

Research Bank

Journal article

Can neighborhood green space mitigate health inequalities? A study of socio-economic status and mental health

Sugiyama, Takemi, Villanueva, Karen, Knuiman, Matthew, Francis, Jacinta, Foster, Sarah, Wood, Lisa and Giles-Corti, Billie

This is the accepted manuscript version. For the publisher's version please see:

Sugiyama, T., Villanueva, K., Knuiman, M., Francis, J., Foster, S., Wood, L. and Giles-Corti, B. (2016). Can neighborhood green space mitigate health inequalities? A study of socio-economic status and mental health. *Health and Place*, 38, pp. 16-21.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2016.01.002>

This work © 2016 is licensed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Can Neighborhood Green Space Mitigate Health Inequalities? A Study of Socio-Economic Status and Mental Health

ABSTRACT

This study examined whether the association of psychological distress with area-level socio-economic status (SES) was moderated by the area and attractiveness of local green space. As expected, the odds of higher psychological distress was higher in residents in lower SES areas than those in higher SES areas. However, our results were inconclusive with regard to the moderating role of green space in the relationship between psychological distress and SES. Further investigations incorporating safety and maintenance features of green space and street-level greenery are warranted.

KEYWORDS

psychological distress; disparity; disadvantage; park; neighborhood environment

1 **BACKGROUND**

2 Socio-economic disparities in health persist in society, and reducing health inequalities is
3 recognized as a critical strategy for population health (Centers for Disease Control and
4 Prevention, 2013; Marmot and Bell, 2012; National Preventative Health Taskforce, 2009).

5 Despite public health efforts to reduce inequalities, systematic and avoidable health disparities
6 exist between people of lower and higher levels socio-economic status (SES), who in definition
7 differ in terms of access to material and social resources (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2008).
8 For example, in Australia, those who are in the lowest quintile in household income are 2 to 4
9 times more likely to suffer from long-term ill health than those in the highest quintile (Brown and
10 Nepal, 2010). Socio-economic disparities also exist in mental health (Lorant et al., 2003). A
11 Welsh study found that residents of lower income areas tended to have poorer mental health
12 status than those in higher income areas (Fone et al., 2007). A Danish study also reported that
13 lower income (bottom third) participants had 3.5 times higher odds of minor depression, and 8.5
14 times higher odds of major depression, relative to those with higher income (Andersen et al.,
15 2009).

16
17 Neighborhood green spaces are important community assets that could contribute to residents'
18 mental health, through a number of potential pathways (Lachowycz and Jones, 2013). For
19 instance, exposure to nature is known to have restorative effects (Hansmann et al., 2007; Hartig
20 et al., 2003). Physical activity and social interaction, often facilitated within local parks, are also
21 associated with better mental health (Kawachi and Berkman, 2001; Penedo and Dahn, 2005).
22 Research has shown positive associations between neighborhood green space and residents'
23 mental health. Adults who perceived their neighborhood to be greener were found to have better
24 mental health than those who perceived it less green (Sugiyama et al., 2008). Residents of

25 neighborhoods with a high-quality green space had lower levels of psychosocial distress than
26 those of neighborhoods with a low-quality open space (Francis et al., 2012). Neighborhood
27 greenness, measured using satellite imagery, was also found to be negatively associated with
28 adult's stress levels (Fan et al., 2011). Similarly, a UK study found that participants living in
29 areas with more green space tended to have lower perceived stress and a healthier cortisol
30 measure (Roe et al., 2013).

31
32 The distribution and quality of green spaces across diverse SES areas may have implications in
33 SES-related inequalities in mental health. Examining whether exposure to greener environments
34 mitigates the health gap between disadvantaged and less disadvantaged neighborhoods, Mitchell
35 and Popham (2008) found that inequalities in mortality and cardiovascular disease between areas
36 of low and high deprivation (determined based on the proportion of low income households)
37 were less pronounced among those who live in greener neighborhoods (Mitchell and Popham,
38 2008). A more recent study also found a narrower socio-economic inequality in mental well-
39 being among those who reported better access to recreational/green areas (Mitchell et al., 2015).
40 However, it is unknown how the size and attractiveness of local green spaces are related to
41 mental health inequalities between lower and higher SES areas. We postulate that the relationship
42 between psychological distress and SES will be less pronounced among participants who have
43 greater amount and more attractive green space, because exposure to greenery may reduce
44 psychological distress, which is more prevalent in lower SES areas. In addition, attractive green
45 space may encourage residents to engage in recreational walking and physical activity, which are
46 also known to be less prevalent in lower SES areas (Beenackers et al., 2012; Janssen et al., 2010).
47
48 This study examined whether the associations of residents' mental health (psychological distress)

49 with area-level SES were moderated by the size and quality of green space. We hypothesized that
50 the relationship between psychological distress and SES is less pronounced (1) among
51 participants with larger green space; (2) among those with attractive green space in their
52 neighborhood.

53

54 **METHODS**

55 **Data Source and Participants**

56 This study forms part of the Life Course Built Environment and Health project, a cross-sectional
57 data linkage study exploring associations between built environment features and health across
58 different life stages (children through to older adults) in Perth, Western Australia. The overall
59 project methods are described in detail elsewhere (Villanueva et al., 2013). Briefly, participants
60 were those who completed the Western Australian Health and Wellbeing Surveillance System
61 (HWSS) survey, administered by the Department of Health of Western Australia (DoHWA). The
62 HWSS was conducted in 2003–09, collecting data from 21,347 participants, who were sampled
63 randomly from the Perth metropolitan and Peel area. The data of built environment were linked
64 to 75% of survey participants who consented to data linkage and had a geocoded home address
65 (n=15,954). For this study, adults aged 18–64 years who completed one of the HWSS surveys
66 conducted in 2005–09 were included (n=7,034). Those who participated in 2003 and 2004 were
67 not included because some covariates used in this study were not asked in these years. Ethics
68 approval was obtained from the Department of Health of Western Australia and The University
69 of Western Australia.

70

71 **Outcome: Psychological Distress**

72 The HWSS included the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10), a 10-item scale intended to

73 assess non-specific distress based on questions about anxiety and depressive episodes that a
74 person experienced in the past four weeks. This scale has been validated in the Australian
75 population against clinical diagnoses of depressive symptom and anxiety disorder (Andrews and
76 Slade, 2001), and has shown to have better discriminatory power than the GHQ-12 for screening
77 DSM-IV mood and anxiety disorders (Furukawa et al, 2003). It has been also shown to have a
78 high internal consistency, with Cronbach’s alpha over 0.9 (Cornelius et al., 2013). Possible K10
79 scores ranged from 10–50, where a higher score indicates that a person may be experiencing
80 higher levels of distress consistent with a diagnosis of a severe depression and/or anxiety disorder
81 (Andrews and Slade, 2001). As there are no agreed standards for scoring the K10, this study
82 adopted the K10 categories used in previous Australian health surveys (Australian Bureau of
83 Statistics, 2012): “low” (10–15); “moderate” (16–21); “high” (22–29); and “very high” (30–50).
84 Due to a small number of participants belonging to the very high category (2.5%), the high and
85 very high categories were combined to create three levels: low, moderate, and high.

86

87 **Exposure: Area-Level Socio-Economic Status**

88 As an area-level indicator of SES, the Index of Relative Socio-economic Disadvantage (IRSD)
89 was extracted for each census collection district (CCD) defined by the Australian Bureau of
90 Statistics in 2006. The IRSD is a composite area-level socio-economic indicator consisting of
91 factors such as income, education, employment, and car ownership, with lower scores pertaining
92 to higher levels of disadvantage (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2008). At the time of data
93 collection, CCDs were the smallest geographic sub-units for census data collection, averaging
94 approximately 225 dwellings in urban areas (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2006). For the
95 purpose of descriptive analysis, the CCDs in which participants resided were categorized into
96 quartiles based on their IRSD score, and participants were grouped according to the quartile. The

97 IRSD was treated as continuous (standardized) for regression analysis, given that research has
98 shown roughly a linear association between socio-economic status and psychological distress
99 (Andersen et al., 2009).

100

101 **Potential Moderators: Park Area and Attractiveness**

102 Parks in this study refer to green spaces for recreational use, which are accessible to the general
103 public, free of charge. They do not include private or inaccessible spaces such as residential
104 gardens and school grounds. Parks in metropolitan Perth were manually digitized in Geographic
105 Information Systems (GIS) software, ESRI ArcGIS v10.1, by drawing a polygon around the park
106 perimeter using the 2010 orthophotography (aerial imagery) and Perth street directory as guides.
107 Parks > 0.3 ha within a road network distance of 400m, 800m, 1200m, and 1600m from
108 participants' home (neighborhood buffer) were used for the study. Parks \leq 0.3 ha (i.e., pocket
109 parks) were not included due to the unavailability of audit data. The pedestrian network was not
110 available for this study.

111

112 For each neighborhood buffer, three park variables, total area, mean attractiveness score, and
113 attractiveness score of the most attractive park, were examined as potential moderators of the
114 relationships between area-level SES and psychological distress. Total park area (ha) was
115 computed for each neighborhood buffer size for each participant. When a park was intersected by
116 a buffer, its whole area was included in the total area. Attractiveness was measured by assigning
117 a score to the park's features and amenities. In 2010, all parks > 0.3 ha in Perth metropolitan area
118 (n=2525) were audited using the Public Open Space Desktop Audit Tool (POSDAT), a desktop
119 auditing tool developed for capturing park attributes (Edwards et al., 2013). Briefly, nine park
120 attributes (lawn irrigation; walking paths; shade along paths; sporting facilities; being adjacent to

121 beach/river; water features; bird life; surrounding roads; lighting) were audited using remote
122 sensing techniques (e.g., Google Earth). Each park was given an “attractiveness” score by
123 applying a weight for each attribute, which was developed in a previous study (Giles-Corti et al.,
124 2005). As POSDAT is a desktop audit tool rather than an on-site audit tool, we were unable to
125 measure other potentially relevant attributes such as maintenance (graffiti, vandalism), natural
126 surveillance, and dog-regulation related items (e.g., areas where dogs are permitted on or off-
127 leash). Comparing the results using POSDAT with the on-site audit tool, POST (Public Open
128 Space Tool), an existing instrument on which POSDAT is based, Edwards and colleagues (2013)
129 found a significant correlation ($r=0.90$) between POSDAT and POST attractiveness scores. The
130 POSDAT items were assessed for inter-rater reliability, with 70% or more agreement for most
131 features assessed (Edwards et al., 2013). Since the attractiveness score was closely correlated
132 with park size (larger parks tend to have more features), we divided the total attractiveness score
133 by the total park size within each buffer in order to obtain mean park attractiveness, which is
134 independent of park size. In addition, the most attractive park was identified for each buffer area,
135 and its attractiveness score was used as another potential moderator. This was included in the
136 study because the presence of a highly attractive local park (with more features) was found to be
137 associated with residents’ mental health (Francis et al., 2012), walking for recreation (Sugiyama
138 et al., 2010), and park use (Kaczynski et al., 2008).

139

140 **Demographic Variables**

141 Demographic variables included in the study were: gender; age; education (high school,
142 vocational, college or higher); marital status (single, couple); children in the household (yes, no);
143 employment status (unemployed, employed, other which includes home duties, retired, student);
144 and annual household income (\leq AUD\$40,000, AUD\$40,001–\$80,000, $>$ AUD\$80,000).

145

146 **Statistical Analysis**

147 Multinomial logistic regression analysis was used to examine associations of psychological
148 distress with IRSD, adjusting for gender, age, education, marital status, and having children or
149 not. Models did not adjust for employment status and household income, as they may be
150 influenced by socio-economic disadvantage, thus may be on a causal path between SES and
151 psychological distress. The outcome had three levels, and the exposure was continuous
152 (standardized). The analysis estimated the odds ratios for being in the moderate or high level
153 (compared with the low level) of psychological distress for one standard deviation decline in
154 IRSD. (A lower IRSD score indicates a higher level of disadvantage.) We also examined if park
155 attributes (dichotomized) were associated with psychological distress, adjusting for the same
156 covariates. Interaction terms between each park variable and IRSD were calculated to examine
157 whether park area or attractiveness moderated the relationships of IRSD and psychological
158 distress. When the interaction term was significant, analyses stratified by each of the
159 dichotomized park variables were conducted. All models used cluster-robust standard errors to
160 account for non-independence of observations within CCDs (n=2340). Analyses were conducted
161 using STATA version 12 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Statistical significance was set at $p <$
162 0.05 , except for interaction terms where $p < 0.1$ was considered significant. This level of
163 significance was used for interaction analyses as they tend to have less power (Twisk, 2006).

164

165 **RESULTS**

166 Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study sample. In total, slightly less than three quarters of
167 participants reported low psychological distress. The distribution of psychological distress levels
168 varied by IRSD quartiles as shown in Figure 1 (unadjusted, chi-square: $p < 0.001$). Table 2 shows

169 park variables for each buffer area and each IRSD quartile. The total park area was larger for
170 participants living in the highest IRSD areas. However, mean attractiveness score tended to be
171 slightly higher in the lowest IRSD areas.

172

173 (TABLE 1, TABLE 2, & FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE)

174

175 A significant association was found between psychological distress and IRSD: the odds ratios for
176 being in the moderate and high psychological distress categories were 1.13 (95%CI: 1.06, 1.21; p
177 < 0.001) and 1.26 (95%CI: 1.16, 1.36; $p < 0.001$), respectively, for one SD decline in IRSD.

178 None of the dichotomized park variables were significantly associated with psychological
179 distress, after adjusting for the covariates, except for mean attractiveness within the 800m and
180 1200m buffers. Counterintuitively, higher mean attractiveness was associated with a higher odds
181 of being in the high psychological distress category: 1.19 (95%CI: 1.01, 1.39; $p < 0.05$) for the
182 800m buffer and 1.18 (95%CI: 1.00, 1.38; $p < 0.05$) for the 1200m buffer.

183

184 A significant interaction with IRSD was found for two park attributes: mean attractiveness within
185 the 800m buffer on the moderate level of psychological distress ($p = 0.08$) and highest
186 attractiveness within the 800m buffer on the moderate level of psychological distress ($p = 0.06$).

187 Table 3 shows the results of stratified analyses for these attributes. Lower SES was significantly
188 associated with the higher odds of moderate psychological distress among participants with lower
189 park attractiveness within the 800m buffer (both for mean and highest attractiveness). However,
190 no such disparity in psychological distress (moderate level) was observed for participants with
191 higher park attractiveness within the same buffer.

192

(TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE)

193

194

195 **DISCUSSION**

196 This study explored whether characteristics of local green space (total area, mean attractiveness,

197 highest attractiveness) within a range of buffers moderate the relationship between area-level

198 SES and residents' psychological distress. As anticipated, we found that residents in lower SES

199 areas were significantly more likely to have higher psychological distress than those in higher

200 SES areas. Building on previous studies (Mitchell and Popham, 2008; Mitchell et al., 2015), we

201 hypothesized first that this relationship would be less pronounced among participants who had

202 larger park area in their neighborhood. Our findings did not support this hypothesis. No

203 significant interaction was found for total park area, suggesting that the association between SES

204 and psychological distress is similar across different levels of park area.

205

206 This finding (i.e., no moderation by park area) may be partially due to unmeasured park

207 characteristics, which may be different in low and high SES areas. We found that lower SES

208 areas tended to have parks with higher mean attractiveness scores (Table 2), which in this study

209 focused on the presence of facilities and features. However, other park attributes potentially

210 relevant to mental health (e.g., safety, maintenance) were not measurable using the desktop audit

211 tool. Studies in the US have shown that parks located in lower income areas have more safety

212 concerns (e.g., vandalism) and are perceived less safe than those in high income areas (Cohen et

213 al., 2013; Kamel et al., 2014; Vaughan et al., 2013). The presence of larger green space with such

214 safety concerns may have a negative impact on mental health, as fear of crime is known to be

215 detrimental to residents' mental health (Lorenc et al., 2012; Stafford et al., 2007). One study

216 found that deterioration in residential areas was associated with mental health through fear of

217 crime and less social contact (Kruger et al., 2007). Local parks that are considered unsafe may
218 also deter residents from walking (Cohen et al., 2012; Foster et al., 2014). These studies suggest
219 that the way local parks affect residents' mental health is complex: while the presence of natural
220 elements may be beneficial, park safety and maintenance-related features are also likely to be
221 important. Further investigation is warranted, as POSDAT precluded measurement of items
222 relevant to park safety, with only one item related to safety included (i.e., lighting).

223
224 The findings of the study partially supported our second hypothesis with regard to park quality.
225 We found a significant interaction for mean and highest park attractiveness for the 800m buffer
226 with IRSD on moderate psychological distress. Stratified analyses showed that IRSD was
227 associated with moderate psychological distress only among those who had less attractive parks
228 in their local area (either overall or in terms of the most attractive park). In other words, lower
229 and higher SES neighborhoods with attractive local parks did not differ in the prevalence of
230 moderate-level mental health problem. However, it is difficult to claim based on our findings that
231 socio-economic disparities in mental health may be reduced by improving the quality of local
232 parks. First, the moderation was found only for park attractiveness within the 800m buffer, but
233 not for the other buffers. Second, we did not find associations of higher park attractiveness and a
234 lower level of psychological distress. Indeed, counterintuitively, we found that higher mean
235 attractiveness within the 800m and 1200m buffers was associated with a greater odds of being in
236 the high level of psychological distress. Although the interaction was significant for the moderate
237 level of psychological distress, this finding is still not consistent with the hypothesis. This study
238 highlights the complexity of these relationships and further research is needed to test the
239 hypothesis about park attractiveness, incorporating both positive and negative aspects related to
240 park attractiveness (Foster et al., 2014).

241
242 The study found that the quantity and quality of parks were not evenly distributed across different
243 SES areas. In terms of park quantity (area), participants in the highest SES areas had more land
244 allocated to parks than those in the other SES areas. This is contrary to previous studies, which
245 showed better access to parks and open spaces in disadvantaged neighborhoods (Franzini et al.,
246 2010; Pearce et al., 2007; Vaughan et al., 2013). However, in terms of mean park attractiveness,
247 we found that disadvantaged areas tended to have a higher score (more facilities and features)
248 than less disadvantaged areas. Although measures of park attractiveness may not be directly
249 comparable, this finding is consistent with one previous study in which parks in lower SES areas
250 had more facilities and features (Badland et al., 2010) but not with other studies (Crawford et al.,
251 2008; Vaughan et al., 2013).

252
253 Such mixed findings may reflect differences in local government's strategy to allocate resources
254 to diverse recreational facilities: the distribution of parks and their quality across different SES
255 areas may be subject to local-level policy and practice on park development and management.
256 However, they may also reflect study limitations. For example, in this study we used a remote
257 sensing audit tool that precluded a number of park attributes potentially relevant to mental health,
258 such as safety and crime concerns. The desktop audit is a valid and cost-effective method to
259 assess park facilities and features. However, it was not able to capture the presence of vandalism
260 or anti-social behavior, which was included in the on-site auditing (Edwards et al., 2013). Given
261 that the presence of park disorder has been shown to limit participation in recreational walking
262 (Foster et al., 2014), future studies may need to consider how to incorporate crime and disorder
263 data in measuring the quality of public open space.

264

265 This study did not consider surrounding environment conditions such as green elements existing
266 outside parks (e.g., private gardens, street trees) and land use, which may also be related to
267 residents' mental health. Indeed, de Vries et al. (2013) found that street-level greenery was
268 associated with mental health, and this association was mediated by stress and social cohesion
269 rather than by physical activity. This suggests that local green space may not have to be used
270 "actively" to affect residents' mental health. Easily accessible green elements that help people to
271 relax or encourage them to interact with neighbors may be also beneficial to their mental health
272 (Lachowycz and Jones, 2013). The presence of such greenery close from home needs to be
273 assessed in future studies to accurately identify the impact of overall local greenness on socio-
274 economic inequalities in mental health.

275

276 This study has other limitations. The park audits were conducted in 2010, which was after the
277 data collection from participants (2005–09). Although most parks features do not change in a
278 short term, some parks may have been renovated or degraded during the study period. Pedestrian-
279 only routes, which would influence buffer size and access to parks (Chin et al., 2008), were not
280 available in this study. Most park attributes (area and attractiveness) were not directly associated
281 with psychological distress in this study. This is not consistent with previous studies in which the
282 presence of more green space was found related to lower levels of perceived and objectively-
283 measured stress (Fan et al., 2011; Roe et al., 2013). The study did not measure participants' use
284 of or visits to green space, which could have shed further light on the role of green space in
285 socio-economic inequality in mental health. In addition, the cross-sectional study design limits
286 causal inferences being drawn. Lastly, this study was conducted in the metropolitan area of Perth,
287 Australia, and the findings may not be generalizable to other localities. The strengths of the study
288 include a large sample size and the use of the quality measure of parks as well as the quantity

289 measure.

290

291 In conclusion, our study confirmed that significant mental health disparities existed between
292 lower and higher SES areas. These disparities were not moderated by park area: psychological
293 distress was associated with SES regardless of levels of park area. The study could not confirm
294 the role of park attractiveness in socio-economic inequalities in mental health. While there was
295 some evidence suggesting moderation (lower SES associated with higher psychological distress
296 only in areas with lower park attractiveness), park attractiveness itself was not associated with
297 mental health in the expected direction. Hence, it is unclear whether enhancing parks in low SES
298 areas would reduce mental health inequalities. Further studies examining safety and maintenance
299 features of local parks and street-level greenery are needed to assess whether well-maintained
300 greener local environments can mitigate socio-economic related mental health inequalities.

301 **REFERENCES**

- 302 Andersen, I., Thielen, K., Nygaard, E., Diderichsen, F., 2009. Social inequality in the prevalence
303 of depressive disorders. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health* 63, 575–581.
- 304 Andrews, G., Slade, T., 2001. Interpreting scores on the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale
305 (K10). *Australia New Zealand Journal of Public Health* 25, 494–497.
- 306 Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2006. Statistical Geography - Australian Standard Geographical
307 Classification (ASGC), Digital Boundaries, 2006. ABS, Canberra.
- 308 Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2008. Information Paper: An Introduction to Socio-Economic
309 Indexes for Areas (SEIFA), 2006. ABS, Canberra.
- 310 Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2012. Information Paper: Use of the Kessler Psychological
311 Distress Scale in ABS Health Surveys, Australia, 2007–08. ABS, Canberra.
- 312 Badland, H.M., Keam, R., Witten, K., Kearns, R., 2010. Examining public open spaces by
313 neighborhood-level walkability and deprivation. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health* 7,
314 818–824.
- 315 Beenackers, M.A., Kamphuis, C.B.M., Giskes, K., Brug, J., Kunst, A. E., Burdorf, A., van
316 Lenthe, F.J., 2012. Socioeconomic inequalities in occupational, leisure-time, and transport
317 related physical activity among European adults: a systematic review. *International Journal*
318 *of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity* 9:116.
- 319 Brown, L., Nepal, B., 2010. *Health Lies in Wealth: Health Inequalities of Australians of Working*
320 *Age*. National Centre for Social and Economic Modelling, Canberra.
- 321 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2013. CDC Health Disparities and Inequalities
322 Report — United States, 2013. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 62 Supplement.
- 323 Chin, G.K.W., Van Niel, K.P., Giles-Corti, B., Knuiaman, M. 2008. Accessibility and connectivity
324 in physical activity studies: the impact of missing pedestrian data. *Preventive Medicine* 46,

325 41–45.

326 Cohen, D.A., Han, B., Derose, K.P., Williamson, S., Marsh, T., Rudick, J., McKenzie, T.L.,
327 2012. Neighborhood poverty, park use, and California city. *Social Science & Medicine* 75,
328 2317–2325.

329 Cohen, D.A., Lapham, S., Evenson, K.R., Williamson, S., Golinelli, D., Ward, P., Hillier, A.,
330 McKenzie, T.L., 2013. Use of neighbourhood parks: Does socio-economic status matter? a
331 four-city study. *Public Health* 127, 325–332.

332 Cornelius, B.L.R., Groothoff, J.W., van der Klink, J.J.L., Brouwer, S. 2013. The performance of
333 the K10, K6 and GHQ-12 to screen for present state DSM-IV disorders among disability
334 claimants. *BMC Public Health* 13:128.

335 Crawford, D., Timperio, A., Giles-Corti, B., Ball, K., Hume, C., Roberts, R., Andrianopoulos, N.,
336 Salmon, J., 2008. Do features of public open spaces vary according to neighbourhood
337 socio-economic status? *Health & Place* 14, 889–893.

338 de Vries, S., van Dillen, S.M.E., Groenewegen, P.P., Spreeuwenberg, P., 2013. Streetscape
339 greenery and health: stress, social cohesion and physical activity as mediators. *Social
340 Science & Medicine* 94, 26–33.

341 Edwards, N., Hooper, P., Trapp, G.S.A., Bull, F., Boruff, B., Giles-Corti, B., 2013. Development
342 of a Public Open Space Desktop Auditing Tool (POSDAT): a remote sensing approach.
343 *Applied Geography* 38, 22–30.

344 Fan, Y., Das, K.V., Chen, Q., 2011. Neighborhood green, social support, physical activity, and
345 stress: assessing the cumulative impact. *Health & Place* 17, 1202–1211.

346 Fone, D., Dunstan, F., Lloyd, K., Williams, G., Watkins, J., Palmer, S., 2007. Does social
347 cohesion modify the association between area income deprivation and mental health? a
348 multilevel analysis. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 36, 338–345.

349 Foster, S., Giles-Corti, B., Knuiiman, M., 2014. Does fear of crime discourage walkers? a social-
350 ecological exploration of fear as a deterrent to walking. *Environment & Behavior* 46, 698–
351 717.

352 Francis, J., Wood, L.J., Knuiiman, M., Giles-Corti, B., 2012. Quality or quantity? exploring the
353 relationship between public open space attributes and mental health in Perth, Western
354 Australia. *Social Science & Medicine* 74, 1570–1577.

355 Franzini, L., Taylor, W., Elliott, M.N., Cuccaro, P., Tortolero, S.R., Gilliland, M.J., Grunbaum,
356 J., Schuster, M.A., 2010. Neighborhood characteristics favorable to outdoor physical
357 activity: disparities by socioeconomic and racial/ethnic composition. *Health & Place* 16,
358 267–274.

359 Furukawa, T.A., Kessler, R.C., Slade, T., Andrews, G. 2003. The performance of the K6 and K10
360 screening scales for psychological distress in the Australian National Survey of Mental
361 Health and Well-Being. *Psychological Medicine* 33, 357–362.

362 Giles-Corti, B., Broomhall, M.H., Knuiiman, M., Collins, C., Douglas, K., Ng, K., Lange, A.,
363 Donovan, R.J., 2005. Increasing walking - how important is distance to, attractiveness, and
364 size of public open space? *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 28, 169–176.

365 Hansmann, R., Hug, S.-M., Seeland, K., 2007. Restoration and stress relief through physical
366 activities in forests and parks. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening* 6, 213–225.

367 Hartig, T., Evans, G.W., Jamner, L.D., Davis, D.S., Garling, T., 2003. Tracking restoration in
368 natural and urban field settings. *Journal of Environmental Psychology* 23, 109–123.

369 Janssen, E., Sugiyama, T., Winkler, E., de Vries, H., te Poel, F., Owen, N., 2010. Psychosocial
370 correlates of leisure-time walking among Australian adults of lower and higher socio-
371 economic status. *Health Education Research* 25, 316–324.

372 Kaczynski, A.T., Potwarka, L.R., Saelens, B.E., 2008. Association of park size, distance, and

373 features with physical activity in neighborhood parks. *American Journal of Public Health*
374 98, 1451–1456.

375 Kamel, A.A., Ford, P.B., Kaczynski, A.T., 2014. Disparities in park availability, features, and
376 characteristics by social determinants of health within a U.S.-Mexico border urban area.
377 *Preventive Medicine* 69 Suppl 1, S111–S113.

378 Kawachi, I., Berkman, L.F., 2001. Social ties and mental health. *Journal of Urban Health* 78,
379 458–467.

380 Kruger, D.J., Reischl, T.M., Gee, G.C., 2007. Neighborhood social conditions mediate the
381 association between physical deterioration and mental health. *American Journal of*
382 *Community Psychology* 40, 261–271.

383 Lachowycz, K., Jones, A.P., 2013. Towards a better understanding of the relationship between
384 greenspace and health: development of a theoretical framework. *Landscape and Urban*
385 *Planning* 118, 62–69.

386 Lorant, V., Deliege, D., Eaton, W., Robert, A., Philippot, P., Ansseau, M., 2003. Socioeconomic
387 inequalities in depression: a meta-analysis. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 157, 98–
388 112.

389 Lorenc, T., Clayton, S., Neary, D., Whitehead, M., Petticrew, M., Thomson, H., Cummins, S.,
390 Sowden, A., Renton, A., 2012. Crime, fear of crime, environment, and mental health and
391 wellbeing: mapping review of theories and causal pathways. *Health & Place* 18, 757–765.

392 Marmot, M., Bell, R., 2012. Fair society, healthy lives. *Public Health* 126 Suppl 1:S4–S10.

393 Mitchell, R., Popham, F., 2008. Effect of exposure to natural environment on health inequalities:
394 an observational population study. *Lancet* 372, 1655–1660.

395 Mitchell, R.J., Richardson, E.A., Shortt, N.K., Pearce, J.R., 2015. Neighborhood environments
396 and socioeconomic inequalities in mental well-being. *American Journal of Preventive*

397 *Medicine* 49, 80–84.

398 National Preventative Health Taskforce, 2009. *Australia: The Healthiest Country by 2020 -*
399 *National Preventative Health Strategy*. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.

400 Pearce, J., Witten, K., Hiscock, R., Blakely, T., 2007. Are socially disadvantaged
401 neighbourhoods deprived of health-related community resources? *International Journal of*
402 *Epidemiology* 36, 348–355.

403 Penedo, F.J., Dahn, J.R., 2005. Exercise and well-being: A review of mental and physical health
404 benefits associated with physical activity. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry* 18, 189–193.

405 Roe, J.J., Thompson, C.W., Aspinall, P.A., Brewer, M.J., Duff, E.I., Miller, D., Mitchell, R.,
406 Clow, A., 2013. Green space and stress: Evidence from cortisol measures in deprived urban
407 communities. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 10,
408 4086–4103.

409 Stafford, M., Chandola, T., Marmot, M., 2007. Association between fear of crime and mental
410 health and physical functioning. *American Journal of Public Health* 97, 2076–2081.

411 Sugiyama, T., Francis, J., Middleton, N.J., Owen, N., Giles-Corti, B., 2010. Associations
412 between recreational walking and attractiveness, size, and proximity of neighborhood open
413 spaces. *American Journal of Public Health* 100, 1752–1757.

414 Sugiyama, T., Leslie, E., Giles-Corti, B., Owen, N., 2008. Associations of neighbourhood
415 greenness with physical and mental health: do walking, social coherence and local social
416 interaction explain the relationships? *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 62,
417 e9.

418 Twisk J., 2006. *Applied Multilevel Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

419 Vaughan, K.B., Kaczynski, A.T., Stanis, S.A.W., Besenyi, G.M., Bergstrom, R., Heinrich, K.M.,
420 2013. Exploring the distribution of park availability, features, and quality across Kansas

421 City, Missouri by income and race/ethnicity: an environmental justice investigation. *Annals*
422 *of Behavioral Medicine* 45, S28–S38.

423 Villanueva, K., Pereira, G., Knuiaman, M., Bull, F., Wood, L., Christian, H., Foster, S., Boruff,
424 B.J., Beesley, B., et al., 2013. The impact of the built environment on health across the life
425 course: design of a cross-sectional data linkage study. *BMJ Open* 3, e002482.

TABLES

Table 1. Characteristics of the study sample (N=7034)

Mean age (sd)		44.4 (13.3)
Gender (%)	Women	59.4
Education (%)	High school	35.6
	Vocational	37.5
	College or higher	26.9
Marital status (%)	Couple	65.5
Having children (%)	Yes	46.9
Employment status (%)	Employed	67.4
	Unemployed	5.1
	Other ^a	20.2
	Missing	7.2
Annual Income (%)	≤\$40k	20.2
	\$40k-\$80k	33.5
	>\$80k	36.2
	Missing	10.1
Psychological distress (K10) (%)	High	9.7
	Moderate	17.7
	Low	72.6

^a Home duties, retired, student

Table 2. Mean (sd) of total park area, mean park attractiveness, and highest park attractiveness score within 400m, 800m, 1200m, and 1600m of participant’s home by quartiles of IRSD ^a

	Total	IRSD ^a (Area-level SES)				p ^b
		Lowest	Second	Third	Highest	
Parks within 400m						
Total area, ha	3.7 (9.9)	3.4 (6.7)	2.9 (5.6)	3.5 (10.1)	5.0 (14.0)	<0.001
Mean attractiveness	14.9 (22.0)	17.9 (25.1)	14.6 (22.1)	14.3 (21.0)	13.1 (19.9)	<0.001
Highest attractiveness	26.1 (23.3)	27.8 (21.9)	24.8 (22.9)	25.0 (23.4)	26.8 (24.5)	<0.001
Parks within 800m						
Total area, ha	10.3 (16.7)	9.3 (12.6)	9.3 (13.3)	9.5 (16.7)	12.7 (21.7)	<0.001
Mean attractiveness	16.4 (16.6)	18.4 (18.5)	16.8 (17.2)	15.8 (15.0)	14.9 (15.8)	<0.001
Highest attractiveness	42.9 (19.5)	44.3 (16.6)	43.0 (18.7)	41.3 (20.7)	43.0 (21.1)	<0.001
Parks within 1200m						
Total area, ha	19.5 (23.5)	18.1 (20.1)	18.1 (19.8)	18.5 (23.7)	22.8 (28.3)	<0.001
Mean attractiveness	14.8 (11.7)	16.3 (12.6)	14.7 (11.0)	14.7 (11.2)	13.9 (11.8)	<0.001
Highest attractiveness	50.3 (16.2)	50.9 (12.8)	50.9 (15.2)	49.2 (17.4)	50.4 (18.2)	<0.01
Parks within 1600m						
Total area, ha	30.8 (30.1)	29.5 (26.3)	28.5 (24.3)	29.6 (31.9)	35.3 (35.2)	<0.001
Mean attractiveness	14.0 (9.1)	15.0 (9.6)	13.7 (7.7)	14.3 (9.7)	13.0 (9.2)	<0.001
Highest attractiveness	54.1 (15.0)	54.6 (11.4)	54.6 (13.6)	52.7 (16.2)	54.6 (17.3)	<0.001

^a Index of Relative Socio-economic Disadvantage

^b one-way ANOVA

Table 3. Odds ratios (95%CI) for being in the moderate or high psychological distress level (compared with the low level) per one SD decline in IRSD¹: stratified analyses

		Psychological distress level	
		Moderate	High
Mean park attractiveness within the 800m buffer	Lower	1.19 (1.09, 1.30)***	1.31 (1.17, 1.47)***
	Higher	1.08 (0.98, 1.17)	1.20 (1.07, 1.34)**
Highest park attractiveness within the 800m buffer	Lower	1.22 (1.11, 1.35)***	1.30 (1.15, 1.47)***
	Higher	1.07 (0.99, 1.16)	1.23 (1.11, 1.36)***

** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

All odds ratios adjusted for age, gender, marital status, having children or not, and corrected for clustering.

¹ Index of Relative Socio-economic Disadvantage (lower values correspond to higher levels of disadvantage)

FIGURE

Figure 1. Proportions (unadjusted) of low, moderate, and high levels of psychological distress according to quartiles of IRSD (Index of Relative Socio-economic Disadvantage, lower values correspond to higher levels of disadvantage)

