

1 **Title:** The effect of concentric and eccentric knee flexor strength training on recovery from  
2 sprint training sessions, eccentric strength and biceps femoris muscle architecture.

3  
4 **Authors:** Steven J. Duhig<sup>1,2</sup>, Matthew N. Bourne<sup>1</sup>, Robert L. Buhmann<sup>3</sup>, Morgan D.  
5 Williams<sup>4</sup>, Geoffrey Minett<sup>3</sup>, Llion A Roberts<sup>1,2,6</sup>, Ryan G Timmins<sup>5</sup>, Casey KE Simms<sup>3</sup>,  
6 and Anthony J. Shield<sup>3</sup>

7  
8 <sup>1</sup> School of Allied Health Sciences, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia

9  
10 <sup>2</sup> Griffith Sports Physiology and Performance, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Queensland,  
11 Australia

12  
13 <sup>3</sup> School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane,  
14 Australia

15  
16 <sup>4</sup> School of Health, Sport and Professional Practice, University of South Wales, Pontypridd,  
17 Wales, UK

18  
19 <sup>5</sup> School of Exercise Science, Australian Catholic University, Melbourne, Australia

20  
21 <sup>6</sup> Sport Performance and Knowledge Excellence Institute, The Queensland Academy of  
22 Sport, Brisbane, Australia

23  
24  
25 **Corresponding author:** Dr Steven Duhig  
26 School of Allied Health Sciences, Griffith University,  
27 Gold Coast campus, Queensland, Australia.

28 Email: s.duhig@griffith.edu.au

29 Ph: +61 7 5552 8943

30  
31  
32

33 **Abstract**

34 **PURPOSE:** To investigate whether five-weeks of concentric (CON) or eccentric (ECC) knee  
35 flexor (KF) strength training have different effects on recovery from sprint running, eccentric  
36 strength and architecture of the biceps femoris long head (BF<sub>LH</sub>). **METHODS:** Thirty males  
37 (age,  $22.8 \pm 4.1$ y; height,  $180.1 \pm 6.4$ cm; weight,  $85.2 \pm 14.6$ kg) were allocated into either a  
38 CON or ECC group, both performing nine sessions of resistance training. Prior to and  
39 immediately after the five-week intervention, each participant's BF<sub>LH</sub> fascicle length (FL),  
40 pennation angle (PA), muscle thickness (MT), peak isometric KF torque and Nordic eccentric  
41 strength were assessed. Post intervention, participants performed two timed sprint sessions  
42 (10x80m) 48 hours apart. Blood samples and passive KF torques were collected before,  
43 immediately after, 24 hours and 48 hours after the first sprint session. **RESULTS:** After five-  
44 weeks of strength-training, fascicles lengthened in the ECC ( $p < 0.001$ ;  $d = 2.0$ ) and shortened  
45 in the CON group ( $p < 0.001$ ;  $d = 0.92$ ), while PA decreased for the ECC ( $p = 0.001$ ;  $d = 0.52$ )  
46 and increased in the CON group ( $p < 0.001$ ;  $d = 1.69$ ). Nordic eccentric strength improved in  
47 both ECC ( $p < 0.001$ ;  $d = 1.49$ ) and CON ( $p < 0.001$ ;  $d = 0.95$ ) groups. No between-group  
48 differences were observed in peak isometric strength ( $p = 0.480$ ), passive KF torques ( $p = 0.807$ ),  
49 sprint performance decrements between sprint sessions ( $p = 0.317$ ) and creatine kinase  
50 ( $p = 0.818$ ). **CONCLUSION:** Despite inducing significant differences in BF<sub>LH</sub> muscle  
51 architecture, there were no significant between group differences in sprint performance  
52 decrements across two sprint sessions.

53

54 **Introduction**

55 Eccentric and concentric training programs have unique effects on skeletal muscle. For  
56 example, eccentric training decreases and concentric training increases the susceptibility of  
57 skeletal muscles to strength loss, shifts in the torque-joint angle relationship and delayed  
58 soreness consequent to a bout of eccentric actions (1). Eccentric training of the human  
59 hamstrings has also recently been reported to increase fascicle lengths within the long head of  
60 the biceps femoris, while concentric training through the same range of motion decreased them  
61 (2).

62

63 Eccentric strength training interventions employing the Nordic hamstring exercise (NHE) have  
64 been shown to decrease hamstring strain rates in sport (3-6), while low levels of Nordic  
65 eccentric strength have been reported to be associated with an increased risk of injury in some  
66 (7, 8), but not all prospective studies (9, 10). While the mechanisms mediating the effectiveness  
67 of eccentric strength training are not entirely understood (11) it has been proposed that the  
68 addition of in-series sarcomeres may at least partially explain an increased resistance to  
69 microtrauma and muscle strain injury (12-14). Indeed, short biceps femoris long head (BF<sub>LH</sub>)  
70 fascicles have recently been reported to be associated with a higher risk of hamstring strain  
71 injury in elite Australian soccer players (7). The NHE is also known to be an effective means  
72 of increasing BF<sub>LH</sub> fascicle lengths (15).

73

74 We have shown that large and rapid changes in high-speed running loads over a 1-4 week  
75 period are associated with an increased risk of hamstring strain injury (16). This is consistent  
76 with the possibility that hamstring injuries may not always be isolated acute events caused by  
77 a single over-long stride, kick or stretch but instead they may occur as a consequence of  
78 accumulated microtrauma which eventually becomes macroscopic and presents as a strain

79 injury (16). However, such trauma may be mitigated by use of eccentric hamstring strength  
80 training.

81

82 The effects of eccentric and concentric strength training of the human hamstrings on running  
83 performance and recovery from bouts of sprint running are not well known. High-speed  
84 running involves high knee flexor forces and is proposed to involve powerful eccentric actions  
85 during terminal swing which is thought to be a likely time for hamstring strain injury (17, 18).  
86 These powerful eccentric actions in running are also likely to cause hamstring microtrauma,  
87 soreness and a loss of strength which may result in a performance decrement when two high-  
88 speed running sessions are planned within 24-72 hours of each other. Theoretically, eccentric  
89 conditioning should better prepare the hamstrings for repeated bouts of such exercise.  
90 Accordingly, this study was designed to investigate the effects of eccentric and concentric  
91 hamstring conditioning on the change in running performance that occurred between two  
92 consecutive running sessions held 48 h apart. We hypothesised that adaptations induced by  
93 eccentric training would, in comparison with concentric training, result in a better maintenance  
94 of sprint performance between sessions and reduced markers of muscle damage (passive knee  
95 flexor torques, perceived muscle soreness and venous creatine kinase levels (CK)). For the  
96 purpose of this study, 'recovery' was assessed by monitoring performance in two sprint  
97 sessions conducted 48 hours apart.

98

## 99 **Methods**

### 100 **Participants and study design**

101 This strength training intervention was conducted between July and September, 2016. Thirty  
102 recreationally active males (age,  $22.8 \pm 4.1$  y; height,  $180.1 \pm 6.4$  cm; weight,  $85.2 \pm 14.6$  kg)  
103 provided written informed consent and completed a cardiovascular screening questionnaire

104 before participating. All participants were free from soft tissue and orthopaedic injuries to the  
105 lower limbs, hips, and trunk with no prior history of hamstring strain or knee ligament injury.  
106 The university's research ethics committee approved this study.

107

108 Participants had their relaxed bicep femoris long head architecture, isometric peak knee flexor  
109 torque and Nordic eccentric strength assessed prior to and after the five-week intervention.  
110 Once pre-intervention assessments had been conducted, participants were ranked and paired in  
111 order of fascicle lengths and an individual from each pair was randomly allocated to either the  
112 concentric-only (CON) group or eccentric-only (ECC) group, with the remaining individual  
113 from each pair allocated to the other group. Both groups completed nine strength training  
114 sessions over a five week period (Table 1) and were instructed not to participate in any  
115 additional hamstring strength training. Seven to nine days after the final strength training  
116 session, participants performed a sprint running session (Sprint 1) (10 x 80 m) on a flat grass  
117 sports field, followed 48 hours later by an identical sprint session (Sprint 2). An isokinetic  
118 dynamometer (Biodex System 3, Shirley, USA) was used to measure passive knee flexor  
119 torque during knee extension and perceived muscle soreness, as determined during passive  
120 knee extension, was recorded using a 0-10 numeric pain rating scale, 24 and 48 hours after the  
121 first sprint training session. Blood samples were drawn using standard venipuncture techniques  
122 at four time points (prior to the first sprint session, immediately, 24 h and 48 h after sprint  
123 session 1). Figure 1 provides a schematic diagram for the above methods.

124

125 INSERT FIGURE 1 HERE

126

127

128

129 **Biceps femoris long head architecture assessment**

130 Bicep femoris long head fascicle lengths were estimated using ultrasound images taken along  
131 the longitudinal axis of the muscle belly utilising a two-dimensional, B-mode ultrasound  
132 (frequency, 12Mhz; depth, 8 cm; field of view, 14 x 47 mm) (GE Healthcare Vivid-i,  
133 Wauwatosa, U.S.A). Participants were positioned prone on a plinth with their hips in neutral  
134 and knees fully extended and images were acquired from a point midway between the ischial  
135 tuberosity and the popliteal fold, parallel to the presumed orientation of BF<sub>LH</sub> fascicles. After  
136 the scanning site was determined, the distance of the site from various anatomical landmarks  
137 was recorded to ensure its reproducibility for post testing. These landmarks included the ischial  
138 tuberosity, head of the fibula and the popliteal fold at the mid-point between BF and ST tendon.  
139 For post testing, the scanning site was determined and marked on the skin and then confirmed  
140 by replicated landmark distance measures. Images were obtained from both limbs following at  
141 least five minutes of inactivity. To gather ultrasound images, the linear array ultrasound probe,  
142 with a layer of conductive gel was placed on the skin over the scanning site, aligned  
143 longitudinally and perpendicular to the posterior thigh. Care was taken to ensure minimal  
144 pressure was placed on the skin by the probe as this may affect the accuracy of the measures  
145 (19). The orientation of the probe was manipulated slightly by the ultrasonographer if the  
146 superficial and intermediate aponeuroses were not parallel.

147

148 Ultrasound images were analysed using MicroDicom software (Version 0.7.8, Bulgaria). For  
149 each image, 6 points were digitised as described by Blazeovich and colleagues (20). Following  
150 the digitising process, muscle thickness was defined as the distance between the superficial and  
151 intermediate aponeuroses of the BF<sub>LH</sub>. A fascicle of interest was outlined and marked on the  
152 image. Fascicle length was determined as the length of the outlined fascicle between

153 aponeuroses and was reported in absolute terms (cm). As the entire fascicles were not visible  
154 in the probe's field of view, their lengths were estimated using the following equation:

$$155 \quad FL = \sin(AA + 90^\circ) \times MT / \sin(180^\circ - (AA + 180^\circ - PA)).$$

156 Where  $FL$ = fascicle length,  $AA$ = aponeurosis angle,  $MT$ = muscle thickness and  $PA$ = pennation  
157 angle (20).

158 All images were collected and analysed by the same investigator (RGT) who was blinded to  
159 training group allocation. The assessment of  $BF_{LH}$  architecture using the aforementioned  
160 procedures by this ultrasonographer is highly reliable (intraclass correlations  $>0.90$ ; minimal  
161 detectable changes at 95% confidence interval;  $MT=0.18$ ,  $PA=0.96$ ,  $FL=0.74$ ) (21).

162

### 163 **Strength assessments**

#### 164 *Nordic eccentric strength test (NeST)*

165 The assessment of eccentric knee flexor force using the NHE has been reported previously (8,  
166 9). Participants knelt on a padded board, with the ankles secured by individual ankle straps that  
167 were attached to uniaxial load cells (MLP-1K, Transducer Techniques, CA, USA). The load  
168 cells were calibrated immediately prior to testing by progressively applying known  $\sim 200N$   
169 loads up to a load of  $\sim 800N$  ( $\sim 600 N$  forces are the highest our group has previously recorded  
170 in tests of the Nordic hamstring curl). The distal ends of the straps were placed level with the  
171 most prominent point of the lateral malleoli. The ankle braces and load cells were secured to a  
172 pivot which allowed the force generated by the knee flexors to be measured through the long  
173 axis of the load cells. From the initial kneeling position with their ankles secured in padded  
174 yokes, arms on the chest and hips extended, participants lowered their bodies as slowly as  
175 possible to a prone position. Participants performed only the lowering (eccentric) portion of  
176 the exercise and were instructed to use their arms and flex at the hips and knees in order to

177 reassume the starting position with minimal knee flexor activity. Participants initially  
178 performed five submaximal but progressively more intense repetitions of the bilateral NHE as  
179 a way of warming up for subsequent maximal efforts. The NeST involved performing single  
180 repetitions of the NHE as slowly as possible, initially with body mass and thereafter with extra  
181 loads held centred over the xiphoid process. The first extra load was 5 kg and this was increased  
182 in 5 kg increments until the sum of the two ankle forces did not increase. A single repetition  
183 was performed at each load and the highest sum of the left and right leg forces was recorded.  
184 Three minutes rest was allowed after warm-up and three minutes was allowed between single  
185 maximal repetitions. Participants were encouraged to maintain a fully extended hip angle  
186 throughout each repetition. To calculate knee flexor torques from the forces applied at the  
187 ankle, a measurement of the distance between the femoral lateral epicondyle and middle of the  
188 ankle strap was made with a flexible steel tape measure.

189

#### 190 *Knee flexor passive torque*

191 The resistance of the relaxed knee flexors to passive stretch, measured as gravity corrected  
192 knee flexion torque (Nm), was also assessed via isokinetic dynamometry (22). Prior to testing,  
193 neonatal ECG electrodes (10 mm in diameter, 15 mm interelectrode distance) (Ambu®,  
194 Ballerup, Denmark) were placed over the intersection of the medial and lateral hamstring  
195 muscle bellies. Subsequently, participants were seated on the dynamometer with a hip angle of  
196 approximately 85°, and a 5 cm thick foam pad was then placed between the seat and upper  
197 back of the participant to increase hip flexion to approximately 90°. Straps were placed around  
198 the tested thigh, waist, and chest to minimise compensatory movements. All seating variables  
199 (e.g., seat height, pad position) were recorded to ensure the replication of positions in post-  
200 intervention testing. The lever angle range of motion was set at 0° and 90° (0° representing full  
201 knee extension) with gravity correction for limb weight conducted at 30° from full knee

202 extension. The warm-up prior to the assessment of passive knee flexor torque and isometric  
203 strength involved two sets of four maximal concentric knee extension and flexion contractions  
204 at an angular velocity of  $240^{\circ}\text{s}^{-1}$  and  $120^{\circ}\text{s}^{-1}$ , respectively.

205

206 Passive knee flexor torque was determined by measuring the maximum torque produced during  
207 extension of the knee. Participants were instructed to completely relax their lower limbs while  
208 the dynamometer extended their knee at  $10^{\circ}\text{s}^{-1}$  across three repetitions (23). Real-time surface  
209 EMG traces displayed to both the participant and the researcher provided confirmation of  
210 hamstring muscle relaxation throughout these tests. Participants were asked to rate their muscle  
211 soreness at the point of full knee extension using a numerical pain rating scale between 0 and  
212 10 (0 = no pain; 10 = severe pain).

213

#### 214 *Isometric peak torque*

215 Isometric knee flexor strength was assessed via isometric dynamometry (22). Isometric  
216 contractions were performed at five different knee angles ( $10^{\circ}$ ,  $30^{\circ}$ ,  $50^{\circ}$ ,  $70^{\circ}$  and  $90^{\circ}$ ) with 2 x  
217 3 s maximal voluntary contractions at each angle. The order of knee angles was randomised  
218 for each participant and replicated in post-training tests. Thirty second rest periods were  
219 employed between contractions at the same angle and one minute rests were employed between  
220 tests at different angles. The investigators loudly exhorted participants to exert maximal effort  
221 during all contractions.

222

223

224

225

#### 226 **Sprint times**

227 For both running sessions, participant's had their 80 m sprint times measured using timing  
228 gates (SMARTSPEED LITE, Fusion sport, Brisbane, Australia), which have previously been  
229 shown to be reliable (24). The standardised warm-up consisted of a 3 min jog followed by four  
230 80 m run-throughs with increasing speed (60%, 70%, 80% and 90% of each participant's  
231 perceived maximum) interspersed with three minutes of lower limb dynamic stretches. A  
232 recovery period of three minutes was applied between maximum efforts.

233

### 234 **Hamstring strength training program**

235 Participants were required to complete a training program consisting of nine supervised  
236 exercise sessions over the course of five weeks (Table 1) with a minimum recovery period of  
237 48 hours between each session. The training program design was similar to previous training  
238 studies using the NHE (4, 25) (Table 1) and has been shown to produce morphological adaptations  
239 (28). To ensure procedural consistency, all sessions were conducted in the same laboratory,  
240 using the same exercise equipment and supervised by the same investigators.

241

242 **Table 1 - Training program variables**

Week	Training sessions	Sets	Repetitions
1	2	2	6
2	2	3	6
3	2	4	6
4	2	5	6
5	1	5	6

243

244 *Nordic hamstring exercise (ECC) training*

245 The NHE was performed on the device employed for the NeST. Participants were instructed  
246 to lean forward at the knee at the slowest possible speed whilst maximally resisting the descent  
247 and maintaining the trunk and hips in an upright position, with only the eccentric phase being  
248 performed. The hands were kept pronated and wrists hyper-extended next to the chest to catch  
249 the fall. The exercise was performed initially with body mass alone but once participants  
250 displayed adequate strength to completely stop the movement at  $\sim 10^0$  from full knee extension,  
251 they were required to hold a weight plate (range = 5 – 10 kg) to their chest (centred over the  
252 xiphoid process). This external load was increased in 5 – 10 kg increments when participants  
253 were again able to stop the movement at  $\sim 10^0$  from full knee extension.

254

255 *Leg curl (CON) training*

256 The concentric unilateral leg curl was performed on a prone leg curl machine (CALGYM,  
257 Australia) using a 6-7RM load. Each repetition started at full knee extension and finished at  
258 approximately  $90^0$  of knee flexion. Once this point was reached a research assistant held the  
259 machine's lever arm allowing the participant to return their shank, without external load, to the  
260 starting position of full knee extension. The assistant then lowered the lever arm. Training loads  
261 were increased whenever participants could perform all repetitions of their planned training  
262 session.

263

264 **Blood collection and analysis**

265 Blood samples were collected before the first sprint session, <15 min after, 24 h, and 48 h post  
266 sprint. The blood samples were collected from an antecubital vein into an EDTA tube (BD,

267 Franklin Lakes, NJ). Tubes were then centrifuged at 1000 rpm at 4°C for 10 min and stored at  
268 -80°C until the day of analysis. Creatine kinase activity was measured using a  
269 spectrophotometric assay on an automated analyser (Cobas Mira, Roche diagnostics GmbH,  
270 Germany).

271

## 272 **Statistical analysis**

273 All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.0.0.1 (IBM Corporation,  
274 Chicago, IL). Where appropriate, data were screened for normal distribution using the Shapiro-  
275 Wilk test and homoscedasticity using Levene's test. The forces collected during the NeST were  
276 converted to torque and expressed as torque per kilogram of bodyweight (Nm/kg). Repeated  
277 measures split plot ANOVAs were used to explore group by time effects of the training  
278 interventions on BF<sub>LH</sub> fascicle length, pennation angle and muscle thickness, NeST peak  
279 torque, passive knee flexor torque and perceived muscle soreness, 80 m sprint performance  
280 and venous CK. For isometric peak knee flexor torque, a three way ANOVA was used with  
281 the *time (pre vs post)* and *angle (10, 30, 50, 70 and 90°)* the within-subject variables and *group*  
282 (*CON vs ECC*) the between-subject variable. For the analysis of BF<sub>LH</sub> architecture and NeST  
283 results the within-subject variable was *time (pre and post training intervention)* and the  
284 between-subject variable was *group (CON vs ECC)*. The 80 m sprint performance within-  
285 subject variable was *time (sprint session one and sprint session two)* and the between-subject  
286 variable was *group (CON vs ECC)*. The between limbs (dominant vs non-dominant) BF<sub>LH</sub>  
287 architecture was not significantly different at either time point ( $p > 0.05$ ), therefore dominant  
288 and non-dominant limbs were averaged to provide a single value for each participant. The 80m  
289 sprint performance decrement was reported as the slowest (maximum) time – fastest  
290 (minimum) for each sprint session. To explore changes in passive knee flexor torque and  
291 perceived muscle soreness and CK the within-subject variable was *time (before and*

292 immediately after first sprint session then at 24 h and 48 h post) and the between-subject  
 293 variable was *group* (CON vs ECC). Descriptive statistics were used to report 24 h post-training  
 294 session ratings of perceived soreness. For all analyses, post hoc independent *t*-tests with  
 295 Bonferroni corrections were used to determine which comparisons differed significantly. The  
 296 mean differences were reported with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Cohen *d* effect sizes  
 297 were calculated using the thresholds; trivial < 0.20, small = 0.20-0.49, medium = 0.50-0.79 and  
 298 large > 0.80 (26).

299

### 300 **Results**

301 No significant differences were observed for age, height or body mass between the groups (*p*  
 302 > 0.05; Table 2). Training session compliance rates were 100% and 99.3% for the CON and  
 303 ECC groups, respectively.

304

305

306 **Table 2 - Participant characteristics**

<b>Group</b>	<b>Age (years)</b>	<b>Stature (cm)</b>	<b>Mass (kg)</b>
<b>CON</b>	22.7 ± 3.9	178.3 ± 5.8	86.2 ± 15.4
<b>ECC</b>	23.7 ± 4.8	181.3 ± 6.9	83.8 ± 14.6

### 307 **Biceps femoris long head architecture**

308 As a consequence of the training intervention, a significant group by time interaction was found  
 309 for BF<sub>LH</sub> fascicle length (*p*<0.001) (Figure 2) and pennation angle (*p*<0.001) (Figure 2). No  
 310 significant group by time interaction was seen for muscle thickness (*p*=0.193). Before training  
 311 there were no significant between group differences in fascicle length (CON = 10.39cm; ECC  
 312 = 10.22cm; mean difference = 0.17cm; 95% CI = -0.32 to 0.66; *p* = 0.484; *d* = 0.25), pennation

313 angle (CON = 14.18°; ECC = 14.16°; mean difference = 0.02°; 95% CI = -0.93 to 0.98;  $p =$   
314 0.964;  $d = 0.01$ ) or muscle thickness (CON = 2.55cm; ECC = 2.49cm; mean difference =  
315 0.06cm; 95% CI = -0.14 to 0.25;  $p = 0.523$ ;  $d = 0.22$ ). Post hoc analyses showed significant  
316 BF<sub>LH</sub> fascicle elongation in the ECC group (mean difference= 1.40 cm (13%); 95% CI = 1.05  
317 to 1.75;  $p < 0.001$ ;  $d = 2.0$ ) and shortening in the CON group (mean difference = 0.66 cm (6%);  
318 95% CI = -0.92 to -0.40;  $p < 0.001$ ;  $d = 0.92$ ) (Figure 2). After training, the differences between  
319 the groups' fascicle lengths were significant (mean difference = 1.90cm; 95% CI = 1.34 to  
320 2.45;  $p < 0.001$ ;  $d = 2.57$ ). There was a significant decrease in pennation angle for the ECC  
321 group (mean difference = 0.77° (5%); 95% CI = -0.98 to -0.30;  $p = 0.001$ ;  $d = 0.52$ ) and an  
322 increased pennation angle in the CON group (mean difference = 1.73° (12%); 95% CI = 1.40  
323 to 2.06;  $p < 0.001$ ;  $d = 1.69$ ) (Figure 2). After training, a significant between group difference  
324 in pennation angle was found (mean difference = 2.52°; 95% CI = 1.60 to 3.45;  $p < 0.001$ ;  $d =$   
325 2.07). A significant increase in muscle thickness was shown for both the ECC (mean difference  
326 = 0.19cm (7%); 95% CI = 0.12 to 0.29;  $p < 0.001$ ;  $d = 0.73$ ) and CON groups (mean difference  
327 = 0.11 cm (4%); 95% CI = 0.39 to 0.19;  $p = 0.005$ ;  $d = 0.43$ ) (Figure 2). However, there was no  
328 significant difference between groups after training (mean difference = 0.01cm; 95% CI = -  
329 0.20 to 0.23;  $p = 0.868$ ;  $d = 0.03$ ).

330

331 Insert Figure 2 here

332

### 333 **Eccentric knee flexor strength**

334 Peak knee flexor torques were typically obtained with 5-15kg and 10-20kg of load added to  
335 body mass during the pre- and post-training NeST tests, respectively. There was no significant  
336 group by time interaction ( $p = 0.065$ ) detected for NeST scores (Pre, CON = 3.88Nm/kg  $\pm$  0.47,  
337 ECC = 3.69Nm/kg  $\pm$  0.53; Post, CON = 4.40Nm/kg  $\pm$  0.62, ECC = 4.55  $\pm$  0.55). Furthermore,

338 there was no significant between groups differences found in eccentric knee flexor strength  
339 prior to the intervention (CON = 3.88Nm/kg; ECC = 3.68Nm/kg; mean difference =  
340 0.20Nm/kg; 95% CI = -0.18 to 0.58;  $p = 0.299$ ;  $d = 0.39$ ). There were strength improvements  
341 for both the ECC (mean difference = 0.83Nm/kg (24%); 95% CI = 0.59 to 1.0;  $p < 0.001$ ;  $d$   
342 = 1.49) and CON (mean difference = 0.51Nm/kg (13%); 95% CI = 0.29 to 0.75;  $p < 0.001$ ;  $d =$   
343 0.95) groups (Figure 3), however, there was no significant difference between groups after  
344 training (mean difference = 0.15Nm/kg; 95% CI = -2.87 to 0.59;  $p = 0.480$ ;  $d = 0.25$ ).

345

346 Insert Figure 3 here

347

#### 348 **Peak isometric knee flexor torque**

349 There was no significant group by time by angle interaction observed for peak isometric knee  
350 flexor torques ( $p = 0.480$ ). There were no significant differences between groups at any angle  
351 before training (10°, CON = 153Nm; ECC = 161Nm; mean difference = 7Nm; 95% CI = -32  
352 to 16;  $p = 0.511$ ;  $d = 0.19$ ; 30°, CON = 151Nm; ECC = 158Nm; mean difference = 7Nm; 95%  
353 CI = -30 to 16;  $p = 0.542$ ;  $d = 0.22$ ; 50°, CON = 145Nm; ECC = 149Nm; mean difference =  
354 3Nm; 95% CI = -23 to 15;  $p = 0.705$ ;  $d = 0.03$ ; 70°, CON = 127Nm; ECC = 130Nm; mean  
355 difference = 3Nm; 95% CI = -20 to 13;  $p = 0.705$ ;  $d = 0.08$ ; 90°, CON = 96Nm; ECC = 102Nm;  
356 mean difference = 5Nm; 95% CI = -18 to 6;  $p = 0.351$ ;  $d = 0.31$ ) or after training (10°, CON =  
357 154Nm; ECC = 151Nm; mean difference = 3Nm; 95% CI = -21 to 26;  $p = 0.819$ ;  $d = 0.09$ ;  
358 30°, CON = 151Nm; ECC = 153Nm; mean difference = 2Nm; 95% CI = -25 to 22;  $p = 0.898$ ;  
359  $d = 0.22$ ; 50°, CON = 140Nm; ECC = 145Nm; mean difference = 5Nm; 95% CI = -26 to 17;  
360  $p = 0.666$ ;  $d = 0.15$ ; 70°, CON = 129Nm; ECC = 128Nm; mean difference = 1Nm; 95% CI = -  
361 16 to 17;  $p = 0.931$ ;  $d = 0.04$ ; 90°, CON = 99Nm; ECC = 105Nm; mean difference = 6Nm;  
362 95% CI = -20 to 7;  $p = 0.352$ ;  $d = 0.31$ ).

363

364 Peak isometric torques did not change at any angle as a consequence of concentric (10°, mean  
365 difference = 0.60Nm; 95% CI = -11.02 to 12.24;  $p = 0.917$ ;  $d = 0.03$ ; 30°, mean difference =  
366 0.53Nm; 95% CI = -13.61 to 14.68;  $p = 0.939$ ;  $d = 0.00$ ; 50°, mean difference = -5.26Nm; 95%  
367 CI = -17.75 to 7.22;  $p = 0.395$ ;  $d = 0.16$ ; 70°, mean difference = 2.33Nm; 95% CI = -7.79 to  
368 12.46;  $p = 0.641$ ;  $d = 0.08$ ; 90°, mean difference = 2.20Nm; 95% CI = -6.26 to 10.66;  $p =$   
369 0.599;  $d = 0.15$ ) or eccentric strength training (10°, mean difference = -10.00Nm; 95% CI = -  
370 21.62 to 1.62;  $p = 0.089$ ;  $d = 0.32$ ; 30°, mean difference = -5.00Nm; 95% CI = -19.14 to 9.14;  
371  $p = 0.475$ ;  $d = 0.17$ ; 50°, mean difference = -4.33Nm; 95% CI = -16.82 to 8.15;  $p = 0.483$ ;  $d =$   
372 0.16; 70°, mean difference = -1.60Nm; 95% CI = -11.73 to 8.53;  $p = 0.749$ ;  $d = 0.10$ ; 90°, mean  
373 difference = 2.80Nm; 95% CI = -5.66 to 11.26;  $p = 0.504$ ;  $d = 0.19$ ).

374

#### 375 **Passive knee flexor torque and perceived muscle soreness**

376 No significant group by time interactions were observed for the knee flexor's peak passive  
377 torque ( $p = 0.807$ ) or perceived muscle soreness ( $p = 0.700$ ). There were no significant pre-  
378 intervention differences in peak passive gravity corrected knee flexor torque (CON = 12Nm;  
379 ECC = 13Nm; mean difference = 1Nm; 95% CI = -4.74 to 6.61;  $p = 0.737$ ;  $d = 0.29$ ) or perceived  
380 muscle soreness (CON = 1.7; ECC = 1.5; mean difference = 0.2; 95% CI = -1.25 to 1.72;  $p =$   
381 0.750;  $d = 0.10$ ). Similarly, no between group differences were observed after the training  
382 period in passive peak knee flexor torque (CON = 8Nm; ECC = 10Nm; mean difference =  
383 2Nm, 95% CI = -1.17 to 5.37;  $p = 0.198$ ;  $d = 0.55$ ) or perceived muscle soreness (CON = 0.9;  
384 ECC = 0.7; mean difference = 0.1, 95% CI = -0.80 to 1.08;  $p = 0.766$ ;  $d = 0.17$ ). Twenty-four  
385 hours after sprint session 1 there were no between-group differences in passive peak torque  
386 (CON = 11Nm; ECC = 11Nm; mean difference = 0Nm, 95% CI = -6.99 to 6.72;  $p = 0.96$ ;  $d =$   
387 0.01) or perceived muscle soreness (CON = 1.0; ECC = 1.3; mean difference = 0.3, 95% CI =

388 -1.31 to 0.75;  $p = 0.580$ ;  $d = 0.23$ ). The lack of differences between groups was also evident  
389 48 hours post sprinting (passive peak torque; CON = 10Nm; ECC = 12Nm; mean difference =  
390 2Nm, 95% CI = -3.48 to 7.12;  $p=0.485$ ;  $d=0.28$ ; perceived muscle soreness CON = 1.8; ECC  
391 = 1.4; mean difference = 0.4, 95% CI = -0.83 to 1.81;  $p = 0.643$ ;  $d = 0.30$ ).

392

393

#### 394 **Eighty-metre sprint performance**

395 No significant between-group differences in best times were observed in the first (CON =  
396 11.51s; ECC = 11.32s; mean difference = 0.18s; 95% CI = -0.60 to 0.98;  $p = 0.630$ ;  $d = 0.07$ )  
397 or second sprint sessions (CON = 11.70s; ECC = 11.43s; mean difference = 0.27s; 95% CI = -  
398 0.52 to 1.07;  $p = 0.483$ ;  $d = 0.27$ ). There were no significant between-group differences in  
399 performance decrement in the first (CON = 3.01s; ECC = 2.57s; mean difference = 0.44s; 95%  
400 CI = -2.13 to 1.25;  $p = 0.595$ ;  $d = 0.21$ ) or second sprint session (CON = 1.97s; ECC = 1.93s;  
401 mean difference = 0.04s; 95% CI = -0.88 to 0.79;  $p = 0.910$ ;  $d = 0.04$ ). However, the  
402 performance decrement declined significantly between the first ( $2.92 \pm 2.15$ s) and second ( $1.98$   
403  $\pm 1.12$ s) sprint session in the CON group (mean difference = 1.04s; 95% CI = -1.92 to -0.15,  $p$   
404 = 0.023;  $d = 0.56$ ) but did not change significantly between first ( $2.46 \pm 1.65$ s) and second  
405 ( $1.93 \pm 0.86$ s) sprint sessions in the ECC group (mean difference = 0.64s; 95% CI = -1.46 to  
406 1.67;  $p = 0.114$ ;  $d = 0.48$ ).

407

408 Two of 15 participants from the CON group were unable to finish the second sprint session.  
409 One sustained a hamstring strain during the first sprint session and the other participant was  
410 'too sore' and fearful of an injury to participate in the second sprint session. All participants  
411 from the ECC group were able to complete both sessions.

412

### 413 **Creatine kinase**

414 There was no significant group by time interaction found for creatine kinase ( $p = 0.818$ ). No  
415 significant differences in the levels of CK were observed between groups before the first sprint  
416 session (CON =  $177 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; ECC =  $226 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; mean difference =  $49 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; 95% CI = -158.31  
417 to 59.08;  $p = 0.353$ ;  $d = 0.41$ ), immediately after (CON =  $239 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; ECC =  $327 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; mean  
418 difference =  $88 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ , 95% CI = -217 to 41;  $p = 0.171$ ;  $d = 0.60$ ), 24 hours after (CON =  $951$   
419  $\text{U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; ECC =  $972 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; mean difference =  $21 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ , 95% CI = -538 to 495;  $p = 0.933$ ;  $d = 0.03$ )  
420 or 48 hours after (CON =  $607 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; ECC =  $609 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; mean difference =  $2 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ , 95% CI =  
421 -320 to 316;  $p = 0.990$ ;  $d = 0.00$ ) the first sprinting session. CK levels increased significantly  
422 after running for both the concentric (immediately after sprinting, mean =  $+62 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; 95% CI  
423 = 11 to 114;  $p = 0.011$ ;  $d = 0.53$ ; 24h post, mean =  $+774 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; 95% CI = 242 to 1305;  $p =$   
424  $0.002$ ;  $d = 1.80$ ; 48h post, mean =  $+430 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; 95% CI = 85 to 775;  $p = 0.009$ ;  $d = 1.53$ ) and  
425 eccentric groups (immediately after sprinting, mean difference =  $101 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; 95% CI = 55 to  
426 146;  $p < 0.001$ ;  $d = 0.67$ ; 24h post, mean difference =  $745 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; 95% CI = 279 to 1211;  $p =$   
427  $0.001$ ;  $d = 1.75$ ; 48h post, mean difference =  $383 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; 95% CI = 80 to 685;  $p = 0.008$ ;  $d =$   
428 1.44).

429

### 430 **Discussion**

431 As far as we are aware, this study is the first to investigate the effects of eccentric and  
432 concentric knee flexor conditioning on the change in running performance during or between  
433 sprint sessions. Contrary to our hypothesis and despite significant between- group differences  
434 in  $\text{BF}_{\text{LH}}$  fascicle lengths and pennation angles before sprint session 1, there were no between-  
435 group differences for sprint performance decrements or markers of muscle damage.  
436 Concentrically trained rat vastus intermedius muscles lose more force and have their force-  
437 length relationships shifted further towards longer muscle lengths than eccentrically trained

438 muscles after a single bout of maximal electrically stimulated eccentric contractions (1). There  
439 is also evidence in humans that muscle soreness and weakness induced by eccentric  
440 contractions are elevated after periods of concentric training (27) and there is significant  
441 evidence that eccentric training has the opposite effects (13, 14, 28). These previous findings  
442 led to the hypothesis that eccentrically trained hamstrings would recover significantly better  
443 than concentrically trained muscles and that this would influence the recovery of sprint  
444 performance when two sprint sessions were performed 48 hours apart.

445

446 There are a number of possible explanations for the lack of differences between the  
447 eccentrically and concentrically trained participants in this study. Firstly, the extent of muscle  
448 soreness and the changes to indices of muscle damage (CK) were modest, although similar to  
449 that found after one study of downhill running (29). Previous studies employing maximal  
450 eccentric contractions of single muscle groups such as the elbow flexors (30) have reported  
451 blood CK levels in the region of  $10000 \text{ U}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$  and the enzyme elevations seen in the current  
452 study were only about 7% of this. This suggests only moderate muscle damage as a  
453 consequence of sprinting and whether or how much of this CK originated from the hamstrings  
454 is not known. Van Hooren and Bosch (31) have recently argued that human hamstring fascicles  
455 perform isometric rather than eccentric actions during the late swing phase of gait. This  
456 suggestion runs contrary to the results of modelling studies which suggest that the hamstrings  
457 actively lengthen in late swing (32, 33), however, the low CK and soreness levels reported after  
458 sprinting do suggest limited exposure to eccentric actions to which the concentrically trained  
459 participants were unaccustomed. The relatively low sprint training status of the sampled  
460 participants may have also contributed to the high variability in performance, thereby masking  
461 any effects of hamstring conditioning. Future studies may benefit from recruiting more highly  
462 trained participants or including regular sprint sessions within the training program. The degree

463 of hip flexion during the passive knee flexor torque assessment was less than that in a previous  
464 report (23) which may be the reason no between-group differences were found for peak passive  
465 torque or perceived muscle soreness.

466 Despite no group differences in the measures muscle damage, two participants from the CON  
467 group were unable to finish the second sprint session due to one hamstring strain injury in the  
468 first repetition of the second session and one withdrawal due to severe hamstring soreness after  
469 the first sprint session. These incidents suggest, for at least two of the participants from the  
470 CON group, that there may have been substantial microtrauma caused by high-speed running  
471 in the first session that had not completely recovered after 48 h. Furthermore, the injured  
472 participant had the shortest biceps femoris fascicles after training and it is possible that other  
473 participants experienced less muscle damage because their fascicles, although shortened by  
474 concentric training, were sufficiently long to minimise muscle damage.

475

476 This study provides further evidence that  $BF_{LH}$  fascicle lengths and pennation angles respond  
477 differently to eccentric and concentric training (2). Fascicle lengthening, consequent to the  
478 addition of in-series sarcomeres, is expected to reduce the strain experienced per sarcomere at  
479 any given muscle length and thereby reduce the risk of muscle strain injury (12-14, 28). The  
480 torque-joint angle relationship and the joint angle at which muscles generate peak torques are  
481 proposed to shift in response to changes in muscle fascicle lengths (13), although the current  
482 results do not support this as no such shifts occurred, despite a ~2 cm fascicle length difference  
483 between groups after training.

484

485 We acknowledge that there are some other limitations to the current study. Muscle architectural  
486 change was only assessed in the  $BF_{LH}$  and these adaptations may differ between knee flexors.  
487 There is also a degree of estimation required with the measurement of fascicle length using 2D

488 ultrasound because the entire length of the  $BF_{LH}$  fascicles is not visible in ultrasound images.  
489 While the estimation equation used in this study has been validated against cadaveric samples  
490 (19), we recognise the room for error and suggest future studies employ extended field-of-view  
491 ultrasonography to reduce this. Despite our recreationally active participants displaying  
492 similar, or higher, levels of Nordic eccentric strength compared with elite Australian Rules  
493 footballers (8) and professional soccer players (7), it remains to be seen if the sprint recovery  
494 results are applicable to other ‘well-trained’ populations.

495

496 This is the first study to show that concentric and eccentric knee flexor training do not have  
497 different effects on decrements in sprint performance when two sprint training sessions are  
498 held 48 h apart.

499

500

## 501 **Acknowledgements**

502 No funding was received for this research. Anthony Shield is listed as a co-inventor on a  
503 patent filed for a device that tests hamstring strength (PCT/AU2012/001041,2012) and is a  
504 minor shareholder in a company responsible for commercialising the device. The results of  
505 the present study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or  
506 inappropriate data manipulation and do not constitute endorsement by ACSM.

507

508

509 **References**

- 510 1. Lynn R, Talbot J, Morgan D. Differences in rat skeletal muscles after incline and  
511 decline running. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 1998;85(1):98.
- 512 2. Timmins R, Ruddy J, Presland J et al. Architectural Changes of the Biceps Femoris  
513 Long Head after Concentric or Eccentric Training. *Medicine and Science in Sports  
514 and Exercise*. 2016;48(3):499-508.
- 515 3. Arnason A, Andersen TE, Holme I, Engebretsen L, Bahr R. Prevention of Hamstring  
516 Strains in Elite Soccer: An Intervention Study. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and  
517 Science in Sports*. 2008;18(1):40-8.
- 518 4. Petersen J, Thorborg K, Nielsen MB, Budtz-Jørgensen E, Hölmich P. Preventive  
519 Effect of Eccentric Training on Acute Hamstring Injuries in Men’s Soccer A Cluster-  
520 Randomized Controlled Trial. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*.  
521 2011;39(11):2296-303.
- 522 5. Seagrave RA, Perez L, McQueeney S, Toby EB, Key V, Nelson JD. Preventive  
523 Effects of Eccentric Training on Acute Hamstring Muscle Injury in Professional  
524 Baseball. *Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2014;2(6).
- 525 6. van der Horst N, Smits DW, Petersen J, Goedhart EA, Backx FJ. The Preventive  
526 Effect of the Nordic Hamstring Exercise on Hamstring Injuries in Amateur Soccer  
527 Players a Randomized Controlled Trial. . *The American Journal of Sports  
528 Medicine*. 2015;43(6):1316-23.
- 529 7. Timmins RG, Bourne MN, Shield AJ, Williams MD, Lorenzen C, Opar DA. Short  
530 biceps femoris fascicles and eccentric knee flexor weakness increase the risk of  
531 hamstring injury in elite football (soccer): a prospective cohort study. *British Journal  
532 of Sports Medicine*. 2015;bjsports-2015-095362.
- 533 8. Opar D, Williams M, Timmins R, Hickey J, Duhig S, Shield A. Eccentric hamstring  
534 strength and hamstring injury risk in Australian footballers. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.  
535 2015;47(4):857-65.
- 536 9. Bourne MN, Opar DA, Williams MD, Shield AJ. Eccentric knee flexor strength and  
537 risk of hamstring injuries in rugby union: a prospective study. *The American Journal  
538 of Sports Medicine*. 2015;43(11):2663-70.
- 539 10. van Dyk N, Bahr R, Burnett AF et al. A comprehensive strength testing protocol  
540 offers no clinical value in predicting risk of hamstring injury: a prospective cohort  
541 study of 413 professional football players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*.  
542 2017;51(23):1695-702.
- 543 11. McHugh MP. Recent advances in the understanding of the repeated bout effect: the  
544 protective effect against muscle damage from a single bout of eccentric exercise.  
545 *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*. 2003;13(2):88-97.
- 546 12. Lynn R, Morgan D. Decline running produces more sarcomeres in rat vastus  
547 intermedius muscle fibers than does incline running. *Journal of Applied Physiology*.  
548 1994;77(3):1439-44.
- 549 13. Brockett CL, Morgan DL, Proske U. Predicting Hamstring Strain Injury in Elite  
550 Athletes. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2004;36(3):379-87.
- 551 14. Morgan DL. New Insights into the Behavior of Muscle during Active Lengthening.  
552 *Biophysical Journal*. 1990;57(2):209-21.
- 553 15. Bourne MN, Duhig SJ, Timmins RG et al. Impact of the Nordic hamstring and hip  
554 extension exercises on hamstring architecture and morphology: implications for injury  
555 prevention. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2016;bjsports-2016-096130.

- 556 16. Duhig S, Shield AJ, Opar D, Gabbett TJ, Ferguson C, Williams M. Effect of high-  
557 speed running on hamstring strain injury risk. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*.  
558 2016;bjsports-2015-095679.
- 559 17. Heiderscheit BC, Hoerth DM, Chumanov ES, Swanson SC, Thelen BJ, Thelen DG.  
560 Identifying the time of occurrence of a hamstring strain injury during treadmill  
561 running: a case study. *Clinical Biomechanics*. 2005;20(10):1072-8.
- 562 18. Schache AG, Wrigley TV, Baker R, Pandy MG. Biomechanical response to hamstring  
563 muscle strain injury. *Gait & posture*. 2009;29(2):332-8.
- 564 19. Klimstra M, Dowling J, Durkin JL, MacDonald M. The effect of ultrasound probe  
565 orientation on muscle architecture measurement. *Journal of Electromyography and*  
566 *Kinesiology*. 2007;17(4):504-14.
- 567 20. Blazeovich AJ, Gill ND, Zhou S. Intra-and intermuscular variation in human  
568 quadriceps femoris architecture assessed in vivo. *Journal of Anatomy*.  
569 2006;209(3):289-310.
- 570 21. Timmins RG, Shield AJ, Williams MD, Lorenzen C, Opar DA. Biceps Femoris Long  
571 Head Architecture: A Reliability and Retrospective Injury Study. *Medicine and*  
572 *Science in Sports and Exercise*. 2015;47(5):905-13.
- 573 22. Knapik J, Wright J, Mawdsley R, Braun J. Isokinetic, isometric and isotonic strength  
574 relationships. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 1983;64(2):77-80.
- 575 23. Magnusson SP, Simonsen EB, Aagaard P, Boesen J, Johannsen F, Kjaer M.  
576 Determinants of musculoskeletal flexibility: viscoelastic properties, cross-sectional  
577 area, EMG and stretch tolerance. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in*  
578 *Sports*. 1997;7(4):195-202.
- 579 24. Oliver JL, Meyers RW. Reliability and generality of measures of acceleration,  
580 planned agility, and reactive agility. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and*  
581 *Performance*. 2009;4(3):345-54.
- 582 25. Mjølunes R, Arnason A, Raastad T, Bahr R. A 10-Week Randomized Trial  
583 Comparing Eccentric vs. Concentric Hamstring Strength Training in Well-Trained  
584 Soccer Players. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*.  
585 2004;14(5):311-7.
- 586 26. Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences Lawrence Earlbaum  
587 Associates. *Hillsdale, NJ*. 1988:20-6.
- 588 27. Gleeson N, Eston R, Marginson V, McHugh M. Effects of prior concentric training on  
589 eccentric exercise induced muscle damage. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*.  
590 2003;37(2):119-25.
- 591 28. Whitehead N, Allen T, Morgan D, Proske U. Damage to human muscle from  
592 eccentric exercise after training with concentric exercise. *The Journal of physiology*.  
593 1998;512(2):615-20.
- 594 29. Byrnes WC, Clarkson PM, White JS, Hsieh SS, Frykman PN, Maughan RJ. Delayed  
595 onset muscle soreness following repeated bouts of downhill running. *Journal of*  
596 *Applied Physiology*. 1985;59(3):710-5.
- 597 30. Nosaka K, Clarkson P. Variability in serum creatine kinase response after eccentric  
598 exercise of the elbow flexors. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*.  
599 1996;17(02):120-7.
- 600 31. Van Hooren B, Bosch F. Is there really an eccentric action of the hamstrings during  
601 the swing phase of high-speed running? part I: A critical review of the literature.  
602 *Journal of Sports Sciences*. 2017;35(23):2313-21.
- 603 32. Chumanov ES, Heiderscheit BC, Thelen DG. Hamstring Musculotendon Dynamics  
604 During Stance and Swing Phases of High-Speed Running. *Medicine & Science in*  
605 *Sports & Exercise*. 2011;43(3):525-32.

606 33. Thelen DG, Chumanov ES, Best TM, Swanson SC, Heiderscheit BC. Simulation of  
607 biceps femoris musculotendon mechanics during the swing phase of sprinting.  
608 *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2005;37(11):1931-8.

609

610

611 **Figure Captions**

612 **Figure 1** – Methods timeline.

613 **Figure 2** – (top) Pre and post intervention individual and mean changes in bicep femoris long  
614 head fascicle lengths; (middle) pennation angles.; (bottom) and muscle thickness. CON =  
615 green squares; ECC = red circles. The dashed red line represents the ECC group average and  
616 the green line shows the CON group average. Shaded areas represent 95% CI.

617

618 **Figure 3** – Pre and post intervention individual and mean changes in Nordic eccentric strength.  
619 CON = green squares; ECC = red circles. Dashed red line represents the ECC group average  
620 and the green line shows the CON group average. Shaded areas represent 95% CI.

621

622