Inclusion criteria								
Age	18 to 70 years							
Diamaria	LBP of mechanical origin with/ without radiation to the							
Diagnosis	lower limb							
Pain duration	chronic (\geq 3 months) or recurrent (\geq 3 episodes in							
	previous year)							
Language	English speaking and English literate							
Contact status	Access to a telephone							
Exclusion criteria								
Pathology	Suspected or confirmed serious spinal pathology (fracture,							
	metastatic, inflammatory or infective diseases of the spine,							
	cauda equina syndrome/widespread neurological disorder).							
	Nerve root compromise (2 of strength, reflex or sensation							
	affected for same nerve root)							
Past medical history	Spinal surgery or History of systemic / inflammatory							
	disease							
Current medical	Scheduled for major surgery during treatment							
status								
Treatment status	Currently or having received treatment for chronic low							
	back pain within previous 3 months							
Pregnancy	Suspected or confirmed pregnancy							
Contraindications	Unstable angina / uncontrolled cardiac dysrhythmias /							
	severe aortic stenosis / acute systemic infection							

Table 1. Patient Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

accompanied by fever. No confounding conditions, such as

a neurological disorder or an intellectual disorder

Table 2. Primary and Secondary Outcomes Measures

Outcome	Measure	Baseline	1 st session	1	4	12	24
Primary Outcomes							
Home-based adherence	[24]			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	~
Clinic-based adherence	SIRAS [26]			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Specific adherence to back exercises at home, patient report of % prescribed sessions completed/week	[3]			✓	✓	✓	~
Physical activity (total METs)	IPAQ [25]	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Pain intensity	NRS [27]	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Pain bothersomeness	[27]	✓			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Interference with work	[27]	✓			\checkmark	\checkmark	<
Satisfaction with symptoms	[27]	✓			\checkmark	\checkmark	~
Perception of recovery	[28]	✓			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Pain related function - disability	RMDQ [29, 30]	✓			\checkmark	\checkmark	~
Pain related function – patient specific functional scale	PSFS [31]	✓			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Quality of life	EurQoL [32]	✓			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Secondary Outcomes							
Fear avoidance beliefs –physical activity subscale	FABQ [33]	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Perceived competence to follow recommendations	[34]	\checkmark	✓		\checkmark	\checkmark	~
Autonomous motivation to follow recommendations	TSRQ [35]	\checkmark	✓		\checkmark	\checkmark	~
Controlled motivation to follow recommendations	TSRQ [35]	\checkmark	✓		\checkmark	\checkmark	~
Amotivation regarding recommendations	TSRQ [35]	✓	✓		\checkmark	\checkmark	~

Note: 1^{st} session = assessment conducted immediately following the first treatment session. MET = metabolic equivalent. SIRAS = Sports Injury Rehabilitation Adherence Scale. IPAQ = International Short Form Physical Activity Questionnaire. NRS = Numerical Rating Scale. RMDQ = Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire. PSFS = Patient Specific Functional Scale. EurQoL = European Quality of Life Questionnaire. FABQ = Fear Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire. TSRQ = Treatment Self Regulation Questionnaire.

 Table 3. Baseline characteristics

Participant characteristics	Control	Experimental
Age (years)	46.71 (13.48)	44.11 (12.96)
Female sex (%)	64/122 (52)	73/131 (56)
Irish birth (%)	80/93 (86)	87/101 (86)
Married or partner (%)	47/78 (60)	54/85 (64)
Weight (kg)	77.09 (15.48)	76.18 (17.47)
Height (cm)	167.52 (9.52)	167.73 (10.19)
Smoker (%)	27/87 (31)	25/102 (25)
Sick leave for low back pain (%)	50/91 (55)	41/97 (42)
Previous treatment (%)	38/93 (41)	46/100 (46)
Paid employment (%)	32/90 (36)	44/101 (44)
Participant Outcomes	Control	Experimental
Physical activity (total METs)	1849.06 (3525.31)	2356.84 (5650.21)
Pain intensity	5.84 (2.42)	5.53 (1.94)
Pain bothersomeness	3.31 (1.15)	3.28 (.99)
Interference	3.05 (1.14)	3.14 (1.15)
Satisfaction with symptoms	1.45 (.77)	1.63 (.95)
Perception of recovery	72 (2.17)	27 (2.20)
Pain related function (Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire score)	12.44 (4.70)	11.51 (4.82)
Pain related function (Patient specific function)	3.85 (2.02)	4.03 (2.01)
Quality of life	.51 (.22)	.57 (.20)
Fear avoidance beliefs	16.95 (6.96)	17.39 (7.85)
Perceived competence to follow recommendations	6.67 (.57)	6.46 (.77)
Autonomous motivation to follow recommendations	6.64 (.54)	6.60 (.58)
Controlled motivation to follow recommendations	2.75 (1.29)	2.94 (1.45)
Amotivation	2.21 (.98)	2.27 (1.15)
Depression	9.07 (8.28)	7.32 (8.48)
Physiotherapist Characteristics	Control	Experimental
Female sex (%)	23/29 (79.31)	17/24 (70.83)
Age (years)	32.24 (5.26)	31.92 (4.70)
Clinical experience (years)	9.90 (5.16)	9.75 (4.33)
Autonomous orientation	100.10 (6.77)	94.05 (8.01)
Controlling orientation	57.21 (15.28)	58.61 (10.71)
Impersonal orientation	46.62 (9.03)	50.65 (12.03)

Note: MET = metabolic equivalent. Except where otherwise indicated, values represent group means with standard deviations listed in parentheses. Physiotherapist motivational orientation personality styles measured using the General Causality Orientations Scale [38].

	Effects of intervention (Clinic cluster adjusted)				Effects of i (Therapist clu			Effects of intervention (not cluster adjusted)			
	Mean (95% CI)	n n	ICC	d	Mean (95% CI)	n n	ICC	d	Mean (95% CI)	$\frac{p}{p}$	d
Adherence Outcomes		P	ice	u		<u>P</u>	ice	u		P	u
Home-based	-										
adherence											
Week 1	.46 (.15, .77)	.00		.32	.50 (.17, .82)	.00		.35	.46 (.16, .77)	.00	.32
Week 4	.43 (.14, .71)	.00		.30	.46 (.16, .76)	.00		.32	.43 (.15, .71)	.00	.30
Week 12	.39 (.04, .74)	.03		.27	.43 (.06, .81)	.02		.30	.39 (.04, .74)	.03	.27
Week 24	.35 (13, .83)	.15		.24	.40 (11, .91)	.12		.28	.36 (12, .83)	.14	.25
Overall	.41 (.10, .71)	.01	<.01	.28	.45 (.12, .78)	.01	<.01	.31	.41 (.10, .72)	.01	.28
Clinic-based adhere											
Week 1	.10 (14, .34)	.43		.15	.09 (16, .33)	.48		.13	.09 (16, .33)	.48	.13
Week 4	.09 (13, .31)	.44		.13	.08 (14, .30)	.48		.12	.08 (14, .30)	.48	.12
Week 12	.07 (19, .34)	.58		.10	.07 (19, .34)	.58		.10	.07 (19, .34)	.58	.10
Overall	.09 (13, .31)	.44	.08	.13	.08 (14, .30)	.48	.10	.12	.08 (14, .30)	.48	.12
Specific adherence	to back exercises at home										
Week 1	4.44 (-1.72, 10.60)	.16		.03	4.71 (-1.39, 10.81)	.13		.04	4.47 (-1.70, 10.64)	10.64	.03
Week 4	3.82 (-1.02, 8.66)	.12		.04	4.54 (58, 9.66)	.08		.05	3.90 (95, 8.76)	8.76	.04
Week 12	3.20 (-2.77, 9.16)	.29		.05	4.37 (-2.09, 10.84)	.18		.07	3.34 (-2.64, 9.32)	9.32	.05
Week 24	2.57 (-6.05, 11.19)	.56		.06	4.20 (-4.96, 13.36)	.37		.08	2.77 (-5.87, 11.42)	11.42	.06
Overall	3.51 (-1.61, 8.62)	.18	<.01	.05	4.46 (-1.09, 10.00)	.11	<.01	.06	3.62 (-1.51, 8.75)	8.75	.05

Table 4. Effects of CONNECT intervention – between-arm differences in outcome variables over time

	Effects of inte	Effects of i	interven	Effects of intervention (not cluster adjusted)							
	(Clinic cluster adjusted)							(Therapist cl	uster adj		
	Mean (95% CI)	р	ICC	d	Mean (95% CI)	р	ICC	d	Mean (95% CI)	p	d
Physical activity (MET	ΓS/total)										
Week 1	-711.67 (-2135.22, 711.88)	.33		20	-680.43 (-2187.02, 826.16)	.37		19	-735.22 (-2166.30, 695.85)	.31	21
Week 4	-709.64 (-2016.55, 597.28)	.29		20	-687.88 (-2070.55, 694.79)	.33		20	-729.57 (-2043.57, 584.42)	.28	21
Week 12	-707.60 (-1967.17, 551.98)	.27		20	-695.33 (-2029.48, 638.81)	.31		20	-723.93 (-1989.77, 541.91)	.26	21
Week 24	-705.56 (-1994.75, 583.63)	.28		20	-702.79 (-2071.85, 666.27)	.31		20	-718.28 (-2012.61, 576.05)	.28	20
Overall	-708.62 (-1982.45, 565.22)	.28	.02	20	-691.61 (-2039.79, 656.57)	.31	<.01	20	-726.75 (-2007.31, 553.80)	.27	21
Pain, Function, Quality	y of Life										
Pain intensity											
Week 4	38 (-1.16, .40)	.34		16	31 (-1.14,65)	.46		13	38 (-1.16, .40)	.34	16
Week 12	10 (71, .51)	.75		04	01 (65, .64)	.98		.00	10 (71, .51)	.75	04
Week 24	.18 (48, .83)	.60		.07	.30 (38, .98)	.38		.13	.18 (48, .83)	.60	.07
Overall	10 (71, .51)	.75	.03	04	01 (65, .64)	.98	<.01	.00	10 (71, .51)	.75	04
Pain bothersomeness											
Week 4	09 (48, .30)	.64		08	20 (61, .21)	.35		17	11 (50, .28)	.58	10
Week 12	07 (40, .24)	.65		06	16 (50, .19)	.37		14	09 (42, .23)	.58	08
Week 24	05 (39, .29)	.76		05	11 (47, .25)	.54		10	07 (41, .27)	.68	06
Overall	07 (40, .25)	.65	.01	06	16 (50, .19)	.37	.01	14	09 (42, .23)	.58	08
Interference with work									,		
Week 4	43 (83,04)	.03		38	45 (87,04)	.03		40	43 (83,04)	.03	38
Week 12	31 (65, .02)	.07		28	28 (63, .07)	.12		25	31 (65, .02)	.07	28
Week 24	19 (56, .18)	.30		17	11 (49, .27)	.58		10	19 (56, .18)	.30	17
Overall	31 (65, .02)	.07	.01	28	28 (63, .07)	.12	.02	25	31 (65, .02)	.07	28

		Effects of intervention (Clinic cluster adjusted)				nterven		Effects of inte (not cluster a		L	
	Mean (95% CI)	p	ICC	d	(Therapist cl Mean (95% CI)	p	ICC	d	Mean (95% CI)	p	d
Satisfaction with cu	· /	P	ice	u		P	100	u		P	u
Week 4	18 (62, .26)	.41		56	07 (53, .39)	.76		09	17 (61, .27)	.46	22
Week 12	12 (44, .20)	.48		41	05 (38, .29)	.70		06	10 (42, .22)	.55	13
Week 24	05 (38, .28)	.40		25	02 (37, .33)	.91		03	03 (37, .31)	.87	04
Overall	12 (44, .20)	.48	<.01	41	05 (38, .29)	.79	.01	06	10 (42, .22)	.55	13
Treatment satisfact		.10		• • • •	.05 (.50, .27)	.,,	.01	.00	.10 (.12, .22)	.55	.15
Week 4	.10 (18, .39)	.47		22	.18 (13, .49)	.25		.22	.10 (20, .41)	.51	.12
Week 12	.05 (15, .26)	.62		14	.13 (10, .36)	.26		.15	.05 (17, .28)	.65	.06
Week 24	.00 (24, .24)	1.00		06	.08 (18, .33)	.55		.09	.00 (25, .25)	1.00	.00
Overall	.05 (15, .26)	.62	.002	14	.13 (10, .36)	.26	.01	.15	.05 (17, .28)	.65	.06
Perception of recov											
Week 4	.58 (03, 1.20)	.06		.27	.50 (14, 1.13)	.13		.23	.60 (02, 1.21)	.06	.27
Week 12	.51 (01, 1.02)	.05		.23	.44 (10, .98)	.11		.20	.52 (.01, 1.04)	.05	.24
Week 24	.44 (19, 1.07)	.17		.20	.38 (27, 1.03)	.25		.17	.45 (18, 1.08)	.16	.21
Overall	.51 (01, 1.02)	.05	.03	.23	.44 (10, .98)	.11	.03	.20	.52 (.01, 1.04)	.05	.24
Pain related functio	n (Roland-Morris Disa	ability (Questio	nnaire sc							
Week 4	80 (-1.38, .77)	.32	-	17	82 (-2.48, .85)	.34		17	94 (-2.53, .65)	.25	20
Week 12	36 (-1.68, .96)	.60		08	50 (-1.90, .90)	.48		11	49 (-1.83, .85)	.47	11
Week 24	.09 (-1.43, 1.60)	.91		.02	19 (-1.78, 1.41)	.82		04	05 (-1.58, 1.49)	.95	01
Overall	36 (-1.68, .96)	.60	.01	08	50 (-1.90, .90)	.48	.02	11	49 (-1.83, .85)	.47	11
Pain related function	n (Patient specific										
function)											
Week 4	.33 (28, .93)	.29		.16	.44 (21, 1.08)	.18		.22	.40 (22, 1.01)	.21	.20
Week 12	.38 (20, .95)	.20		.19	.44 (18, 1.06)	.16		.22	.45 (14, 1.04)	.14	.22
Week 24	.43 (34, 1.20)	.27		.21	.44 (37, 1.25)	.28		.22	.50 (28, 1.28)	.21	.25
Overall	.38 (20, .95)	.20	.07	.19	.44 (18, 1.06)	.16	.16	.22	.45 (14, 1.04)	.14	.22

	Effects of (Clinic cl	Effects of intervention (Therapist cluster adjusted)				Effects of intervention (not cluster adjusted)					
	Mean (95% CI)	р	ICC	d	Mean (95% CI)	р	ICC	d	Mean (95% CI)	p	d
Quality of life											
Week 4	05 (12, .01)	.09		25	06 (13, .01)	.08		27	05 (12, .01)	.12	25
Week 12	04 (10, .01)	.13		19	04 (10, .02)	.19		17	04 (10, .01)	.13	19
Week 24	03 (09, .03)	.35		14	02 (08, .05)	.65		07	03 (09, .03)	.32	14
Overall	04 (10, .01)	.13	<.01	19	04 (10, .02)	.19	<.01	17	04 (10, 1.52)	.13	19
Motivational Outcomes											
Fear avoidance											
Week 4	99 (-3.40, 1.42)	.42		14	86 (-3.31, 1.60)	.50		12	-1.09 (-3.50, 1.32)	.38	16
Week 12	90 (-3.07, 1.28)	.42		13	77 (-3.04, 1.50)	.51		11	-1.01 (-3.20, 1.17)	.36	15
Week 24	81 (-3.73, 2.12)	.59		12	68 (-3.82, 2.46)	.67		10	94 (-3.87, 2.00)	.53	13
Overall	90 (-3.07, 1.28)	.42	<.01	13	77 (-3.04, 1.50)	.51	.01	11	-1.01 (-3.20, 1.17)	.36	15
Perceived competence to for	llow recommendations										
Immediately post-initial treatment	.21 (08, .50)	.15		.37	.27 (04, .57)	.08		.47	.21 (08, .49)	.16	.36
Week 4	.33 (.09, .56)	.01		.57	.38 (.13, .64)	.00		.67	.32 (.09, .56)	.01	.56
Week 12	.44 (.19, .69)	.00		.78	.50 (.23, .77)	.00		.87	.44 (.19, .69)	.00	.77
Week 24	.56 (.24, .88)	.00		.99	.61 (.28, .95)	.00		1.08	.55 (.23, .87)	.00	.97
Overall	.39 (.15, .62)	.00	<.01	.68	.44 (.19, .69)	.00	<.01	.77	.38 (.14, .61)	.00	.66
Autonomous motivation to fol	llow recommendations										
Immediately post-initial treatment	.18 (04, .41)	.11		.34	.21 (02, .45)	.08		.39	.19 (04, .42)	.10	.35
Week 4	.09 (08, .26)	.28		.17	.12 (06, .30)	.18		.22	.10 (07, .27)	.26	.18
Week 12	.00 (13, .14)	.96		.01	.03 (11, .17)	.67		.06	.01 (13, .14)	.93	.01
Week 24	09 (23, .05)	.23		16	06 (21, .09)	.44		11	08 (23, .06)	.24	16
Overall	.05 (10, .20)	.53	<.01	.09	.08 (08, .23)	.34	<.01	.14	.05 (10, .20)	.50	.10
treatment Week 4 Week 12 Week 24	.09 (08, .26) .00 (13, .14) 09 (23, .05)	.28 .96 .23	<.01	.17 .01 16	.12 (06, .30) .03 (11, .17) 06 (21, .09)	.18 .67 .44	<.01	.22 .06 11	.10 (07, .27) .01 (13, .14) 08 (23, .06)	.26 .93 .24	.18 .01 16

	Effects of intervention (Clinic cluster adjusted)					Effects of intervention (Therapist cluster adjusted)				Effects of intervention (not cluster adjusted)			
	Mean (95% CI)	р	ICC	d	Mean (95% CI)		ICC	d	Mean (95% CI)	р	d		
Controlled motivation	to follow recommendat	ions											
Immediately post- initial treatment	10 (41, .21)	.53		08	19 (51, .13)	.24		15	10 (41, .21)	.52	08		
Week 4	08 (38, .22)	.61		06	14 (46, .18)	.40		11	08 (39, .22)	.60	06		
Week 12	06 (43, .31)	.76		05	08 (47, .31)	.68		06	06 (44, .31)	.73	05		
Week 24	04 (52, .45)	.88		03	03 (54, .48)	.92		02	05 (53, .44)	.85	04		
Overall	07 (40, .26)	.68	<.01	05	11 (46, .24)	.54	<.01	08	07 (40, .26)	.67	06		
Amotivation													
Immediately post- initial treatment	25 (62, .12)	.19		25	23 (62, .16)	.25		23	25 (62, .12)	.19	25		
Week 4	36 (67,05)	.02		37	34 (66,01)	.04		34	36 (67,05)	.02	37		
Week 12	47 (81,12)	.01		48	44 (80,09)	.02		45	47 (81,12)	.01	48		
Week 24	58 (-1.02,13)	.01		59	55 (-1.02, -1.02)	.02		56	58 (-1.02,13)	.01	59		
Overall	41 (73,10)	.01	<.01	42	39 (72,06)	.02	.01	40	41 (73,10)	.01	42		

Note: A positive value indicates that the experimental arm was higher on the outcome variable, compared with the controls. Standardized mean difference effect sizes (*d*) were calculated using baseline SD from control arm participants. Where baseline measures were not relevant (e.g., adherence variables), the control arm's SD at each time point was employed to calculate *d*.