (PAUSS). *Psychiatry Res.* 270, 764–768. 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.10.074 [PubMed: 30551322]
- Dickinson D, Ramsey ME, Gold JM, 2007 Overlooking the obvious: a meta-analytic comparison of digit symbol coding tasks and other cognitive measures in schizophrenia. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 64, 532–542. 10.1001/archpsyc.64.5.532 [PubMed: 17485605]
- Eack SM, Bahorik AL, McKnight SAF, Hogarty SS, Greenwald DP, Newhill CE, Phillips ML, Keshavan MS, Minschew NJ, 2013 Commonalities in social and non-social cognitive impairments in adults with autism spectrum disorder and schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res* 148, 24–28. 10.1016/j.schres.2013.05.013 [PubMed: 23768814]
- Ehrenreich H, Mitjans M, Van der Auwera S, Centeno TP, Begemann M, Grabe HJ, Bonn S, Nave K-A, 2018 OTTO: a new strategy to extract mental disease-relevant combinations of GWAS hits from individuals. *Mol. Psychiatry* 23, 476–486. 10.1038/mp.2016.208 [PubMed: 27922606]

- Fernandes JM, Cajão R, Lopes R, Jerónimo R, Barahona-Corrêa JB, 2018 Social Cognition in Schizophrenia and Autism Spectrum Disorders: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Direct Comparisons. *Front. Psychiatry* 9, 504 10.3389/fpsy.2018.00504 [PubMed: 30459645]
- First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams JBW, 2002 Structured Clinical Interview for DSM- IV[®] Axis I Disorders (SCID-I), Research Version, Patient edition with Psychotic Screen (SCID- I/P W/PSY SCREEN. American Psychiatric Publishing.
- Golarai G, Grill-Spector K, Reiss AL, 2006 Autism and the development of face processing. *Clin. Neurosci. Res* 6, 145–160. 10.1016/j.cnr.2006.08.001 [PubMed: 18176635]
- Hajdúk M, Harvey PD, Penn DL, Pinkham AE, 2018 Social cognitive impairments in individuals with schizophrenia vary in severity. *J. Psychiatr. Res* 104, 65–71. 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.06.017 [PubMed: 29982084]
- Harvey PD, Deckler E, Jarskog LF, Penn DL, Pinkham AE, 2018 Predictors of social functioning in patients with higher and lower levels of reduced emotional experience: Social cognition, social competence, and symptom severity. *Schizophr. Res* 10.1016/j.schres.2018.11.005
- Harvey PD, Penn D, 2010 Social cognition: the key factor predicting social outcome in people with schizophrenia? *Psychiatry Edgmont Pa Townsh.* 7, 41–44.
- Kana RK, Keller TA, Cherkassky VL, Minshew NJ, Just MA, 2009 Atypical frontal-posterior synchronization of Theory of Mind regions in autism during mental state attribution. *Soc. Neurosci.* 4, 135–152. 10.1080/17470910802198510 [PubMed: 18633829]
- Kästner A, Begemann M, Michel TM, Everts S, Stepniak B, Bach C, Poustka L, Becker J, Banaschewski T, Dose M, Ehrenreich H, 2015 Autism beyond diagnostic categories: characterization of autistic phenotypes in schizophrenia. *BMC Psychiatry* 15, 115 10.1186/s12888-015-0494-x [PubMed: 25968177]
- Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA, 1987 The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Bull* 13, 261–276. [PubMed: 3616518]
- King BH, Lord C, 2011 Is schizophrenia on the autism spectrum? *Brain Res.* 1380, 34–41. 10.1016/j.brainres.2010.11.031 [PubMed: 21078305]
- Knowles EEM, David AS, Reichenberg A, 2010 Processing speed deficits in schizophrenia: reexamining the evidence. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 167, 828–835. 10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.09070937 [PubMed: 20439390]
- Kohler CG, Turner TH, Bilker WB, Brensinger CM, Siegel SJ, Kanes SJ, Gur RE, Gur RC, 2003 Facial emotion recognition in schizophrenia: intensity effects and error pattern. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 160, 1768–1774. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.160.10.1768> [PubMed: 14514489]
- Lai M-C, Lombardo MV, Baron-Cohen S, 2014 Autism. *Lancet Lond. Engl* 383, 896–910. 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61539-1
- Lee J, Park S, 2005 Working memory impairments in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *J. Abnorm. Psychol* 114, 599–611. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.114.4.599>. [PubMed: 16351383]
- McDonald S, Flanagan S, Rollins J, Kinch J, 2003 TASIT: A new clinical tool for assessing social perception after traumatic brain injury. *J. Head Trauma Rehabil.* 18, 219–238. [PubMed: 12802165]
- Nuechterlein KH, Barch DM, Gold JM, Goldberg TE, Green MF, Heaton RK, 2004 Identification of separable cognitive factors in schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res* 72, 29–39. 10.1016/j.schres.2004.09.007 [PubMed: 15531405]
- Nuechterlein KH, Green MF, 2006 MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery Manual. National Institute of Mental Health and University of California, Los Angeles, California.
- Nuechterlein KH, Green MF, Kern RS, Baade LE, Barch DM, Cohen JD, Essock S, Fenton WS, Frese FJ, Gold JM, Goldberg T, Heaton RK, Keefe RSE, Kraemer H, Mesholam-Gately R, Seidman LJ, Stover E, Weinberger DR, Young AS, Zalcman S, Marder SR, 2008 The MATRICS Consensus Cognitive Battery, part 1: test selection, reliability, and validity. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 165, 203–213. 10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.07010042 [PubMed: 18172019]
- Oliveira B, Mitjans M, Nitsche MA, Kuo M-F, Ehrenreich H, 2018 Excitation-inhibition dysbalance as predictor of autistic phenotypes. *J. Psychiatr. Res* 104, 96–99. 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.06.004 [PubMed: 30015265]

- Parellada M, Pina-Camacho L, Moreno C, Aleman Y, Krebs M-O, Desco M, Merchán-Naranjo J, Del Rey-Mejías A, Boada L, Llorente C, Moreno D, Arango C, Janssen J, 2017 Insular pathology in young people with high-functioning autism and first-episode psychosis. *Psychol. Med* 47, 2472–2482. 10.1017/S0033291717000988 [PubMed: 28436341]
- Penn DL, Sanna LJ, Roberts DL, 2008 Social cognition in schizophrenia: an overview. *Schizophr. Bull* 34, 408–411. 10.1093/schbul/sbn014. [PubMed: 18375928]
- Peñuelas-Calvo I, Sareen A, Sevilla-Llewellyn-Jones J, Fernández-Berrocal P, 2018 The “Reading the Mind in the Eyes” Test in Autism-Spectrum Disorders Comparison with Healthy Controls: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *J. Autism Dev. Disord* 10.1007/s10803-018-3814-4
- Pinkham AE, 2014 Social cognition in schizophrenia. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 75 Suppl 2, 14–19. 10.4088/JCP.13065su1.04 [PubMed: 24919166]
- Pinkham AE, Harvey PD, Penn DL, 2018 Social Cognition Psychometric Evaluation: Results of the Final Validation Study. *Schizophr. Bull* 44, 737–748. 10.1093/schbul/sbx117 [PubMed: 28981848]
- Pinkham AE, Penn DL, Green MF, Harvey PD, 2016 Social Cognition Psychometric Evaluation: Results of the Initial Psychometric Study. *Schizophr. Bull* 42, 494–504. 10.1093/schbul/sbv056 [PubMed: 25943125]
- Sasson NJ, Pinkham AE, Carpenter KLH, Belger A, 2011 The benefit of directly comparing autism and schizophrenia for revealing mechanisms of social cognitive impairment. *J. Neurodev. Disord* 3, 87–100. 10.1007/s11689-010-9068-x. [PubMed: 21484194]
- Sergi MJ, Rassovsky Y, Widmark C, Reist C, Erhart S, Braff DL, Marder SR, Green MF, 2007 Social cognition in schizophrenia: relationships with neurocognition and negative symptoms. *Schizophr. Res* 90, 316–324. 10.1016/j.schres.2006.09.028 [PubMed: 17141477]
- Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E, Hergueta T, Baker R, Dunbar GC, 1998 The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* 59 Suppl 20, 22–33;quiz 34–57.
- Stepniak B, Kästner A, Poggi G, Mitjans M, Begemann M, Hartmann A, Van der Auwera S, Sananbenesi F, Krueger-Burg D, Matuszko G, Brosi C, Homuth G, Völzke H, Bensele F, Bagni C, Fischer U, Dityatev A, Grabe H-J, Rujescu D, Fischer A, Ehrenreich H, 2015 Accumulated common variants in the broader fragile X gene family modulate autistic phenotypes. *EMBO Mol. Med* 7, 1565–1579. 10.15252/emmm.201505696 [PubMed: 26612855]
- Vaskinn A, Abu-Akel A, 2018 The interactive effect of autism and psychosis severity on theory of mind and functioning in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychology*. 10.1037/neu0000499
- Weickert TW, Goldberg TE, Gold JM, Bigelow LB, Egan MF, Weinberger DR, 2000 Cognitive impairments in patients with schizophrenia displaying preserved and compromised intellect. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 57, 907–913. [PubMed: 10986554]
- Wieckowski AT, White SW, 2017 Eye-Gaze Analysis of Facial Emotion Recognition and Expression in Adolescents with ASD. *J. Clin. Child Adolesc. Psychol. Off. J. Soc. Clin. Child Adolesc. Psychol. Am. Psychol. Assoc. Div 53* 46, 110–124. 10.1080/15374416.2016.1204924
- Zwick GP, 2017 Neuropsychological assessment in autism spectrum disorder and related conditions. *Dialogues Clin. Neurosci* 19, 373–379. [PubMed: 29398932]

Table 1 -

Characteristics of the Sample

Variable	N, Mean±SD
N	361
M:F	244:117
Age (Years)	41.7±12.0
Education (Years of Education)	12.9±2.3
WRAT-3 Standard Score (Premorbid IQ)	94.4±15.2
PANSS total score (Symptoms Severity)	61.9±15.2
PAUSS total score (Autism)	15.3±5.4
Global Cognitive Composite Score (t-score)	38.2±7.1

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2 -

Correlations between social cognition and demographic, ASD, and neurocognitive variables (Pearson's correlations).

Variables	ER40 (Pearson's r)	HINTING (Pearson's r)	EYES (Pearson's r)	BLERT (Pearson's r)	TASIT (Pearson's r)
Age (Years)	-0.214**	0.89	-0.188**	-0.353**	-0.211**
Education (Years of Education)	0.215**	0.134**	0.292**	0.305**	0.218**
WRAT-3 Standard Score (Premorbid IQ)	0.383**	0.242**	0.596**	0.508**	0.433**
PAUSS total score (Autism)	-0.221**	-0.250**	-0.144**	-0.147**	-0.283**
PANSS total score (Symptoms Severity)	-0.079	-0.145**	-0.102	-0.056	-0.207**
Global Cognitive Composite Score (t-score)	0.375**	0.374**	0.505**	0.421**	0.466**

*
p<0.05

**
p<0.01

Table 3 -

Social cognitive differences between male and female patients (t-tests).

Social cognitive tests	Males (mean±SD)	Females (mean±SD)	t-test p	Cohen's d
ER 40 (Social Cognition, Emotion Processing)	30.11 ± 5.37	30.49 ± 4.47	0.478	0.077
HINTING (Social Cognition, Mental State Attribution)	13.22 ± 3.82	13.63 ± 3.81	0.336	0.10874
EYES (Social Cognition, Mental State Attribution)	20.81 ± 5.48	20.45 ± 5.49	0.557	0.066
BLERT (Social Cognition, Emotion Processing)	13.57 ± 4.11	13.40 ± 3.79	0.703	0.043
TASIT (Social Cognition, Mental State Attribution)	44.41 ± 7.55	44.93 ± 7.66	0.543	0.068

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 4 -

Predictors of social cognitive performance (Backward linear multivariate regressions)

Dependent variables	Individual predictors	Standardized Beta	t	p
ER 40 (Social Cognition, Emotion Processing)	Age	-0.154	-3.194	0.002
	WRAT-3 Standard Score (Premorbid IQ)	0.241	4.563	<0.001
	PAUSS (Autism)	-0.150	-3.052	0.002
	Global Cognition	0.220	4.093	<0.001
	<i>Model F = 27.031, R² = 0.241, Adjusted R² = 0.232</i>			<0.001
HINTING (Social Cognition, Mental State Attribution)	PAUSS (Autism)	-0.189	-3.701	<0.001
	Global Cognition	0.324	6.341	<0.001
	<i>Model F = 35.770, R² = 0.173, Adjusted R² = 0.169</i>			<0.001
EYES (Social Cognition, Mental State Attribution)	Age	-0.082	-1.975	0.049
	WRAT-3 Standard Score (Premorbid IQ)	0.443	9.728	<0.001
	Global Cognition	0.311	6.939	<0.001
	<i>Model F = 87.362, R² = 0.434, Adjusted R² = 0.429</i>			<0.001
BLERT (Social Cognition, Emotion Processing)	Age	-0.293	-6.778	<0.001
	WRAT-3 Standard Score (Premorbid IQ)	0.331	6.953	<0.001
	Global Cognition	0.256	5.449	<0.001
	<i>Model F = 70.269, R² = 0.381, Adjusted R² = 0.376</i>			<0.001
TASIT (Social Cognition, Mental State Attribution)	Age	-0.159	-3.548	<0.001
	WRAT-3 Standard Score (Premorbid IQ)	0.263	5.333	<0.001
	PAUSS(Autism)	-0.185	-4.033	<0.001
	Global Cognition	0.292	5.825	<0.001
	<i>Model F = 43.784, R² = 0.340, Adjusted R² = 0.332</i>			<0.001

ER40: Penn Emotion Recognition Test total score

HINTING: Hinting Task total score

EYES: Reading the Mind in the Eyes total score

BLERT: Bell Lysaker Emotion Recognition Task total score

TASIT: The Awareness of Social Inferences Task total score

PANSS: Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale total score

PAUSS: PANSS Autism Severity Score (PAUSS) total score

WRAT-3: Wide Range Achievement Test-3 Reading subscale standard score

Global cognition: Global Cognitive Composite Score (t-score)

R² refers to the variance of the dependent variable explained by the model.